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Lecture 2

Convergence of Interacting Diffusions on Sparse Graph Sequences
Recap: Networks of locally interacting stochastic processes

We want to study locally interacting stochastic processes on a given finite connected graph $G = (V, E)$:

that evolve as a discrete-time Markov chain of the form:

$$X_{t+1}^{G,v} = F \left( X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_t^{v+1} \right), \quad v \in V,$$

where $F : S \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times S' \mapsto S$,

or as a diffusion of the form

$$dX_t^{G,v} = b(X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V,$$

with $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$,

where in both cases $\mu_t^v$ is the local empirical measure at $v$:

$$\mu_t^v = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} \delta_{X_t^u}, \quad v \in V.$$
Key Questions

Sequence of sparse (possibly random) graphs $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$ with $|V_n| \to \infty$ with bounded (average) degree

$$X_{t+1}^{G_n,v} = F \left( X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_{t+1}^v \right), \quad v \in V_n,$$

or

$$dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v) dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V_n,$$

Specific Questions:
(1) Does the whole system admit a scaling limit?
(2) Is there an autonomous description of the limiting dynamics of a typical particle?
(3) Does the (global) empirical measure

$$\bar{\mu}^{G_n} = \frac{1}{|V_n|} \sum_{v \in V_n} \delta X_{G_n,v}(\cdot)$$

have a scaling limit?
We will focus on discrete-time Markov chains and diffusions and consider the simplest setting to better illustrate the main ideas. But one can consider different interacting stochastic evolutions, including continuous time jump processes (A. Ganguly).
We will focus on discrete-time Markov chains and diffusions and consider the simplest setting to better illustrate the main ideas. but one can consider different interacting stochastic evolutions, including continuous time jump processes (A. Ganguly).

The research described in these lectures is based on collaborations with D. Lacker (Columbia U.), Ruoyu Wu (U. Michigan), A. Ganguly (Brown).
We will focus on 
discrete-time Markov chains and diffusions 
and consider the simplest setting to better illustrate the main ideas 

but one can consider different interacting stochastic evolutions, 
including 
continuous time jump processes (A. Ganguly),

The research described in these lectures is based on collaborations with 
D. Lacker (Columbia U.), Ruoyu Wu (U. Michigan), A. Ganguly (Brown) 
M. Wortsman, T. Sudijono
Lecture 2 focuses on the convergence question

Sequence of sparse (possibly random) graphs \( G_n = (V_n, E_n) \) with 
\[ |V_n| \to \infty \] with bounded (average) degree

\[
X_{t+1}^{G_n,v} = F \left( X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_{t+1}^v \right), \quad v \in V_n
\]

or

\[
dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V_n
\]

(Q1) Does the whole system admit a scaling limit?
Sequence of sparse (possibly random) graphs $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$ with $|V_n| \to \infty$ with bounded (average) degree

$$X_{t+1}^{G_n,v} = F \left( X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_t^{v+1} \right), \quad v \in V_n$$

or

$$dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v) dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V_n$$

(Q1) Does the whole system admit a scaling limit?

(A1) Yes, wrt a small generalization of local weak convergence

In fact, for this, we can allow more general heterogeneous, time-dependent, dynamics: e.g.,

$$X_{t+1}^{G_n,v} = F_v^t \left( X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_t^{v+1} \right), \quad v \in V_n$$

or

$$dX_t^{G_n,v} = b_v(t, X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v) dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V_n$$
Part A: Question of Convergence

- Background on local weak convergence of graphs
- Examples of local weak convergence of graphs
- Background on local weak convergence of marked graphs
- Statement of convergence theorem
- Proof of convergence theorem

Part B: Question of Characterizing Marginals
1. Background on local weak convergence of graphs

History and References for local weak convergence

- Introduced by Benjamini and Schramm (2001)
- Further developed by Aldous-Steele in “The Objective Method: Probabilistic Combinatorial Optimization and Local Weak Convergence”
- Also, Aldous-Lyons “Processes on Unimodular Random Networks”, EJP (2007)
- Charles Bordenave lecture notes:
- Also (especially for the marked case) see the Lacker-R-Wu paper “Large sparse networks of interacting diffusions’ paper (especially the Appendix)
1. Background on local weak convergence of graphs

A connected rooted graph $G = (V, E, \rho)$ is a graph $(V, E)$ (assumed as usual to be locally finite with either finite or countable vertex set) with a distinguished vertex $\rho \in V$.

**Definition: Isomorphisms of rooted graphs**

We say two connected rooted graphs $G_i = (V_i, E_i, \rho_i)$ are isomorphic if there exists a bijection $\varphi : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $\varphi(\rho_1) = \rho_2$ and $(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) \in E_2$ if and only if $(u, v) \in E_1$, for each $u, v \in V_1$. We denote this by $G_1 \cong G_2$. We refer to the map $\varphi$ as an *isomorphism* from $G_1$ to $G_2$.

Let $I(G, G')$ denote the set of isomorphisms between two graphs $G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_*$

Let $\mathcal{G}_*$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of connected rooted graphs.
1. Background on local weak convergence of graphs

- Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G = (V, E, \rho) \in \mathcal{G}_*$, let $B_k(G)$ denote the induced subgraph of $G$ consisting of those vertices whose graph distance from $\rho$ is no more than $k$.

**Definition of local weak convergence**

We say that a sequence $(G_n \in \mathcal{G}_*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges locally to $G \in \mathcal{G}_*$ if

$$\forall k \exists n_k \text{ s.t. } B_k(G) \cong B_k(G_n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_k,$$

where $\cong$ denotes isomorphism.

- There is a metric compatible with this notion of convergence that renders $\mathcal{G}_*$ a complete and separable space.
1. Background on local weak convergence of graphs

- Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G = (V, E, \rho) \in \mathcal{G}_*$, let $B_k(G)$ denote the induced subgraph of $G$ consisting of those vertices whose graph distance from $\rho$ is no more than $k$.

**Definition of local weak convergence**

We say that a sequence $(G_n \in \mathcal{G}_*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges locally to $G \in \mathcal{G}_*$ if

$$\forall k \exists n_k \text{ s.t. } B_k(G) \cong B_k(G_n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_k,$$

where $\cong$ denotes isomorphism.

- There is a metric compatible with this notion of convergence that renders $\mathcal{G}_*$ a complete and separable space.
- Thus we can talk of random $\mathcal{G}_*$-valued (rooted) graphs (or rather their isomorphism classes) and (usual) weak convergence of $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_*$ to a (possibly random) limit $G \in \mathcal{G}_*$.
2. Examples of local weak convergence of graphs

1. Cycle graph converges to infinite line
Examples of local weak convergence

2. Line graph converges to infinite line

\[ \rho \rightarrow \ldots \]

\[ \rho \]

\[ \ldots \]
Examples of local weak convergence

3. Line graph rooted at end converges to semi-infinite line

\[ \rho \rightarrow \cdots \]

\[ \rho \]
Examples of local weak convergence

4. Finite to infinite $d$-regular trees

(A graph is $d$-regular if every vertex has degree $d$.)

$\rho$
5. Uniformly random regular graph to infinite regular tree

Fix $d$. Among all $d$-regular graphs on $n$ vertices, select one uniformly at random. Place the root at a (uniformly) random vertex. When $n \to \infty$, this converges (in law) to the infinite $d$-regular tree. (McKay ’81)
The random rooted graph $U(G)$

Given a finite (possibly disconnected graph) $G$ we write $U(G)$ for the random connected rooted graph obtained by assigning a root uniformly at random and then isolating the connected component containing the root.
6. Erdős-Rényi to Galton-Watson

If $G_n = G(n, p_n)$ with $np_n \to p \in (0, \infty)$, then both $G_n$ (with a root assigned uniformly at random) and $U(G_n)$ converge in law to $GW(\text{Poisson}(p))$, the Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution $\text{Poisson}(p)$. 
Examples of Local weak convergence

7. Configuration Model

Let $G_n$ be drawn from the configuration model with (empirical) degree distribution converging to some distribution $\pi$ on $\mathbb{N}_0$, with finite nonzero first moment. Then $U(G_n)$ converges locally in law to the \textit{augmented} or \textit{unimodular} Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution $\pi$, denoted $\text{UGW}(\pi)$. 
The unimodular Galton-Watson tree $UGW(\pi)$. is a random tree defined so that the root has offspring distribution $\pi$ and each of its children has offspring distribution $\hat{\pi}$, where

$$
\hat{\pi}(k) = \frac{(k + 1)\pi(k + 1)}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\pi(n)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$
Examples of Local weak convergence

8. Preferential Attachment Graphs to a Random Tree

A result by Berger-Borgs-Chayes-Saberi ('14) shows convergence of preferential attachment graphs to a random tree

Key Point

Local limits of many classes of random graphs are often trees
For references for the different limit theorems, see Lacker-R-Wu paper “Large sparse networks of interacting diffusions”
8. Preferential Attachment Graphs to a Random Tree

A result by Berger-Borgs-Chayes-Saberi ('14) shows convergence of preferential attachment graphs to a random tree

**Key Point**
Local limits of many classes of random graphs are often trees
For references for the different limit theorems, see Lacker-R-Wu paper “Large sparse networks of interacting diffusions” https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.02585

Of course, deterministic graphs could have local limits of a different nature

9. Convergence of Finite Lattices

$\mathbb{Z}^\kappa \cap [-n, n]^\kappa$ converges to $\mathbb{Z}^\kappa$
But we want to understand convergence of dynamics on graphs, i.e., as $|V_n| \to \infty$, understand behavior of

$$X_{t+1}^{G_n,v} = F \left( X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_{t+1}^v \right), \quad v \in V_n$$
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$$dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V_n$$
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- We can think of the trajectory $X^{G_n,v} = \{X_t^{n,v}, t \in \mathbb{T}\}$ for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}_0$ or $\mathbb{T} = [0, \infty)$, as a (random) mark associated to the vertex $v$. 
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- the mark lies in $\mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is either $S^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ or $\mathcal{C} := C([0, \infty) : \mathbb{R}^d)$
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But we want to understand convergence of dynamics on graphs, i.e., as $|V_n| \to \infty$, understand behavior of

$$X_{t+1}^{G_n,v} = F \left( X_{t}^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_{t+1}^v \right), \quad v \in V_n$$

or

$$dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V_n$$

• We can think of the trajectory $X^{G_n,v} = \{X_t^{n,v}, t \in T\}$ for $T = \mathbb{N}_0$ or $T = [0, \infty)$, as a (random) mark associated to the vertex $v$
• the mark lies in $\mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is either $S^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ or $C := C([0, \infty) : \mathbb{R}^d)$
• For any graph $G$, let $X^G := \{X^G,v, v \in V\}$

Leads us to consider convergence of marked graphs $(G_n, X^{G_n})$
Let \((\mathcal{X}, d_\mathcal{X})\) be a metric space, then a marked (rooted connected) graph is a pair \((G, x)\) such that \(G = (V, E, \rho)\) is rooted connected graph and a vector of marks \(x = (x_v)_{v \in V} \in \mathcal{X}^V\).
Let \((\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathcal{X}})\) be a metric space, then a marked (rooted connected) graph is a pair \((G, \mathbf{x})\) such that \(G = (V, E, \rho)\) is rooted connected graph and a vector of marks \(\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V} \in \mathcal{X}^V\).

**Definition: Isomorphims of marked Graphs**

We say that two marked graphs \((G, \mathbf{x})\) and \((G', \mathbf{x}')\) are *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism \(\varphi\) from \(G\) to \(G'\) such that \((x_v)_{v \in V} = (x'_{\varphi(v)})_{v \in V}\). We write \((G, \mathbf{x}) \cong (G', \mathbf{x}')\) to indicate isomorphism. We let \(\mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) refer to the set of isomorphism classes of marked graphs.
Local convergence of marked graphs

Definition: Local convergence of marked graphs

We say that a sequence \( (G_n, x^n) \in \mathcal{G}_\ast[X] \) converges locally to \( (G, x) \in \mathcal{G}_\ast[X] \) if, for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exist \( n_k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n \geq n_k \), there exists an isomorphism \( \varphi : B_k(G_n) \to B_k(G) \) with \( \max_{v \in B_k(G_n)} d_X(x^n_v, x_{\varphi(v)}) < \epsilon \), where \( B_k(G) \) (resp. \( B_k(G_n) \)) is the set of vertices in \( G \) (resp. \( G_n \)) that are at most distance \( k \) from the root \( \rho \).
Local convergence of marked graphs

**Definition: Local convergence of marked graphs**

We say that a sequence \((G_n, x^n) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) converges locally to \((G, x) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) if, for every \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\epsilon > 0\), there exist \(n_k \in \mathbb{N}\) such that for all \(n \geq n_k\), there exists an isomorphism \(\varphi : B_k(G_n) \rightarrow B_k(G)\) with \(\max_{v \in B_k(G_n)} d_X(x^n_v, x_{\varphi(v)}) < \epsilon\), where \(B_k(G)\) (resp. \(B_k(G_n)\)) is the set of vertices in \(G\) (resp. \(G_n\)) that are at most distance \(k\) from the root \(\rho\).

The space \(\mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) can be equipped with the metric

\[
d_*((G, x), (G', x')) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left( 1 \wedge \inf_{\varphi \in I(B_k(G), B_k(G'))} \max_{v \in B_k(G)} d_X(x_v, x'_\varphi(v)) \right)
\]

where recall \(I(G, G')\) denotes the set of isomorphisms between two graphs \(G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_*\).
Local convergence of marked graphs

Definition: Local convergence of marked graphs

We say that a sequence \((G_n, x^n) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) converges locally to \((G, x) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) if, for every \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\epsilon > 0\), there exist \(n_k \in \mathbb{N}\) such that for all \(n \geq n_k\), there exists an isomorphism \(\varphi : B_k(G_n) \rightarrow B_k(G)\) with \(\max_{v \in B_k(G_n)} d_{\mathcal{X}}(x^n_v, x_{\varphi(v)}) < \epsilon\), where \(B_k(G)\) (resp. \(B_k(G_n)\)) is the set of vertices in \(G\) (resp. \(G_n\)) that are at most distance \(k\) from the root \(\rho\).

The space \(\mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]\) can be equipped with the metric

\[
d_{*}((G, x), (G', x')) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left( 1 \wedge \inf_{\varphi \in I(B_k(G), B_k(G'))} \max_{v \in B_k(G)} d_{\mathcal{X}}(x_v, x'_{\varphi(v)}) \right)
\]

where recall \(I(G, G')\) denotes the set of isomorphisms between two graphs \(G, G' \in \mathcal{G}_*\).

**Exercise:** Show that if \((\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathcal{X}})\) is complete and separable then so is \((\mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}], d_{*})\).
Lemma

Suppose $G_n \to G$ in $\mathcal{G}_*$. Suppose, for each $n$, $X^{G_n} = (X^{v,G_n})_{v \in G_n}$ is a $\mathcal{X}^{G_n}$-valued random variable. Suppose finally that for each $k$, the family

$$\{X^{v,G_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \; v \in B_k(G_n)\}$$

of $\mathcal{X}$-valued random variables is tight. Then $(G_n, X^{G_n})$ is tight in $\mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{X}]$. 
Convergence Results: Discrete-time Markov Chains

- Given a marked graph \((G, x) \in G_*[S]\), consider the chain

\[
X_{t+1}^{G,v} = F_t \left( X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^{G,v}, \xi_t^{G,v} \right), \quad v \in V, \ t \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]

with initial condition \(X_0^{G} = x\). Denote soln. with init cond. as \(X^{G,x}\)

Assumptions (Lacker-R-Wu '19)

We assume the following conditions are satisfied:

1. For each (possibly disconnected) graph \(G\), \(\{\xi_t^v : v \in G, t \in \mathbb{N}\}\) are iid \(S'\)-valued with common law \(\theta \in \mathcal{P}(S)\).

2. \(F_t : S \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times S' \mapsto S\) is continuous for each \(t \in \mathbb{N}_0\)

- Let \(P^{G,x} = \text{Law}(X^{G,x}) \in \mathcal{P}((S^\infty)^V)\)
Convergence Results: Discrete-time Markov Chains

Now consider the sequence $X^{G_n} = \{X^{G_n, v}, v \in G_n\}$ where

$$X^{G_n, v}_{t+1} = F_t \left( X^{G_n, v}_t, \mu^{G_n, v}_t, \xi^{G_n, v}_{t+1} \right), \quad v \in V_n, t \in \mathbb{N},$$

with initial condition $X^{G_n}_0 = x^n$.

Theorem (Lacker-R-Wu ’18)

Under the stated assumptions

1. the map $(G, x) \mapsto P^{G, x}$ is continuous
2. as $n \to \infty$, if $(G_n, x_n) \to (G, x)$ in $G_\ast[S]$, then $(G_n, X^{G_n}, x^n) \Rightarrow (G, X^{G, x})$.

In particular, the trajectory of the root particle $X^{G_n, \rho}$ converges in law in $S^\infty$ to $X^{G, \rho}$, the trajectory of the root particle of the limiting graph.
$X^{G,x}$ is the unique solution $X^G = \{X^{G,v}, \nu \in \mathcal{V}\}$, where

$$X_{t+1}^{G,\nu} = F_t \left( X_t^{G,\nu}, \mu_t^{G,\nu}, \xi_{t+1}^{G,\nu} \right), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{V}, t \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with initial condition $X_0^G = x \in \mathcal{S}^\nu$.

Note $(G, x) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}]$
$X^{G,x}$ is the unique solution $X^G = \{X^{G,v}, v \in V\}$, where

$$X^{G,v}_t = F_t \left(X^{G,v}_t, \mu^{G,v}_t, \xi^{G,v}_t\right), \quad v \in V, t \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with initial condition $X^G_0 = x \in S^V$. Note $(G, x) \in G_*[S]$ and $(G, X^{G,x}) \in$
$X^{G,x}$ is the unique solution $X^G = \{X^{G,v}, v \in V\}$, where

$$X^{G,v}_{t+1} = F_t \left( X^{G,v}_t, \mu^{G,v}_t, \xi^{G,v}_{t+1} \right), \quad v \in V, t \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with initial condition $X^G_0 = x \in \mathcal{S}^V$.

Note $(G, x) \in G_*[S]$ and $(G, X^{G,x}) \in G_*[S^\infty]$. 
Convergence Results: Discrete-time Markov Chains

\( \mathbf{X}^{G,x} \) is the unique solution \( \mathbf{X}^G = \{ \mathbf{X}^{G,v}, v \in V \} \), where

\[
\mathbf{X}_{t+1}^{G,v} = F_t \left( \mathbf{X}_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^{G,v}, \xi_t^{G,v} \right), \quad v \in V, t \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]

with initial condition \( \mathbf{X}_0^G = \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}^V \).

Note \( (G, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}] \) and \( (G, \mathbf{X}^{G,x}) \in \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}^\infty] \).

**Basic Idea of Proof**

Showing that the map \( (G, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto (G, \mathbf{X}^{G,x}) \) from \( \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}] \) to \( \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}^\infty] \) is continuous is equivalent to showing that for every \( t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and bounded and continuous map \( \varphi \) on \( \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}^{k+1}] \), the function

\[
\psi(G, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(G, \mathbf{X}_t^{G,x})].
\]

is continuous on \( \mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{S}] \).
Outline of Proof of Convergence for Markov Chains

Need to show that for every $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and bounded and continuous map $\varphi$ on $G_*[S^{t+1}]$, the function

$$\psi(G, x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(G, X_t^{G,x})]$$

is continuous on $G_*[S]$. 

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to verify that the following function is continuous:

$$\psi_r(G, x) := \varphi(B_r(G), X_{B_r(G),x}^t).$$

Key realization in the simpler Markov chain setting: on any interval $[0, t]$, the behavior of particles in a $B_r$-neighborhood of the root only depend on the initial conditions in a $B_{r+t}$-neighborhood of the root. This dependence is continuous due to the continuity of each $F_s, s \leq t$.

The conclusion then follows from the fact that $(G_n, x_n) \to (G, x)$ in $G_*[S]$ implies that the projections of the initial conditions converge:

$$x_n \to x_{B_r+}.$$

K. Ramanan

Scaling Limits
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- Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to verify that the following function is continuous:
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Scaling Limits
Need to show that for every \( t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and bounded and continuous map \( \varphi \) on \( G_\ast[S^{t+1}] \), the function

\[
\psi(G, x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(G, X_t^{G,x})]
\]

is continuous on \( G_\ast[S] \).

- **Fix** \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). It suffices to verify that the following function is continuous:

\[
\psi_r(G, x) := \varphi(B_r(G), X_t^{B_r(G),x_{B_r(G)}}).
\]

- Key realization in the simpler Markov chain setting: on any interval \([0, t]\), the behavior of particles in a \( B_r \)-neighborhood of the root only depend on the initial conditions in a \( B_{r+t} \)-neighborhood of the root. This dependence is continuous due to the continuity of each \( F_s, s \leq t \).

- The conclusion then follows from the fact that \((G_n, x^n) \to (G, x)\) in \( G_\ast[\mathcal{X}] \) implies that the projections of the initial conditions converge: \( x^n_{\varphi(B_{r+t}(G^n))} \to x_{B_{r+t}(G)} \).
Definition

Let $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the subspace of measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfy

$$\int |y| \mu(dy) < \infty.$$  

Then a sequence of measures $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to converge to $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the Wasserstein metric if $\mu_n$ converges to $\mu$ weakly and the first moments also converge:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y| \mu_n(dy) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y| \mu(dy).$$

Note: $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, equipped with the Wasserstein-1 metric, is Polish.
Convergence Results: Diffusion Setting

\[ X^G = (X^{G,v})_{v \in V}, \text{ where} \]
\[ dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^{G_n,v})dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

with initial condition \( X_0^{G_n} = x^n \), and
\[ \mu_t^{G_n,v} = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} \delta_{X_t^{G_n,u}}, \quad v \in V. \]

Assumptions in the Diffusion Setting

Suppose the following properties hold:

1. The initial conditions are iid with common distribution \( \theta \) that has a finite second moment.
2. The drift function \( b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \) is Lipschitz continuous and has linear growth:
\[ b(x, m) \leq C(1 + |x| + \int |y|m(dy)). \]
Convergence Results: Interacting Diffusions

\[ X^G = (X^{G,v})_{v \in V}, \text{ where} \]

\[ dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^{G_n,v})dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

with initial condition \( X_0^{G_n} = x^n \), where \( b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d \), and

\[ \mu_t^{G_n,v} = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} \delta_{X_t^{G_n,u}}, \quad v \in V. \]

**Theorem (Lacker-R-Wu ’19)**

Under the stated assumptions, there is a unique strong solution to the SDE and and as \( n \to \infty \), if \((G_n, x^n) \to (G, x)\) in \( G_*[S] \), then \((G_n, X^{G_n,x^n}) \Rightarrow (G, X^{G,x})\).
Diffusion Convergence Results: Outline of Proof

\( X^G = (X^{G,v})_{v \in V}, \) where

\[ dX_t^{G_n,v} = b(X_t^{G_n,v}, \mu_t^{G_n,v})dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \quad X_0^{G_n} = x. \]

1. Use stochastic calculus to show that under the assumption, for every \( T < \infty, \)

\[ \sup_{G \in G^*} \sup_{v \in G} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{G,v}|^2 \right] < \infty. \]
\( X^G = (X^G, v)_{v \in V}, \) where
\[
dX_{t}^{G_n, v} = b(X_{t}^{G_n, v}, \mu_{t}^{G_n, v}) dt + dW_{t}^{v}, \quad v \in V, \quad X_{0}^{G_n} = x.\]

1. Use stochastic calculus to show that under the assumption, for every \( T < \infty, \)
\[
\sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_*} \sup_{v \in G} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_{t}^{G, v}|^2 \right] < \infty.
\]

2. Apply Aldous’ tightness criterion for measures in \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \), where \( \mathcal{C} \) is the space of \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued continuous functions on \([0, \infty)\), to show that the family of \( \mathcal{C} \)-valued random variables \( \{X^{G, v}, G \in \mathcal{G}_*, v \in G\} \) is tight.
Diffusion Convergence Results: Outline of Proof

$X^G = (X^{G,v})_{v \in V}$, where

$$dX^{G_n,v}_t = b(X^{G_n,v}_t, \mu^{G_n,v}_t)dt + dW^v_t, \quad v \in V, \quad X^{G_n}_0 = x.$$ 

1. Use stochastic calculus to show that under the assumption, for every $T < \infty$,

$$\sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_*} \sup_{v \in V} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |X^{G,v}_t|^2 \right] < \infty.$$ 

2. Apply Aldous’ tightness criterion for measures in $\mathcal{P}(C)$, where $C$ is the space of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$, to show that the family of $C$-valued random variables $

\{X^{G,v}, G \in \mathcal{G}_*, v \in G\}$ is tight.

3. Use above lemma on local convergence of marked graphs to conclude that $(G_n, X^{G_n})$ is tight as a sequence of $\mathcal{G}_*[C]$ random elements.
Diffusion Convergence Results: Outline of Proof

\[ \mathbf{X}^G = (\mathbf{X}^{G,v})_{v \in V}, \]  
where

\[ d\mathbf{X}^{G_n,v}_t = b(\mathbf{X}^{G_n,v}_t, \mu^{G_n,v}_t)dt + dW^v_t, \quad v \in V, \quad \mathbf{X}^{G_n}_0 = \mathbf{x}. \]

1. Use stochastic calculus to show that under the assumption, for every \(T < \infty\),

\[ \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_*} \sup_{v \in G} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\mathbf{X}^{G,v}_t|^2 \right] < \infty. \]

2. Apply Aldous’ tightness criterion for measures in \(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})\), where \(\mathcal{C}\) is the space of \(\mathbb{R}^d\)-valued continuous functions on \([0, \infty)\), to show that the family of \(\mathcal{C}\)-valued random variables 
\(\{\mathbf{X}^{G,v}, G \in \mathcal{G}_*, v \in G\}\) is tight.

3. Use above lemma on local convergence of marked graphs to conclude that \((G_n, \mathbf{X}^{G_n})\) is tight as a sequence of \(\mathcal{G}_*[\mathcal{C}]\) random elements.

4. Use stochastic calculus to show that the limit is a (weak) solution of the limit SDE (with \(G_n\) replaced with \(G\)), and
We have shown that $X^{G_n,v}$ can be approximated by a dynamical system on an infinite graph $G$:

$$X^{G,v}_{t+1} = F \left( X^{G,v}_t, \mu^v_t, \xi^{v}_{t+1} \right), \quad v \in V,$$

where $F : S \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times S' \mapsto S$,
or as a diffusion of the form

$$dX^{G,v}_t = b(X^{G,v}_t, \mu^v_t)dt + dW^v_t, \quad v \in V,$$

with $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$,
where in both cases $\mu^v_t$ is the local empirical measure at $v$:

$$\mu^v_t = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} \delta_{X^u_t}, \quad v \in V.$$

Specific Questions:

(2) Is there an autonomous description of the limiting dynamics of a typical particle?
Notation: For a set $A$ of vertices in a graph $G = (V, E)$, define

$$\text{Boundary: } \partial A = \{u \in V \setminus A : \exists u \in A \text{ s.t. } u \sim v\},$$

State space $\mathcal{S}; \{Y^v, v \in V\}$ canonical variables acting on $\mathcal{S}^V$

Defn. A probability measure $\pi$ on $\mathcal{S}^V$ is said to be a Markov Random Field if for $\pi$ a.e. $\eta_A$,

$$\pi \left( Y^A = \eta^A | Y^{V \setminus A} = \eta^{V \setminus A} \right) = \pi \left( Y^A = \eta^A | Y^{\partial A} = \eta^{\partial A} \right)$$
An Equivalent Formulation: \((Y^v)_{v \in V}\) is a Markov random field \(wrt\) \(G = (V, E)\) if for finite \(A \subset V, B \subset V \setminus [A \cup \partial A],\)

\[(Y^v)_{v \in A} \perp (Y^v)_{v \in B} \mid (Y^v)_{v \in \partial A},\]

- Tree structure allows one to analyze the marginal distribution of MRF at a node.
Markov Random Fields or Gibbs measures on Trees are much easier to analyze on trees
e.g. Ising model on the tree

\[(Y_v)_{v \in A} \perp (Y_v)_{v \in B} \mid (Y_v)_{v \in \partial A},\]

Use the implied MRF conditional independence structure to create a recursion, and analyze that recursion to compute the marginal at
\[ X_{t+1}^{G,v} = F \left( X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v, \xi_{t+1}^v \right), \quad v \in V, \]

\[ dX_t^{G,v} = b(X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

**Question A:**
Is the MRF property retained: that is, if \((X_0^v)_{v \in V}\) are iid, at each time \(t\) will \((X_t^v)_{v \in V}\) form a Markov random field?
$X^{G,v}_{t+1} = F \left( X^{G,v}_{t}, \mu^{v}_{t}, \xi^{v}_{t+1} \right), \quad v \in V,$

$dX^{G,v}_{t} = b(X^{G,v}_{t}, \mu^{v}_{t})dt + dW^{v}_{t}, \quad v \in V,$

**Question A:**
Is the MRF property retained: that is, if $(X^{v}_{0})_{v \in V}$ are iid, at each time $t$ will $(X^{v}_{t})_{v \in V}$ form a Markov random field?

**Answer A**

No!
In Search of a Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX^G,v_t = b(X^G,v_t, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

Question B:
Does the following space-time Markov-random field property hold:
For each time \( t \), if \( (X^v_0)_{v \in V} \) are iid, do the particle trajectories \( (X^v[t])_{v \in V} \) form a Markov random field?
Here, \( x[t] = (x(s), s \in [0, t]) \).
\[ dX_{t}^{G,v} = b(X_{t}^{G,v}, \mu_{t}^{v})dt + dW_{t}^{v}, \quad v \in V, \]

**Question B:**

Does the following space-time Markov-random field property hold:

For each time \( t \), if \( (X_{t}^{v})_{v \in V} \) are iid, do the particle trajectories \( (X^{v}[t])_{v \in V} \) form a Markov random field?

Here, \( x[t] = (x(s), s \in [0, t]) \).
In Search of a Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX_t^{G,v} = b(X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

Reformulation of Question B:
Given \( t > 0 \), if \((X_0^v)_{v \in V}\) are iid, for any finite \( A \subset V \), is

\[ X^A[t] \perp X^V \setminus [A \cup \partial A][t] | X^{\partial A}[t]? \]
In Search of a Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX_t^{G,v} = b(X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

Reformulation of Question B:
Given \( t > 0 \), if \((X_0^v)_{v \in V}\) are iid, for any finite \( A \subset V \), is \( X^A[t] \perp X^{V\setminus[A \cup \partial A]}[t]|X^{\partial A}[t]? \)

Answer B
No!
Second-order Markov Random Fields

Double Boundary
\[ \partial^2 A = \partial A \cup [\partial(\partial A) \setminus A] \]

**Definition:** A family of random variables \((Y^v)_{v \in V}\) is a 2nd-order Markov random field if
\[
(Y^v)_{v \in A} \perp (Y^v)_{v \in B} \mid (Y^v)_{v \in \partial^2 A},
\]
for all finite sets \(A, B \subset V\) with \(B \cap (A \cup \partial^2 A) = \emptyset\).
In Search of a Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX_t^{G,v} = b(X_t^{G,v}, \mu_t^v)dt + dW_t^v, \quad v \in V, \]

**Question C:**
If \((X_0^v)_{v \in V}\) are iid, at each time \(t\), do the states \((X^v(t))_{v \in V}\) form a second-order Markov random field?

\[
(X_t^v)_{v \in A} \perp (X_t^v)_{v \in B} \mid (X_t^v)_{v \in \partial^2 A},
\]

for all finite sets \(A, B \subset V\) with \(B \cap (A \cup \partial^2 A) = \emptyset\).
In Search of a Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX_{t}^{G,v} = b(X_{t}^{G,v}, \mu_{t}^{v})dt + dW_{t}^{v}, \quad v \in V, \]

**Question C:**
If \((X_{0}^{v})_{v \in V}\) are iid, at each time \(t\), do the states \((X^{v}(t))_{v \in V}\) form a second-order Markov random field?

\[ (X_{t}^{v})_{v \in A} \perp (X_{t}^{v})_{v \in B} \mid (X_{t}^{v})_{v \in \partial^{2}A}, \]

for all finite sets \(A, B \subset V\) with \(B \cap (A \cup \partial^{2}A) = \emptyset\).

**Answer C:**
NO
At Last A Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX^v(t) = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} b(X^v_t, X^u_t) dt + dW^v_t, \quad (X^v_0)_{v \in V} \text{ i.i.d.} \]

**Question D:**
If \((X^v_0)_{v \in V}\) are iid, at each time \(t\), do the particle trajectories \((X^v[t])_{v \in V}\) form a second-order Markov random field?
At Last A Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX^v(t) = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} b(X^v_t, X^u_t)dt + dW^v_t, \quad (X^v_0)_{v \in V} \text{ i.i.d.} \]

**Question D:**
If \((X^v_0)_{v \in V}\) are iid, at each time \(t\), do the particle trajectories \((X^v[t])_{v \in V}\) form a second-order Markov random field?

**Theorem 4:** (Lacker, R, Wu ’17) **YES!**

\[(X^v[t])_{v \in A} \perp (X^v[t])_{v \in B} \mid (X^v[t])_{v \in \partial^2 A},\]

for all \(A \subset V\) finite and \(B \subset V\) with \(B \cap (A \cup \partial^2 A) = \emptyset\).
At Last A Conditional Independence Property

\[ dX^v(t) = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{u \sim v} b(X^v_t, X^u_t) dt + dW^v_t, \quad (X^v_0)_{v \in V} \text{ i.i.d.} \]

**Question D:**
If \((X^v_0)_{v \in V}\) are iid, at each time \(t\), do the particle trajectories \((X^v[t])_{v \in V}\) form a second-order Markov random field?

**Theorem 4:** (Lacker, R, Wu ’17) YES!

\[(X^v[t])_{v \in A} \perp (X^v[t])_{v \in B} \mid (X^v[t])_{v \in \partial^2 A},\]

for all \(A \subset V\) finite and \(B \subset V\) with \(B \cap (A \cup \partial^2 A) = \emptyset\).

In fact, suffices for \((X^v_0)_{v \in V}\) to form a second-order MRF.
Comments on the Conditional Independence Property

- Relevant to work on Gibbs-non-Gibbs transitions – mostly studied when $G = \mathbb{Z}^m$ (e.g., Dereudre-Roelly ’05; Redig-Roelly-Ruszel ’10)
Comments on the Conditional Independence Property

- Relevant to work on Gibbs-non-Gibbs transitions – mostly studied when $G = \mathbb{Z}^m$ (e.g., Dereudre-Roelly ’05; Redig-Roelly-Ruszel ’10)
- We also need an extension to the case when $A$ is infinite:

**Theorem (Lacker, R, Wu ’18)**

$$(X^v[t])_{v \in A} \perp (X^v[t])_{v \in B} \mid (X^v[t])_{v \in \partial^2 A},$$

for all $A \subset V$, $B \subset V$ with $B \cap (A \cup \partial^2 A) = \emptyset$.

In fact, suffices for $(X^v_0)_{v \in V}$ to form a second-order MRF.