# UNIVERSAL ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS, VERMA MODULES, AND THE DEGREES OF A LIE GROUP NOTES FOR MATH 261, SPRING 2002

#### ALLEN KNUTSON

# 1. The definition, and the universal property

Given a vector space V, define the tensor algebra TV by

$$\mathsf{TV} := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{V}^{\otimes \mathfrak{n}}.$$

This has an obvious structure of an associative graded algebra.

It also has a simple universal property: if A is an associative algebra, and  $\phi: V \to A$  is a linear map, then there exists a unique extension to an algebra map  $\tilde{\phi}: TV \to A$ . Put another way, the functor T from **Vec** to **Alg** is left adjoint to the forgetful functor. The example we saw of such a construction last term was the "group algebra" of a group, which was left adjoint to the "group of units" functor from **Alg** to **Grp**.

There's also a forgetful functor from **Alg** to **Lie**, taking an associative algebra to the Lie algebra whose bracket is defined by [X, Y] := XY - YX.

We now determine its left adjoint. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any old Lie algebra (over any old field), and A an associative algebra. Given a merely *linear* map from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the vector space underlying A, we get an associative algebra map  $T\mathfrak{g} \to A$ . If we can do better and give a *Lie* map from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the Lie algebra associated to A, then this map  $T\mathfrak{g} \to A$  must include all

$$X \otimes Y - Y \otimes X - [X, Y], \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

in its kernel.

So define the **universal enveloping algebra**  $U\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as the quotient of the tensor algebra  $T\mathfrak{g}$  by the ideal generated by these relations. This has the desired property: any Lie map  $\mathfrak{g} \to A$  extends uniquely to an associative map  $U\mathfrak{g} \to A$ .

In particular, every representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (such as the differential of a representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ) gives a module over the algebra  $U\mathfrak{g}$ .

#### 2. The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem

Since the relations XY - YX - [X, Y] mix degree 2 terms in  $T\mathfrak{g}$  with degree 1 terms, the quotient algebra  $U\mathfrak{g}$  isn't graded – it's only filtered: if  $(U\mathfrak{g})_n$  is defined as the image of  $\bigoplus_{i < n} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes i}$ , then  $(U\mathfrak{g})_m (U\mathfrak{g})_n \le (U\mathfrak{g})_{m+n}$ .

Therefore the **associated graded** space  $\bigoplus_n (U\mathfrak{g})_n/(U\mathfrak{g})_{n-1}$  possesses a well-defined graded algebra structure. There is a naïve guess as to what this graded algebra is: just replace each of the filtered relations XY - YX - [X,Y] by its top degree part, XY - YX. That is the **symmetric algebra**  $Sym(\mathfrak{g}) = T\mathfrak{g}/(\{XY - YX\}_{X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}})$ .

One can push this far enough to show that there is a well-defined map from  $Sym(\mathfrak{g})$  onto the associated graded of  $U\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem** (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt). *This map*  $Sym(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$  *is an isomorphism.* 

We only sketch the proof. If the theorem were true, we'd know how to think of the vector space  $Sym(\mathfrak{g})$  as a module over  $U\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we could restrict it to a Lie representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It's actually easy to write down this action, and check that it's well-defined for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then by the universal property, it extends to an action of  $U\mathfrak{g}$ . This turns out to give the map backwards from  $U\mathfrak{g}$  to  $Sym(\mathfrak{g})$ . QED.

Gröbner basis fanatics will note that the original set of relations is a noncommutative Gröbner basis (which also proves PBW).

### 3. The Quasi-classical limit

Instead of imposing XY - YX = [X, Y], introduce a new variable  $\hbar$ , and impose  $XY - YX = \hbar[X, Y]$ . So the quotient, as a vector space, is  $U\mathfrak{g}[\hbar]$ . The PBW theorem says we get a family of algebra structures, on the same vector space, as we take  $\hbar$  actually equal to a parameter and let it vary.

*Exercise*. Show that setting ħ to a number gives us Ug, so long as the number isn't zero.

If we let  $\hbar^2 = 0$  (but not  $\hbar = 0$ ), then any product of elements in Ug has two terms:

$$pq = p \cdot q + \hbar\{p, q\}$$

where the  $p \cdot q$  is the commutative product from  $Sym(\mathfrak{g})$ .

*Exercise*. Show that  $\{p, q\}$ 

- is antisymmetric;
- satisfies the Jacobi identity (so it is a Lie bracket);
- satisfies the Leibniz rule  $\{p, qr\} = \{p, q\}r + q\{p, r\}$ .

This is called a **Poisson bracket** on  $Sym(\mathfrak{g})$ . Geometrically, it corresponds to a 2-tensor on  $\mathfrak{g}^* = SpecSym(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Since it is a 2-tensor, we can contract it with cotangent vectors and get vectors. One can show that the image in the tangent space to  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is the tangent space to the coadjoint orbit through  $\lambda$ , and indeed the tensor is the "inverse" to the symplectic form we defined on coadjoint orbits!

#### 4. VERMA MODULES

We bring up another couple of adjoint functors. Given a subring R of S (or more generally, a ring homomorphism, but let's stick with subring), we can restrict the action of S on an S-module to an R-action, giving a forgetful functor from S-**Mod** to R-**Mod**.

This functor has a left adjoint called **extension of scalars**, taking an R-module A to the S-module  $S \otimes_R A$ . (Note: this doesn't require commutativity, since S is an R-bimodule.)

If we'd been more into rep theory of finite groups, we would have used this to extend a rep V of a finite group H to one of an overgroup G, making  $\mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} V$ . The dimension goes up by the factor |G|/|H|. This is mostly why we've avoided it for Lie groups, because we've avoided infinite-dimensional representations.

But now's the time for them to appear. Let B is a Borel subgroup of a complex Lie group G, so  $\mathfrak b$  a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak g$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak t^*$  a weight. Then let  $\mathbb C_\lambda$  be the one-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak b$ , and define

$$\operatorname{Verm}_{\lambda} := \operatorname{U}\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\operatorname{U}\mathfrak{b}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$$
.

This gives a module for Ug, and therefore a Lie representation of g; it does *not* give a representation for G. We're used to the idea "if G's simply connected, then by exponentiation we can just extend an algebra map to a group map", but that requires that the exponential map converge, and in these infinite-dimensional cases it doesn't.

These Verma modules satisfy a universal property. Let  $Rep_{\lambda}$  denote the category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules equipped with a chosen high weight vector of weight  $\lambda$ . Then  $Verm_{\lambda}$  is the initial object; it has a unique map to any module in this category, taking its high weight vector to theirs.

## 5. The center of the universal enveloping algebra

In this one we assume G is the complexification of a compact group, and in particular that  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$  as G-reps.

If we note that the degeneration of  $U\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$  is G-equivariant, we can follow the G-invariant subspace:

$$Z(U\mathfrak{g})=(U\mathfrak{g})^G\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})^G$$

The latter is G-invariant polynomials on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  – or let us say  $\mathfrak{g}$  – which are determined by their restriction to  $\mathfrak{t}$ , giving a map  $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})^G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\mathfrak{t})^W$ .

*Exercise*. Show the first equality above.

Example. If  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then we're looking at conjugation-invariant functions of a complex matrix. Since the diagonalizable matrices are dense, it suffices to look at  $S_n$ -invariant functions of the diagonal entries. We know these: they're "symmetric polynomials", or equivalently, they're polynomials in the elementary symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues.

In particular, in this case  $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathfrak{t})^W \cong \mathbb{C}[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n]$  where  $e_i$  is of degree i. This commutative ring is in fact a polynomial ring!

In fact the ring  $Z(U\mathfrak{g})$  is always isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathfrak{t})^W$ ; there is an explicit isomorphism called the "Harish-Chandra homomorphism", whose details will not concern us.

# 6. The degrees and exponents of a Weyl Group, and Coxeter elements

**Theorem** (Chevalley). Let V be a vector space with a nondegenerate symmetric form, and W a finite subgroup of O(V) generated by reflections. Then  $Fun(V)^W$  is a polynomial ring. In particular, the degrees of its generators (as a graded ring) are well-defined.

These degrees  $\{d_i\}$  are called the **exponents of the group** W, and have a million interesting properties (our reference is Humphreys' *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*).

**Proposition.** • *The product of the degrees is* |W|.

- The sum of the degrees is the rank of G plus the number of reflections in W.
- The Poincaré polynomial of G/B is  $\prod_i (1-q^{2d_i})/(1-q^2)$ .
- The cohomology ring  $H^*(BG)$  is a polynomial ring, with generators in degrees  $\{2d_i\}$ .

• The cohomology ring  $H^*(G)$  is an exterior algebra, with generators in degrees  $\{2d_i - 1\}$ .

*Exercise.* Check these for U(2), and the first three for U(n).

Subtracting one from each  $d_i$  we get the **exponents**  $\{e_i = d_i - 1\}$  of the Weyl group. (Don't be fooled: these *do* appear often enough to deserve their own name.) To really appreciate them, we first need to introduce Coxeter elements, as follows.

Start by multiplying all the simple reflections in W together exactly once. In  $S_n$  one gets n-cycles this way.

Exercise. Use the fact that Dynkin diagrams are trees to 2-color them "black" and "white". Then one particularly nice way to get a Coxeter element is to multiply all the black reflections together first, and then all the white ones. Show that the answer is well-defined, except for the  $Z_2$  choice of which group is black and which is white. Can one use the affine diagram to break the black/white symmetry?

*Exercise.* Use the fact that Dynkin diagrams are trees to show that the conjugacy class of a Coxeter element is always well-defined. Hint: use induction, and the fact that moving an element from one end of a word to the other is a conjugation.

In particular, one can ask for the eigenvalues of the Coxeter element acting on t. Since it's a finite-order element (with order the Coxeter number h), the eigenvalues are hth roots of unity.

**Proposition.** • The eigenvalues of the Coxeter are  $\exp(2\pi i e/h)$ , as e varies over the exponents. (Hence the name.)

- If  $1 \le e < h$  and (e, h) = 1, then e is an exponent.
- The Coxeter number h is  $|\Delta|$  divided by dim  $\mathfrak{t}_{ss}$  (from the commutator subgroup of G).
- If we make a partition whose rows have length the exponents, then the height of the ith column is the number of positive roots of height i.

*Example.* Let  $G = E_8$ . Then by playing the find-the-highest-root game, we can determine  $|\Delta| = 240$ . So h = 30. Then we get seven es 1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, and we're done!

*Exercise*. Express in these terms the height of the highest root.

*Exercise.* Check all these statements for SU(n).