

# HARISH-CHANDRA HOMOMORPHISM

$$1. \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}^-$$

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{n}^- U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{n}^+$$

$\mathcal{Q}: U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$  projection via  $(\mathfrak{n}^+ U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq (U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{n}^-)^{\mathfrak{h}}$

Lemma:  $\mathcal{Q}: U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$  is an algebra homomorphism

Proof:  $u = \underbrace{h + \mathfrak{n}^+ u^+ + u^- \mathfrak{n}^-}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  if  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

From PBW

$$u_1 \cdot u_2 = h_1 h_2 + N_1^+ (-) + (-) N_2^-$$

$$2. \text{ Let } \mathfrak{S} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \quad \alpha > 0 \text{ means } X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}^+$$

There is a map

$$\mu: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \mu(h) := h - \mathfrak{S}(h)$$

NOT HOMOGENEOUS.

THM:  $\delta = \mu \circ \mathcal{Q}: U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{W}}$  is an isomorphism.

Proof:

Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . We define a module  $M(\lambda)$ ,

$$M(\lambda) := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda-\rho}$$

where  $\mathfrak{n}_+$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda-\rho}$  by 0.

By PBW,  $M(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda-\rho}$

It has a unique irreducible quotient  $L(\lambda)$ .

Let  $v_{\lambda-\rho}$  be the "highest weight." If  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$z \cdot v_{\lambda-\rho} = \chi(z) \cdot v_{\lambda-\rho} = \chi(z)(\lambda-\rho) v_{\lambda-\rho}$$

It follows that  $z$  acts by  $\chi(z)(\lambda)$  on  $M(\lambda)$ .

FACT: Assume  $\lambda$  is dominant.

If  $\lambda' = s_\alpha \lambda$  where  $s_\alpha \in W$  is the

reflection  $\leftrightarrow \alpha$ , then  $M(\lambda') \hookrightarrow M(\lambda)$ .

This follows from an  $sl(2)$ -calculation.

So  $z$  must act by the same scalar:

$$\chi(z)(s_\alpha \lambda) = \chi(z)(\lambda).$$

More general, if  $(\lambda, \alpha) > 0$   $s_\alpha \lambda = \lambda - (\lambda, \check{\alpha}) \alpha$

and  $M(s_\alpha \lambda) \hookrightarrow M(\lambda)$ .

The fact that the map is an isomorphism follows from using  $\text{gr}: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{g})$  and the fact that it gives  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Example  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$   $e, h, f$ .

$$S(h) = 1 \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha(h) = 2, \quad \check{\alpha}(h) = 2$$

$$M(\lambda) = \bigoplus f^n \otimes_{(\lambda-1)}^n$$

$$h \cdot (f^n \otimes v_{\lambda-1}) = (\lambda-1-2n) \cdot (f^n \otimes v_{\lambda-1})$$

$$f \cdot (f^n \otimes v_{\lambda-1}) = f^{n+1} \otimes v_{\lambda-1}$$

$$e \cdot (f^n \otimes v_{\lambda-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f^k h f^{n-1-k} \otimes v_{\lambda-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\lambda-1-2(n-1-k)) f^{n-1-k} \otimes v_{\lambda-1} = [(\lambda-1)(n) - n(n-1)] f^{n-1} \otimes v_{\lambda-1}$$

$$= (n) (\lambda - n) f^{n-1} \otimes v_{\lambda-1}$$

If  $\lambda = N \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $e \cdot (f^N \otimes v_{\lambda-1}) = 0$ , and

$M(-N) \subset M(N)$  and  $\Delta_{\alpha} \lambda = -\lambda$  in this case