

3-22-2016

Note Title

\mathbb{N} -Cohomology

SETTING: $\mathcal{G} \supseteq \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{U} \supseteq \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{U}$

\mathcal{U} called a **Parabolic subalgebra**

(π, V) a \mathcal{G} -module

DEF: $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}[\mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{U}, V] \xrightarrow{d} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}[\mathcal{N}^{i+1} \mathcal{U}, V]$

$$df(x_0 \wedge \dots \wedge x_i) = \sum (-1)^j x_j \cdot f(x_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{\uparrow}{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_i)$$

3/22/2016

satisfies $d^2 = 0$

$$H^i(\underline{x}, V) := \ker d_i / \operatorname{im} d_i$$

☒

Remarks • This comes from de Rham cohomology;
we left invariant forms ☒

$$\bullet H^0(\underline{x}, V) = \{ f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V \mid df(x) = x \cdot f(1) = 0 \}$$
$$\cong V_{\underline{x}} \cdot$$

• See Jacobson, Cartan-Eilenberg for def's
involving projectives / injectives

- H^i satisfies usual cohomology properties

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\pi} W \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\implies H^i(\underline{u}, U) \rightarrow H^i(\underline{u}, V) \rightarrow H^i(\underline{u}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{i+1}(\underline{u}, U) \rightarrow$$

THEOREM: $H^i(\underline{u}, V)$ and $(\underline{L}, \underline{Z})$ -modules.

δ is an $(\underline{L}, \underline{Z})$ -homomorphism \square

"Pf": The "easy" part: action of $\underline{L}, \underline{Z}$
commutes with d .

Harder Part: Commutation with δ

- $f: N'_x \rightarrow W$ $df=0$ gives rise to $\bar{\omega} \in H^i$
- $V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ implies $\exists R \ni \pi R = f$.
- $dh: N^{i+1} \rightarrow V$ satisfies $d(dh) = 0$. Then $\pi(dh) = d(\pi h) = df=0$ so $dh: N^{i+1}_x \rightarrow V$.
- Let $\delta \bar{\omega} := dh \cdot \bar{\omega}$. Then $d(zh) = zdh \Rightarrow \delta(z\bar{\omega}) = z\delta\bar{\omega}$ \square

MAIN INTENTION:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (-1)^i d_R H^i(x, V) &= \sum (-1)^i d_R \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}[N'_x, V] \\ &= \left(\sum (-1)^i d_R N^{i*}_x \right) \cdot d_R V = \text{TT}(1 - \tilde{e}^x) \cdot d_R V \end{aligned}$$

If we can compute $H^i(\underline{u}, V)$, we can compute χV .

This is what happens with irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules \square

Finite Dimensional Reps / Kostant's Theorem

① (π, V) has an infinitesimal character

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathfrak{g}) &= \chi(\mathfrak{u}^-) \otimes \chi(\mathfrak{k}) \otimes \chi(\mathfrak{u}^+) \\ &= \chi(\mathfrak{k}) + [\chi(\mathfrak{u}^-) + \chi(\mathfrak{u}^+)] \end{aligned}$$

As before, $u^{-1}u(y) \cap u(y)^\perp = u(y)^\perp \cap u(y)u^+$

$$P: Z(y) \rightarrow u(y)^\perp$$

THM (Casselman-Osborne)

$$Z \cdot \bar{\omega} = P_{\underline{u}}(Z) \cdot \bar{\omega}$$

$$\bar{\omega} \in H^i(\underline{u}, V)$$

$Z(y)$ \rightarrow $u(\underline{x})$ action

□

Pf: Clear for $i=0$.

Assume true for i . $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow I \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$
with I injective

$$\rightarrow H^i(\underline{u}, I) \rightarrow H^i(\underline{u}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} H^{iH}(\underline{u}, V) \rightarrow H^{iH}(\underline{u}, I)$$

\mathcal{S} is ortho and an $(\underline{R}, \mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphism. = 0

COROLLARY: (1) V is $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite $\implies H^i(\underline{u}, V)$ is $\mathbb{Z}(E)$ finite

(need $\mathbb{Z}(R)$ finite over $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$; woes)

$$\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})_W$$

(2) $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the (generalized) infl character of V

$$\implies w(\lambda) - \rho(\underline{u}) \text{ is the (gen.) infl char. of } H^i$$

$$S(\underline{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \alpha \text{ with } \alpha \in \Delta(\underline{u}, \mathfrak{g})$$

Proof of (2)^u: $T_S \circ P_g : Z(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g)$

$T_S(e) \circ P_L : Z(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g)$

$$P_g = P_L \circ P_u, \quad T_S = T_S(u) \circ T_S(e)$$

$$T_g = T_S \circ P_g = T_S(u) \circ T_S(e) \circ P_L \circ P_u = T_S(u) \circ T_S(e) \circ L \circ P_u$$

GENERALIZED INV'L CHARACTER

$$(z - \chi(z))^m \quad \forall v \in V \text{ (some } m)$$

Say $I \subseteq Z$ of finite codimension annihilates V

Then $\chi_{\underline{z}}(P_{\underline{z}}(T))$ annihilates H^i . But

$$\text{Ker } P_{\underline{z}}(T) = T_{-S(\underline{z})}(\text{Ker } T) \subset T_{-S(\underline{z})}(\text{Im } T) \stackrel{w_e}{\subseteq} \text{Im } T \stackrel{\text{finite codimension}}{\subset} \text{Im } T$$

Let μ occur in $H^i(\underline{z}, V)$. Say $\mu \neq n\lambda - S(\underline{z})$ for any $w \in W$. There is $p \in S(T)$ \exists

$$p(\mu + S(\underline{z})) \neq 0 \text{ but } p(\lambda) = p(n\lambda) = 0 \text{ if } w$$

Let $z \in Z$ be such that $p = \chi(z)$. Then

$$z^n = 0 \text{ on } V; \text{ may as well assume } z = 0 \text{ on } V.$$

$$P_{\underline{z}}(z) \text{ annihilates } H^i(\underline{z}, V).$$

$(\gamma_{\underline{u}} \circ P_{\underline{u}})(z)(\mu) = 0$; but

$$0 = \left[T_{-s(\underline{u})} \circ \gamma_{\underline{g}}(z) \right](\mu) = \gamma_{\underline{g}}(z)(\mu + s(\underline{u})) \\ = P(\mu + s(\underline{u})) \neq 0 \quad \square$$

Want to illustrate this for $F = F_{\Lambda}$ f. dim'd

$$0 \rightarrow M(\Lambda + S) \rightarrow F(\Lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

• Inf'd Character of $F(\Lambda)$ is $\Lambda + S$.

• \mathcal{L} -modules in $H^i(\underline{u}, F)$ have inf'd character $w(\Lambda + S) - s(\underline{u})$

NOTATION: $W = W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \Delta^+$

$w \in W$ $\Delta_+(w) := \{ \alpha \in \Delta^+; w^{-1}\alpha \notin \Delta^+ \}$

$$\ell(w) = |\Delta_+(w)|$$

$$W^1 = \{ w \in W : \Delta^+(w) \subseteq \Delta(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{g}) \}$$

$= \{ w \in W : \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ dominant for $\Delta^+ \Rightarrow w\mu$ dominant for $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{g}) \}$

THM: $H^m(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{F}) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^1} \mathbb{F}_\ell [W(1+\mathfrak{F})^{-\ell}]$

$$\ell(w) = m$$

APPLICATION

$$d_H = \sum_{(-1)}^{e(w)} w(A+S) - \rho$$

$$\frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}{\alpha \in \Delta^+}$$

LEMMA 1: (a) $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho) \implies \langle \alpha, \rho(\alpha) \rangle = 0$

(b) $\alpha \in \Delta(\rho) \implies \langle \alpha, \rho(\alpha) \rangle > 0$

Pf: If $\alpha \in \Pi \subset \Delta^+$ is simple, $\langle \rho, \alpha \rangle = 1$.

Comes from $\Delta_2(\Delta^+) = \Delta^+ \cup \{-\alpha\}$

$$\lambda_S = \rho - (S, \alpha^v) \alpha.$$

$$\alpha \in \text{Tr} \implies \lambda_\alpha(\Delta(\underline{u})) = \Delta(\underline{u}).$$

$$\alpha \in \Delta(\underline{u}) \implies \alpha = \sum_{\beta_i \in \Delta(\underline{u})} m_i \beta_i + \sum_{\beta_j \in \Delta(\rho)} n_j \beta_j$$

with $m_i, n_j \geq 0$. So enough to do for $\alpha \in \Delta(\underline{u})$ simple.

LEMMA 2 $\mu \in F_\Lambda \implies \langle \mu + \rho, \mu + \rho \rangle \leq \langle \mu + \rho, \mu + \rho \rangle$

with $u = u \iff \mu = \Lambda$

Pf: $\mu = \Lambda - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} m_\beta \beta, \quad m_\beta \geq 0$

$$\langle \mu + \mathcal{S}, \mu + \mathcal{S} \rangle = \langle \mu, \mu \rangle + 2\langle \mu, \mathcal{S} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S} \rangle \leq \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle + 2\langle \Lambda - \sum_{\beta} \beta, \mathcal{S} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S} \rangle \leq \langle \Lambda + \mathcal{S}, \Lambda + \mathcal{S} \rangle$$

LEMMA 3 $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1 \dots m} \subset \Delta(\underline{\alpha})$ any subset.

$$\langle w(\Lambda + \mathcal{S}) - \mathcal{S} + \sum \alpha_i, w(\Lambda + \mathcal{S}) - \mathcal{S} + \sum \alpha_i \rangle \geq \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle.$$

$$\stackrel{u = u}{\iff} \{\alpha_i\} = \Delta^+(w)$$

$$\text{PF}^u \quad \textcircled{1} \quad \mathcal{S} - w\mathcal{S} = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+(w)} \beta$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad w(\Lambda + \mathcal{S}) - \mathcal{S} = w\Lambda - \sum \beta + \sum \alpha_i$$

$$A = \Delta^+(n) \setminus \{x_i\}, B = \{\beta : -\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus A\}, C = \{x_i\} \setminus A$$

$$w(N + \rho) - \rho = wN + \sum_{\beta \in C \cup B} \beta \quad \square \quad \Delta^+(n) \setminus A$$

COROLLARY: $[F_\rho [w(N + \rho) - \rho(x_i)]] : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}} [N_{\underline{x}}, F] = 1$

in degree $m = \rho(n)$.

Pf: $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}} [N_{\underline{x}}, F]$ is of the form $\mu = \gamma - \sum x_i$ with γ a weight of F .

NEED: $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \leq \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$ with " " $\Leftrightarrow \gamma = w\lambda$

THE THEOREM FOLLOWS FROM THIS \square

$\gamma = \Lambda - \sum m_{\beta} \beta$ with $m_{\beta} > 0$, $\beta \in \Delta^+$,

$F(\Lambda)$ f. dim'l, may assume γ dominant

$$[\gamma : F(\Lambda)] = [w\gamma : F(\Lambda)] \quad \forall w \in W$$

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = \langle \Lambda - \sum m_{\beta} \beta, \Lambda - \sum m_{\beta} \beta \rangle = \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle - \left(\sum m_{\beta} \beta, \Lambda + \gamma \right) \\ \geq 0$$