

4-21-2016

Note Title

4/21/2016

$\mu \in \hat{T}$ dominant for $\Delta^+(k, t)$

$$\mu \rightsquigarrow \lambda = \mu + 2\rho_c - \rho + \frac{1}{2}\nu \rightsquigarrow \underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda} + \underline{\nu}$$

COROLLARY $\underline{\lambda}_0$ is quasispit and

$$\hat{\lambda}(\mu - 2\rho(\text{unp})) = 0$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION

G connected

$\Delta^+(k, t)$ μ dominant

$\Delta^+(j, j')$ $\mu + 2\rho_c$ dominant

Fix a $\delta \in \hat{K}$ & suppose

$$\left[H^j(\text{unk}, \mu') \otimes \Lambda^{j''}(\text{unp})^* \right]^{\mu - 2\rho(\text{unp})} \neq 0$$

for $(j, j'') \neq (0, R)$

AIM FOR $\|\mu'\|_{\lambda} < \|\mu\|_{\lambda}$

• ϕ weight of H^j $\phi = \sigma(\mu' + \rho_c) - \rho_c$

• ψ weight of $\Lambda^{j''}$

$$\mu - 2\rho(\text{unp}) = \phi - \psi$$

Let $j' = R - j$ $(j, j') \neq (0, 0)$

$$\exists \{\delta_i\} \in \Delta(u \cap p)$$

$$\psi = 2s(u \cap p) - \sum \delta_i$$

$$\sigma(\mu' + s_c) - s_c = \mu - \sum_{i=1} \delta_i$$

$$\mu' + 2s_c \leftrightarrow (\Delta^+)' \rightsquigarrow \lambda'$$

$$\lambda = \mu + 2s_c - s + \frac{1}{2}v$$

$$\lambda' = \mu' + 2s_c - s' + \frac{1}{2}v'$$

$$s_n = s(\Delta^+(p, t)), \quad s'_n = s(\Delta^+'(p, t))$$

$$\sigma^{-1} \lambda = \lambda' + (s'_n - \frac{1}{2}v') - \sigma^{-1}(s_n - \sum \delta_i - v)$$

AIM FOR $\langle \lambda', \lambda' \rangle < \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$.

LEMMA $\{Q = s_n - \sum c_\beta \beta\}$

with $0 \leq c_\beta \leq 1$ is independent of $\Delta^+ \notin W_K$ -invariant

$$\exists \{a_\gamma : \gamma \in \Delta^+\} \quad 0 \leq a_\gamma \leq 1$$

$$\sigma^{-1}(s_n - \sum \delta_i - \frac{1}{2}v) = s'_n - \sum a_\gamma \gamma$$

so

$$\sigma^{-1} \lambda = \lambda' + \sum a_\gamma \gamma - \frac{1}{2}v'$$

$$\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = \langle \sigma^{-1} \lambda, \sigma^{-1} \lambda \rangle \geq \langle \lambda', \lambda' \rangle$$

because

$$\langle \lambda', \sum a_\gamma \gamma - v' \rangle = \langle \lambda', \sum a_\gamma \gamma \rangle \geq 0$$

$$" = " \Leftrightarrow \sum a_\gamma \gamma - v' = 0$$

If " $=$ " $\sigma^{-1} \lambda = \lambda'$ & both are $\Delta^+(\underline{k}, t)$ -dominant, so $\sigma \in W_K^1$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma = 1$, $\lambda = \lambda' \notin \Delta^+$ Δ'^+ differ only by roots $\perp \lambda$.

$$\lambda = \lambda - \sum \gamma_i + (\text{terms } \perp \lambda)$$

$$\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle - \langle \lambda, \sum \gamma_i \rangle$$

& $\gamma_i \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$, so no γ_i .

$$J = l(\sigma) = 0, \quad J' = |\{\gamma_i\}| = 0$$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA

$A \subseteq \Delta(\mathfrak{p}, t)$ any set. \Rightarrow

$$1) |A| = \frac{1}{2} |\Delta(\mathfrak{p}, t)|$$

$$2) A \cup -A = \Delta(\mathfrak{p}, t)$$

$$\text{Put } S(A, \epsilon) := \sum \epsilon \beta \quad \beta \in A, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \epsilon \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Claim These are the weights
in the lemma.

$$\text{Fix } Q = \mathbb{F}_n - \sum c_\beta \beta$$

$$A = \left\{ \beta \in \Delta^+(\mathcal{P}, t) : c_\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup$$

$$\left\{ -\beta : \beta \in \Delta^+ : c_\beta > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

$$e_\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - c_\beta & \beta \in \Delta^+ \\ c_\beta - \frac{1}{2} & \beta \notin \Delta^+ \end{cases}$$

The $S(A, e) \leftrightarrow Q$'s