

4-28-2016

Note Title

4/28/2016

RECALL: $\mu \mapsto \lambda(\mu) = \mu + 2\rho - \rho + \frac{1}{2}\nu$ - 1 -
 $\mapsto \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L} + \mathfrak{u}$

(π, \mathfrak{X}) admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module

$\pi \in \hat{K}$ lambda lowest for \mathfrak{X} if

$\|\mu\|_{\text{lambda}} = \langle \lambda(\mu), \lambda(\mu) \rangle$ is minimal

THM (a) μ lambda lowest \implies

μ is strongly μ -minimal. \square

(b) $\mu - 2\rho(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}) \mapsto \lambda^{\mathfrak{L}}(\mu - 2\rho(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}))$
 $\mapsto \mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{L}} = \mathfrak{L}$.

A K -type $\pi \ni \lambda(\pi) \mapsto \mathfrak{g}$ is called small

They only occur for \mathfrak{g} quasplit

DEF $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$, $\sigma_0 \in \mathfrak{p}_0$

max'l abelian

NOTATION $\sigma_0 \mapsto \underline{m}_0 = C_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma_0)$

$\mathfrak{h}_0 \subseteq \underline{m}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ CSA $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \sigma_0^{\mathfrak{s}}$

max'l split CSA

$\mathfrak{h}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_0$ CSA, $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_0^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ A28-2
fundamental CSA ("compact")

DEF \mathfrak{g}_0 is \mathbb{Q} -split if $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0^{\mathbb{S}}$.

α called real if $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}_0^{\mathbb{S}}} = 0$

Otherwise called complex

RECALL $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g} | \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{S}}, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{S}})$

$\bar{\Delta} = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \Delta \}$ reduced roots

If α is real, can build a

$$\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad \ni$$

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(-X^t) = \theta \varphi_{\alpha}(X)$$

$\varphi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{a}_0$, $\varphi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\varphi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 are root vectors.

$\Phi_{\alpha} :=$ "exp" of φ_{α} . It exists because $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is s.c.

$$Z_{\alpha} := \varphi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{k}_0^{\alpha}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha} = \Phi_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{\alpha}^2 = \mu_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\alpha}^2 = 1. \quad \sigma_{\alpha} \in M'$$

$$\text{and } \sigma_{\alpha} \longrightarrow s_{\alpha} \in M'/M = W$$

These are well defined up to $Ad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$G^d = [G:G], \quad \mathcal{X}(G^d) = [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] \text{ s.s.}$$

G^d is connected,

A-28-3

Sketch of Proof: $G^d = \bigcap \chi$

$\chi \in \hat{G}$ character

Show that G/G_0 is abelian

$$G/G_0 = T^s / (T^s \cap G_0) \quad \square$$

DEF • $\delta \in \hat{M}$ is called fine if

$$\delta|_{(G \cap M)_0} = \text{triv}$$

• $\pi \in \hat{K} \mapsto$ h.wt. μ is called fine

if (a) $\mu(iZ_\alpha) = \{0, \pm 1\} \quad \forall \alpha \text{ real}$

$$(b) \mu|_{\mathfrak{k}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \times$$

THM: (1) μ small $\iff \mu$ fine

$$(2) A(\delta) := \{ \mu \text{ fine} : [\mu|_M : \delta] \neq 0 \}$$

for δ fine

$$(a) A(\delta) \neq \emptyset$$

$$(b) \mu \in A(\delta) \implies \mu = \bigoplus_{w \in W} w \cdot \delta \quad \square$$

DEF: $\delta \in \hat{M}$ fine.

Good Roots: $\bar{\Delta}_\delta = \{ \alpha \text{ real}, \delta(m_\alpha) = 1 \}$

PROPERTY $\bar{\Delta}_\mathcal{F}$ is a rootsystem 4-28-4

$\neq W(\bar{\Delta}_\mathcal{F})$ stabilizes \mathcal{F}

• $W_\mathcal{F}^0 = W(\bar{\Delta}_\mathcal{F})$

• $M'_\mathcal{F} = \text{Stab}_{M'}(\mathcal{F})$

• $W_\mathcal{F} = \text{Stab}_W(\mathcal{F})$

• $R_\mathcal{F} = W_\mathcal{F} / W_\mathcal{F}^0$

LEMMA: $W_\mathcal{F}^0 \subseteq W_\mathcal{F}$ is normal \neq

$R_\mathcal{F}$ is a product of \mathbb{Z}_2 's

(c) $\hat{R}_\mathcal{F}$ acts transitively \neq naturally
on $A(\mathcal{F})$

Example: $G = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

$$= \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : XJ + JX^t = 0 \right\}$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a^t \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} b = b^t \\ c = c^t \end{array} \right\}$$

$\sigma_0^s = \text{diagonal}$. Roots $\{ \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \pm 2\varepsilon_i \}$

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{n}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} x + x^t = 0 \\ y - y^t = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

All roots are real; split group

$M = \text{diag}(\pm 1, \dots, \pm 1) / \pm 1 \dots \pm 1$ 4-28-5
 with the required symmetry

$G^d = G \notin (G^d \cap M)_0 = \{I\}$ so all $\delta \in \hat{M}$
 are fine.

$$M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n, \quad \delta \leftrightarrow (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \quad \varepsilon_i = \pm 1$$

Orbits under W : $(\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_k \underbrace{-1 \dots -1}_{n-k}) = \delta_k$

$$\overline{\Delta}_\delta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \pm 2\varepsilon_i \quad i, j \leq k \\ \pm \varepsilon_\ell \pm \varepsilon_m \quad k < \ell, m \end{array} \right\}$$

$$W_\delta^0 = W(C_k) \times W(D_k)$$

$$W_\delta = W(C_k) \times W(C_k)$$

$$R_\delta \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ unless } k=n \text{ when } R_\delta = 1$$

Size of $W \cdot \delta_k$ is $\binom{n}{k}$. The rep'n

$\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^n$ of $U(n)$ decomposes as $\oplus_{W \cdot \delta_k}$

Same for $\Lambda^{n-k} (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$

$$(\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_k \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{n-k}) \notin (\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{n-k} \underbrace{-1 \dots -1}_k)$$

The two are related by $\text{Ad} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}$

which is not in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$, but
 normalizes it.

Fine K-types. Enough to 4-28-6

look at $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\alpha = 2\varepsilon_1: Z_\alpha = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \alpha = 2\varepsilon_2: Z_\alpha = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\alpha = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2: Z_\alpha = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad \alpha = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2: Z_\alpha = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

FACT: $Z_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}$ & $Z_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}$ are conjugate under $K \square$

$$\pi \in \hat{K} \leftrightarrow \mu = (a_1, a_2) \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a_1 - a_2 = \{0, \pm 1\} \quad a_1, a_2 = \{0, \pm 1\}$$

ONLY CHOICES: (0 0)

$$(1 0), (0 -1)$$

$$(1 1), (-1 -1)$$

$$\underline{G = SU(n, n)}: \mathfrak{g}^s = \mathfrak{h}^s + \mathfrak{a}^s = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \theta & t \\ \hline t & \theta \end{array} \right]$$

$$\pi \in \hat{K} \leftrightarrow \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

The condition for fine K-type

requires $\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}^s} = 0$ (from $\mathbb{C} \times$ roots)

Together with the condition on real

$$\text{roots, get } \pi(\text{diag}(g_1, g_2)) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ \det g_1 \\ \det g_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{W-orbit of} \\ \delta \in \hat{M} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi \in \hat{K} \text{ fine} \\ [\pi: \delta] \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}. \quad 4-28-7$$

Given a $\delta \in \hat{M}$ fine, want to construct a rep'n with LKT $\pi \in A(\delta)$.

$$\delta \in \hat{M}, \nu \in \hat{A} \mapsto \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes \nu) := X(\delta, \nu)$$

The K -types in $A(\delta)$ occur with mult 1
($\pi = \bigoplus w\delta$).

WANT: $\pi \in A(\delta)$ are THE lambda lowest for $X(\delta, \nu)$.

TRUE, and this implies that for each $\mu \in A(\delta)$ there is a subquotient $\bar{X}(\delta, \nu, \mu)$.

The example of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ shows that it can happen that

$$\bar{X}(\delta, \nu, \mu_1) \cong \bar{X}(\delta, \nu, \mu_2)$$

This depends on ν .

PRINCIPAL SERIES

QUASI-SPLIT GROUP G

$$H^S = T^S \cdot A^S \quad T^S = M, \quad A^S = A$$

$$H^S = H$$

$$\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{m} + \alpha, \mathfrak{A})$$

4-28-8

$\Delta^+ \subseteq \Delta$ positive system

gives $G = KNA \quad N \leftrightarrow \Delta^+$
 $= KAN$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \Delta \}$$

$$W = W(\bar{\Delta}) = M'/M$$

$$\mathfrak{S} \in \hat{M}, \quad \nu \in \hat{A} \quad a \rightarrow$$

w comes from $m' \in M'$ $(\nu \circ \mathfrak{S})(m) = \mathfrak{S}(m' m m'^{-1})$.

independent of the representative

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S}, \nu}^P := \{ f: G \rightarrow V_{\mathfrak{S}} \mid f(gmna) = a^{-\nu-P} \mathfrak{S}(m') f(g) \}$$

$$T(\alpha) f(g) = f(\alpha^{-1} g)$$

Generalize to $P^1 \supseteq P$ where

$$P^1 = M^1 A^1 N^1 \quad N^1 \subseteq N, A^1 \subseteq A, M^1 \supseteq M$$

PROPOSITION: If $\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \nu_1$ is unitary,

then so is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \nu_1}^{P^1}$

Integral Formulas

$$(a) \int_G f(g) dg = \int_{KNA} f(kna) dk dn da$$

$$= \int_{KAN} f(kan) a^{2\sigma} dk da dn \quad 4-28-9$$

$$(b) \int_K f(k) dk = \int_{\bar{N} \times M} f(mk(\bar{n})) a(\bar{n})^{-2\sigma} d\bar{n} dm$$

Here, $\int_{\bar{N}} a^{-2\sigma} d\bar{n} = 1$ (normalization)

$$\bar{n} = k(\bar{n}) a(\bar{n}) n(\bar{n})$$

Iwasawa decomposition \square

$$\int_K f_1(gk) \overline{f_2(gk)} dk \stackrel{?}{=} \int_K f_1(k) \overline{f_2(k)} dk$$

$f = f_1 \cdot \overline{f_2}$ satisfies (v imaginary)

$$f(gman) = a^{-2\sigma} f(g) \quad \& \text{unitary}$$

$$\int_K f(gk) dk = \int_K f(k) dk$$

Let $g = n_0 a_0$ and $\Psi(kna) = \Psi_0(na)$

4-28-10

$$\int_G f(g^{-1}x) \psi(x) dx \stackrel{?}{=} \int f(x) \psi(x) dx$$

$$\int_G f(x) \psi(gx) dx = \int_K f(k) dk \int_{NA} a_0^{-2s} \psi(n_0 a_0 n a) dn da$$

$$= \int_K f(k) dk \int_{NA} \psi(n_0 a_0 n a) dn da = \int_G f(x) \psi(x) dx \quad \square$$

δ can be assumed unitary
when using $P_0 = M_0 A_0 N_0$ minimal
parabolic subgroup \square

$v = \operatorname{Re} v + i \operatorname{Im} v$. Use induction in
stages. $P^1 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re} v$.

$P^1 = M^1 A^1 N^1$ and use

$$\delta^1 = \operatorname{Ind}_P^{P^1} [\delta \otimes i \operatorname{Im} v] \quad v^1 = \operatorname{Re} v$$

$\delta^1 = \bigoplus \pi_i$ each unitary,

$$\mathcal{H}_P(\delta \otimes v) = \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_{P^1}(\pi_i \otimes \operatorname{Re} v).$$

