

4_5_2016

Note Title

4/5/2016

Given an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module (π, X) want to compute a cohomology

$H^*(\underline{u}, X)$. From this we construct a "standard module" \mathcal{K} such that when (π, X) is irreducible, it is a subquotient of \mathcal{K} . This leads to a "classification" of irreducible admissible modules.

REFERENCES: Vogtmann, Algebraic Structure of Representations
Annals of Math

Vogtmann "Green Book"

Knapp-Vogtmann

- Type of parabolic subalgebra
 $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$ Cartan decomposition
 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ complexification
 $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_0$ a CSA of \mathfrak{k}_0
 $\mathfrak{h}_0 := C_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ a CSA of \mathfrak{g}_0
• $\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ complexifications

$\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}_0$. $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \mathfrak{g} = \underline{\mathfrak{l}} + \underline{\mathfrak{u}}$ parabolic subalgebra

$\underline{\mathfrak{l}} \ni \mathfrak{h}$ centralizer of λ , $\underline{\mathfrak{l}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$

Choose a $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$.

$\text{ad } \lambda$ has real eigenvalues

$$\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{\xi(\lambda) > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\xi$$

\mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{Q} -stable, $\theta\lambda = \lambda \implies \theta\underline{\mathfrak{l}} = \underline{\mathfrak{l}}$
 $\theta\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{v}$.

There is also $\bar{\mathfrak{u}} = \bigoplus_{\xi(\lambda) < 0} \mathfrak{g}_\xi$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \underline{\mathfrak{u}} + \underline{\mathfrak{l}} + \bar{\mathfrak{u}}$$

Since λ is imaginary, $\bar{\underline{\mathfrak{l}}} = \underline{\mathfrak{l}}$ and
 $\bar{\bar{\mathfrak{u}}} = \underline{\mathfrak{u}}$

$$\mathfrak{l} = (\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{k}) + (\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{p}), \quad \mathfrak{u} = (\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}) + (\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})$$

FROM KOSTANT'S THEOREM we know
 $H^*(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}, X) = \sum_{\hat{\gamma} \in \hat{K}} m_\gamma H^*(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}, F(\gamma))$

Want to deduce information about X from some $m_\mu \neq 0$

Find an $H^*(\underline{\mathfrak{u}}, X) \neq 0$ for a special $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}$

① Find necessary condition for $H^*(\underline{u}; X) \neq 0$

② Construct the \underline{u} from μ .

② is the more technical one.

$$S = \dim(\underline{u} \cap \underline{k}), \quad R = \dim(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P})$$

$$\Lambda^{\underline{u}} = \bigoplus_{I+J=n} \Lambda^I(\underline{u} \cap \underline{k}) \otimes \Lambda^J(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P})$$

$$\text{Hom}[\Lambda^{\underline{u}}, X] = \sum \text{Hom}[\Lambda^I(\underline{u} \cap \underline{k}), X] \otimes \Lambda^J(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P})^*$$

- Need to keep track of $\underline{\text{lnk}}$ and \underline{k}
- Need to use d in these coordinates

- $\Lambda^*(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq a \leq N} V_a$ ($\underline{\text{lnk}}$)-modules

(a) $V_0 = \Lambda^0(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P}), \quad V_N = \Lambda^R(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P})$

(b) $V_a \subseteq \Lambda^{r(a)}(\underline{u} \cap \mathbb{P}), \quad a \leq a' \Rightarrow r(a) \leq r(a')$

(c) $\text{ad}(\underline{\text{lnk}}) V_a \subseteq \text{span}\{V_0, \dots, V_{a'}\}$
 $a' < a$

We can use λ to achieve this \boxtimes

$(\underline{u} \wedge \underline{k})$ acts nilpotently on $(\underline{u} \wedge \underline{P})$.
 Lie-Engel's theorem implies action is upper triangular; use appropriate labels.

$$\text{Hom}[\Lambda^* \underline{u}, X] = \sum_{m=n(a)} \text{Hom}[\Lambda^* \underline{u} \wedge \underline{k}, X] \otimes V_a^*$$

$$Q \in \Lambda^m(\underline{u} \wedge \underline{k}) \quad P \in V_a \subseteq \Lambda^{n(a)}(\underline{u} \wedge \underline{P})$$

$$df(Q \wedge P) = \text{sum of}$$

$$(i) \sum (-1)^i z_i f(z_i Q \wedge P)$$

$$(ii) \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} f([z_i, z_j] \wedge z_i Q \wedge P)$$

$$(iii) \sum (-1)^i P_i f(Q \wedge z(P_i) P)$$

$$(iv) \sum (-1)^{i+j} f([P_i, P_j] \wedge Q \wedge z(P_i, P_j) P)$$

$$(v) \sum (-1)^{i+j} f([z_i, P_j] \wedge z_i Q \wedge z(P_j) P)$$

Use the appropriate ranges for i and j in each sum

NOTE: (i) + (ii) is $\underline{dunk} \otimes 1$

CONSIDER:

$f \in \text{Hom}[\Lambda^{I+R} \underline{u}: X]$ which is 0 except on $\Lambda^I(\text{unk}) \otimes \Lambda^R(\text{unp})$.

Apply d:

(i) + (ii) give $d_{\text{unk}} \otimes 1$

(iii), (iv), (v) are zero.

We get a map

$$\pi_I: H^I(\text{unk}, X) \otimes \Lambda^R(\text{unp}) \xrightarrow{*} H^{I+R}(\underline{u}, X)$$

A SPECIAL CASE IS $I=0$.

$\mu \in \hat{K}$ is a highest

LHS has weight $\mu - 2\rho(\text{unp})$.

If $\pi_I \neq 0$, then $H^{I+R}(\underline{u}, X)^{\mu - 2\rho(\text{unp})} \neq 0$

NOTE: $\Lambda^R(\text{unp})$ is a 1-dimensional

(unk) -module; $2\rho(\text{unp}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\text{unp})} \alpha$

FILTRATION

$$A^n = \text{Hom}[\Lambda^n \underline{u}, X], \quad A = \bigoplus A^n$$

$$A_a^n = \left\{ f(Q \wedge P) = 0 \quad \forall Q \in \Lambda^{\alpha}(\underline{u} \wedge k) \right. \\ \left. P \in V_a, \text{ for } a' < a, \text{ deg } Q + \text{deg } P = n \right\}$$

MAIN FACT:

d preserves the filtration

DEF: $\mu \in \mathbb{K}^1$ is called

strongly \underline{u} -minimal in X

$$\text{if } [H^j(\underline{u} \wedge k, \gamma) \otimes \Lambda^j(\underline{u} \wedge p)^*]_{\mu - 2j(\underline{u} \wedge p)} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow X(\gamma) = 0$$

THM: If $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ is strongly

\underline{u} -minimal, then

$$\pi_0: H^0(\underline{u} \wedge k, X)_{\mu} \otimes \Lambda^R(\underline{u} \wedge p)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} H^R(\underline{u}, X)_{\mu - 2j(\underline{u} \wedge p)}$$