

4-7-2016

Note Title

4/7/2016

Computing $H^*(\underline{u}, X)$.

LEMMA 1: (a) $\alpha \in \Delta(l) \Rightarrow \langle \alpha, \rho(\underline{u}) \rangle = 0$

(b) $\alpha \in \Delta(u) \Rightarrow \langle \alpha, \rho(u) \rangle > 0$

LEMMA 2 $\mu \in F(\Lambda) \Rightarrow \|\mu + \rho\|^2 \leq \|\Lambda + \rho\|^2$

with $\mu = \mu \iff \mu = w\Lambda$ for some $w \in W$

PROOF: $\mu = \Lambda - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} m_\beta \beta, m_\beta > 0$

$$\langle \mu + \rho, \mu + \rho \rangle = \langle \mu, \mu \rangle + 2\langle \mu, \rho \rangle$$

$$+ \langle \rho, \rho \rangle \leq \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle + 2\langle \Lambda - \sum m_\beta \beta, \rho \rangle$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \langle \mu, \mu \rangle \\ \leq \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle \end{array} \right\} + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle + 2\langle \Lambda, \rho \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle = \langle \Lambda + \rho, \Lambda + \rho \rangle$$

$$\langle \rho, \beta \rangle > 0 \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta^+$$

LEMMA 3: $\{\alpha_i\} \subseteq \Delta(u)$. Then

$$\|w(\Lambda + \rho) - \rho + \sum \alpha_i\|^2 \geq \|\Lambda\|^2 \quad \square$$

COROLLARY:

$$\left[F_{\mathbb{R}}(w(\Lambda + \rho) - \rho) : \text{Hom}(\Lambda^m_{\underline{u}}, F) \right] = 1$$

Proof: A weight of $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^m \underline{u}, F)$ is of the form $\mu = \gamma - \sum \alpha_i$ with $\{\alpha_i\} \in \Delta(\underline{u})$ of size m .

Use $\text{Hom}(A, B) \simeq A^* \otimes B$

$l \otimes b \mapsto \psi_{l,b}(a) = l(a)b \quad \square$

In turn $\gamma = \Lambda - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} m_\beta \beta, m_\beta > 0$

MULTIPLICITY OF μ :

of expressions $\gamma - \sum \alpha_i$
counted with multiplicity of γ
 \underline{u}

$\mu = w(\Lambda + \rho) - \rho$; Then

$\gamma = w(\Lambda + \rho) - \rho + \sum \alpha_i$ satisfies

(a) $\|\gamma\|^2 \geq \|\Lambda\|^2$ (Lemma 3)

(b) $\|\gamma\|^2 \leq \|\Lambda\|^2$ (F finite dim'l)

So $\|\gamma\|^2 = \|\Lambda\|^2$, therefore

$\{\alpha_i\} = \Delta^+(w) \quad \square$

$\gamma = w\Lambda + (w\rho - \rho) + \sum \alpha_i = w\Lambda$

- Multiplicity of $w\lambda$ is 1
 - Only one choice of $\{\alpha_i\}$
-

Need to show $w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ is a highest weight for \underline{l} .

If not, $w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho + \alpha_0$ is a weight in $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^m \underline{u}, F)$ where $\alpha_0 \in \Delta^+(\underline{l})$. Then

$$w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho = \gamma - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i$$

As before, $\{\alpha_i\} = \Delta^+(w)$

But $\Delta^+(w)$ cannot contain an $\alpha_0 \in \Delta(\underline{l})$; by assumption $w \in W^1$



Proof of Theorem

- $\text{Hom}[F_{\underline{l}}(\mu) : H^m(\underline{u}, F)] \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mu + \rho(\underline{l}) = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho(\underline{u})$$

inf'l Character

$$\text{So } \mu = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu + \rho = w(\lambda + \rho)$$

- μ dominant for $\Delta^+(l) \Rightarrow$
 - $\mu \in \rho$ dominant for $\Delta^+(l) \Rightarrow$
 - $w(1+\rho)$ dominant for $\Delta^+(l) \Rightarrow w \in W^1$
 - By the Corollary, $F_e(w(1+\rho) - \rho)$ occurs exactly once in $\text{Hom}[\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^{l(w)}, F]$ QED
-

SEE NOTES FROM 4/5

FOR NEXT MATERIAL

SUPPLEMENTS

Cartan Subalgebras

$\mathfrak{h}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$ real CSA's

Fundamental CSA

Assume $K \subseteq G$ connected for
Simplicity

Let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_0$ be a CSA of \mathfrak{k}_0

$T = C_K(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ is the CSG

PROPOSITION: $\mathfrak{g}_0 := C_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(t_0)$ is a
CSA of \mathfrak{g}_0 ($\mathfrak{g} = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(t)$ complexification)

Pf: $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0^{\circ}$, \mathfrak{g} has a real form

$\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + i\mathfrak{p}_0^{\circ}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is the
complexification of $\mathfrak{k}_0 + i\mathfrak{p}_0^{\circ} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_0$

So \mathfrak{g} is reductive. Then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is
semisimple or 0. If we show

$$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k},$$

then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$.

$$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] + [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}^{\circ}] + [\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}, \mathfrak{p}^{\circ}]$$

But $[\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}, \mathfrak{p}^{\circ}] \subseteq C_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{k}$. QED

• Note that $\theta \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$. $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0$

Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ be the roots,

$$\theta \Delta = \Delta \quad \& \quad \Delta \subseteq i t_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0.$$

Let B be the K -invariant form

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{k}_0 , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{a}_0 .

• Any $\alpha \in \Delta$ satisfies $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{k}} \neq 0$.

Otherwise $X_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\underline{t})$.

$$\Delta = \Delta_{im} \cup \Delta_{cx}$$

$$\Delta_{im} = \{ \alpha : \alpha | \underline{a} = 0 \}$$

$$\Delta_{cx} = \{ \alpha : \alpha | \underline{a} \neq 0 \}$$

• X a root vector $\Rightarrow \theta X$ a root vector.

• Restricted Roots.

($\mathfrak{h} \neq \mathfrak{g}$).

Examples:

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(p, q)$: \mathfrak{h} is the diagonal.

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$. These are called
"equal rank groups"

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_i & \theta_i \\ -\theta_i & t_i \end{pmatrix} \mid t_i, \theta_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{h} = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta_i \\ -\theta_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{a} = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_i & 0 \\ 0 & t_i \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Other Classical Equal Rank Gps

$$Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), So(2p, 2q), So(2p+1, 2q)$$

$$Sp(2p, 2q), So^*(2n)$$

Unequal Rank: $gl(n, \mathbb{R}), sl(n, \mathbb{R})$

$$U^*(2n)$$

$$\alpha \in \Delta \mapsto (a, b)$$

$$\theta \alpha \in \Delta \mapsto (a, -b)$$

Restricted Roots are the $(a, 0)$.

$b=0$ \iff imaginary roots

$$\theta X_\alpha = c X_\alpha \quad c = \pm 1$$

$c=1$ compact root $-c$

$c=-1$ noncompact root $-mc$

$$\underline{b \neq 0} \quad X + \theta X \in \mathfrak{k}, \quad X - \theta X \in \mathfrak{p}$$

\nearrow
cpct

\nearrow
ncpct

tr-roots

! same restriction to \mathfrak{h} !

CLAIM: $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 2$ ($b \neq 0$)

PROOF: Need (a, b') a \mathfrak{h} -root
then $b' = \pm b$.

$$\langle (a, b), (a, b') \rangle = (a, a) + (b, b')$$

Changing (a, b) to $(a, -b)$ if necessary,

$$\langle (a, b), (a, b') \rangle \geq (a, a) > 0$$

\Rightarrow

$$(a, b) - (a, b') = (0, b - b') \in \Delta$$

But \mathfrak{h} is fundamental, any root
has $\neq 0$ restriction to \mathfrak{h} .

NOTE: $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$ if $\alpha \in \Delta_{im}$.

θ -invariant Positive System

Fix $\gamma \in i\mathfrak{h}_0 \ni \langle \gamma, a \rangle \neq 0$ for
any \mathfrak{h} -root a .

$$\Delta^+ = \{ \alpha \in \Delta; \langle \alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \gamma \rangle > 0 \}$$

Δ^+ is a positive system $\Rightarrow \theta \Delta^+ = \Delta^+$

Compact Weyl Group

$W_K := W(\underline{k}, \underline{t})$. $a = \alpha \in \Delta_{im}$

a a cpct \mathfrak{h} root $\leftrightarrow \alpha \leftrightarrow X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha$

$$a = \alpha \in \Delta_{im} \mapsto s_\alpha$$

$$\alpha = (a, b), (a, b) - \theta(a, b) \notin \Delta$$

$$(a) (2a, 0) = (a, b) + (a, -b) \in \Delta$$

(b) $(2a, 0)$ NOT A ROOT. Then

$$\langle \alpha, \theta\alpha \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle a, a \rangle = \langle b, b \rangle$$

$$(a) s_\alpha \mapsto s_{(2a, 0)}$$

$$(b) s_\alpha \mapsto s_{(a, b)} \circ s_{(a, -b)} = s_{(a, -b)} \circ s_{(a, b)}$$

