## Taming Moduli Problems in Algebraic Geometry Daniel Halpern-Leistner

## 1 November 3, 2016

From last time: If X is a variety such that  $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is projective (equivalently, X is a closed Gequivariant subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$ ). Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . For any invariant global section  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^n)^G$ , the set  $X_f = \{x \in X | f(x) \neq 0\}$  is a G-equivariant affine. Moreover, the map

$$q: \bigcup_f X_f/G \to \operatorname{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^n)^G\right)$$

is a good moduli space. This GMS is projective over  $\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X)^G)$ . Moreover, the Hilbert-Mumford criterion still holds as stated. (To see this, one notes that X/G is a substack of  $\operatorname{Spec}(\bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^n))/G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ .)

**Remark 1.1.** The quotient X/G itself does not necessarily have a good moduli space because for example G-equivariant sheaves could have higher cohomology.

Some immediate consequences of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion include.

- (i)  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{L}) = X^{ss}(\mathcal{L}^n)$  for n > 0. As a result, one can consider GIT for any *G*-linearized ample  $\mathcal{L}$ . Additionally, stability is well-defined with respect to  $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X/G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .
- (ii)  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$  depends only on  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2_G(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . Indeed, the criterion only depends on the weight of a pullback of  $\mathcal{L}$  at the origin, which depends only on the cohomology class. (This is useful because the cohomology group is finite-dimensional, whereas the group of line bundles could be very large.)
- (iii) perturbation of stability: How does  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{L} + \epsilon \mathcal{L}')$  compare to  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$  for small  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ ? The answer is that  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{L} + \epsilon \mathcal{L}') \subset X^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ . The informal idea is the following: For any unstable point  $p \in X/G$ , there is a map  $f : \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m \to X/G$  taking 1 to p such that  $\operatorname{wt}(f^*\mathcal{L}|_{\{0\}}) < 0$ , but then for small  $\epsilon$  we still have  $\operatorname{wt}(f^*(\mathcal{L} + \epsilon \mathcal{L}')|_{\{0\}}) = \operatorname{wt}(f^*\mathcal{L}|_{\{0\}}) + \epsilon \cdot \operatorname{wt}(f^*\mathcal{L}'|_{\{0\}}) < 0$ .

For example, if X is affine and  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X$ , then  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{O}_X) = X$ . We saw that  $X^{ss}(\mathcal{O}_X + \epsilon \mathcal{L}) \subset X^{ss}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ .

(iv) If  $Y/G \to X/G$  is a representable finite map, then  $Y^{ss}(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) = \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(\mathcal{L}))$ . An important special case includes closed immersions. To prove this, the idea is that properness implies that for each  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$  and each map  $f : \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m \to X/G$  satisfying f(1) = x, there is a unique lift  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m \to Y/G$  such that  $\tilde{f}(1) = y$ .

**Remark 1.2.** An  $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X^{ss}(\mathcal{L})/G)$  has the property that for each  $f : \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m \to X^{ss}(\mathcal{L})/G$ , we have  $\operatorname{wt}(f^*\mathcal{L}|_{\{0\}}) = 0$ . Or for each  $x \in X^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$  fixing x, we have  $\operatorname{wt}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{L}_x) = 0$ .

**Example 1.3.** Let  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ . There is an action of  $SL_2$  on Y. Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r_n).$$

All one-parameter subgroups  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to SL_2$  are conjugate to diag $(t^k, t^{-k})$ . This is equivalent to choosing a coordinate system on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The limit point of  $t \cdot [\alpha : \beta]$  as  $t \to 0$  is

$$\begin{cases} [0:1] & \beta \neq 0\\ [1:0] & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{wt}_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(r_{i})|_{[0:1]} &= r_{i} \\ \operatorname{wt}_{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(r_{i})|_{[0:1]} &= -r_{i} \end{split}$$

For a point  $y = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n) = ([\alpha_1 : \beta_1], \ldots, [\alpha_n : \beta_n])$ , we have

$$\operatorname{wt}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{L}_{\lim_{t\to 0}\lambda(t)\cdot y}) = \sum_{i} \pm r_{i}$$

where the sign is + if  $\beta_i \neq 0$  and - otherwise. Note that this weight is  $\geq 0$  if and only if

$$\sum_{\ell_i = [1:0]} r_i \leqslant \sum_{\ell_i \neq [1:0]} r_i.$$

In general, a point  $y = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n)$  is  $\mathcal{L}$  semi-stable if and only if for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\ell_i=\ell} r_i \leqslant \sum_{\ell_i\neq\ell} r_i.$$

**Remark 1.4.** If  $r_1 = \cdots = r_n$  in the example above, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  under the map  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^n(k^2))$ . It follows that a point  $\varphi(x, y) \in \operatorname{Sym}^n(k^2)$  is semistable if and only if there is no linear factor of multiplicity > n/2.

**Remark 1.5.** Can ask how  $Y^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$  varies as  $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$   $(\mathbb{Q}_{>0})^n$ . The condition  $y \in Y^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$  amounts to a finite set of linear inequalities on  $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ . This example is a first stepping stone into the theory of variation of GIT quotients.

Another consequence of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion is the following. Fix a maximal torus  $T \subset G$ . A point  $x \in X$  is G-semistable if and only if for each  $g \in G$ , the point  $g \cdot x$  is T-semistable. Indeed, the implication T-unstable  $\implies$  G-unstable is immediate. If X is G-unstable, then there is a point  $(x, \lambda)$  with  $\mu(x, \lambda) < 0$  and up to conjugation,  $\lambda$  belongs to T, i.e., we can find a  $g \in G$  such that  $(gx, g\lambda g^{-1})$  is a T-destabilizing datum.

**Example 1.6.** Let  $SL_3$  act on  $\mathbb{P}(Sym^3\mathbb{C}^3)$ , the space of degree 3 curves in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . What are the semistable points?

First, consider T-semistability. A maximal torus is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ . One such torus is diag $(t_1, t_1^{-1}t_2, t_2^{-1})$ .

Consider the character of the *T*-representation  $\operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}^3$ . [There is a diagram of points corresponding to the action on the standard eigenbasis for  $\operatorname{Sym}\mathbb{C}^3$  in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  which I could not draw in real time.] A map  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$  can be thought of as a co-direction in the diagram. Take a point p and consider its coordinates  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  with respect to the eigenbasis. Then for  $\lambda$ , the limit point  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p$  is the projection of ponto the lowest weight eigenspace in which p has a connzero coefficient. Define the subset  $\operatorname{St}(p)$  to be the convex hull in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  of weights for which  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ . It follows that p is T- semistable if and only if  $\operatorname{St}(p)$  contains the origin.