

## Math 4310 Prelim 1 Solutions

**Problem 1.** (a) False; since 0 is a rational number,  $0 \notin \mathbb{I}$  and thus  $\mathbb{I}$  doesn't have an additive identity! (Among many other problems).

(b) False; if  $F$  has characteristic 2 (e.g.  $F = \mathbb{F}_2$ ) then  $v + v = 2_F v = 0v = 0$ .

(c) True. It's easy to see it contains 0 and is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

(d) False. The rank-nullity theorem in this case tells us  $\dim \ker(T) + \dim \text{img}(T) = 9$ , so if  $\ker(T) = \text{img}(T)$  then we get  $2 \dim \ker(T) = 9$ , which is impossible since dimensions have to be integers.

(e) True. The linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  given by  $f \mapsto (f(0), \frac{df}{dx}(0), \dots, \frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(0))$  has kernel  $U_k$ , and then the first isomorphism theorem tells us  $\mathbb{R}[x]/U_k \cong \text{img } T$  where  $\text{img } T$  is some subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  and thus is finite-dimensional. (In fact  $\text{img } T = \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ ).

**Problem 1.** (a)  $W$  being finite-dimensional means that it has a finite spanning set  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ , and  $V/W$  being finite-dimensional means that it has a finite spanning set  $v_1 + W, \dots, v_n + W$ . We claim that  $w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a finite spanning set for  $V$  (where we've taken the spanning set for  $W$  together with *coset representatives* for the spanning set for  $V$ ).

To see this, suppose  $v \in V$ . Then  $v + W$  is in  $V/W$  and can be written as a linear combination of the  $v_i + W$ 's:

$$v + W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(v_i + W) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \right) + W.$$

By definition of  $V/W$  as a quotient space, this equality means that  $v - \sum a_i v_i \in W$ . But since the  $w_i$ 's span  $W$ , we have  $v - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j w_j$  which rearranges to let us write our arbitrary  $v \in V$  in the span of the  $v_i$ 's and  $w_j$ 's.

(b) For  $V = F[x]$ , we can take:

- $W = 0$  (the trivial subspace) gives  $W$  finite-dimensional and  $V/W \cong F[x]$  infinite-dimensional.
- $W = F[x]$  gives  $W$  infinite-dimensional and  $V = F[x]$  finite-dimensional.
- If we let  $W = L(x, x^3, x^5, \dots)$  be the span of even-power monomials, then  $W$  and  $V/W$  are both infinite-dimensional:  $W$  is infinite-dimensional because it's the span of an infinite subset of a basis of  $F[x]$ , and moreover if we define  $T : F[x] \rightarrow F[x]$  by  $\sum a_i x^i \mapsto \sum a_{2i} x^i$  we find that  $W$  is the kernel of  $T$  and  $T$  is surjective so the first isomorphism theorem gives  $V/W \cong F[x]$  is infinite-dimensional.

**Problem 2.** (a) There are "none" or "exactly one". To see that there's at most one (i.e. that "more than one" is impossible) note that  $E$  contains a basis  $B$ ; then if  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear extension of  $T_0 : E \rightarrow W$ , it has to be a linear extension of the restriction  $T_0 : B \rightarrow W$ , and there is exactly one such restriction.

For examples, let  $V = W = \mathbb{R}$  and  $E = \{1, 2\}$ . Then if  $T_0 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $T_0(1) = 1$  and  $T_0(2) = 2$  it has exactly one extension,  $T(x) = x$ . But if  $T_0 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $T_0(1) = T_0(2) = 1$  then it has no extensions: any linear extension  $T$  would have to satisfy

$$1 = T_0(2) = T_0(2 \cdot 1) = 2 \cdot T_0(1) = 2 \cdot 1 = 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

(b) There will always be "more than one". Since  $D$  is linearly independent but does not span, it can be extended to a basis  $D' = D \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  where  $k \geq 1$ . Then we can define many extensions of the function  $T_0 : D \rightarrow W$  to functions  $T_1 : D' \rightarrow W$  (because we can choose  $T_1(v_1)$  to be any vector in  $W$  we want), and each of these many extensions  $T_1$  has a unique extension further to a linear transformation  $T : V \rightarrow W$  that extends  $T_0$ .