# MATH 211B – HOMEWORK

#### ERIN PEARSE

1. (10/7) Find the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of

$$(\star_1) \qquad \qquad L[u] = u'' + \omega^2 u, \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

with u(a) = u(b) = 0 on I = (a, b).

This equation is regular and already in normal form. We obtain the eigenvalues from the characteristic equation:

$$\alpha^{2} + \omega^{2} = 0$$
$$\alpha^{2} = -\omega^{2}$$
$$\alpha = \pm i\omega$$

This gives  $\{e^{\pm i\omega x}\}$  as eigenfunctions. However, since the original equation has real coefficients, we would like a basis of real-valued eigenfunctions. Since  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\omega}) = \cos \omega x$ and  $\operatorname{Im}(e^{i\omega}) = \sin \omega x$ , we take  $\{\cos \omega x, \sin \omega x\}$  as a basis. Thus the eigenfunctions of  $(\star_1)$  are all of the form

$$u(x) = c_1 \cos \omega x + c_2 \sin \omega x.$$

Now we use the initial conditions:

$$u(a) = c_1 \cos \omega a + c_2 \sin \omega a = 0$$
$$u(b) = c_1 \cos \omega b + c_2 \sin \omega b = 0$$

From the first equation above,

$$c_1 = -c_2 \frac{\sin \omega a}{\cos \omega a}.$$

Substituting into the second,

$$-c_2 \frac{\sin \omega a}{\cos \omega a} \cos \omega b + c_2 \sin \omega b = 0$$
$$-c_2 \sin \omega a \cos \omega b + c_2 \cos \omega a \sin \omega b = 0$$
$$c_2 \sin \omega (b-a) = 0.$$

Thus we must have  $\omega(b-a) = 2\pi k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the eigenfunctions must look like

$$\left\{\cos\tfrac{2\pi k}{b-a}x, \sin\tfrac{2\pi k}{b-a}x\right\}.$$

2. (10/12) The DE with constant coefficients

$$(\star_2) \qquad L[u] = u^{(n)} + a_1 u^{(n-1)} + a_2 u^{(n-2)} + \dots + a_n u, \qquad (a_i \in \mathbb{R})$$

is stable iff no root  $\alpha$  of its characteristic polynomial has positive real part and all multiple roots have strictly negative real part.

We know from 146 that we can find a basis for the solution space which consists of functions of the form  $\{t^k e^{\alpha t}\}$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ . So every solution to  $(\star_2)$  can be written

(1) 
$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j t^{k_j} e^{\alpha_j t}.$$

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume that  $(\star_2)$  is stable, i.e., all solutions remain bounded as  $t \to \infty$ . If  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$  were strictly positive,  $t^k e^{\alpha t}$  would blow up as  $t \to \infty$ , for any k, and hence so would u. This contradiction shows  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < 0$  for every root  $\alpha$  of the characteristic polynomial. Consider the case when  $\alpha$  is a repeated root. Then there is a term in (1) of the form  $t^k e^{\alpha t}$  for  $k \ge 1$ . In this situation,  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) = 0$  would imply that this term is of the form  $t^k e^{i\nu}$ . Since  $|t^k e^{i\nu}| \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \infty$  but u does not blow up, it must not be the case that  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) = 0$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Assume that no roots  $\alpha$  of the characteristic polynomial of  $(\star_2)$  have positive real part and all multiple roots have strictly negative real part. Then we have

(2) 
$$|u(t)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j t^{k_j} e^{\alpha_j t} \right| \le \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left| c_j t^{k_j} e^{\alpha_j t} \right|$$

by the triangle inequality. If  $\alpha_j$  is not a multiple root, then  $k_j = 0$  and we have

$$\left|c_{j}t^{k_{j}}e^{\alpha_{j}t}\right| = \left|c_{j}e^{\alpha_{j}t}\right| \le \left|c_{j}\right|.$$

Equality holds iff  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_i) = 0$ . Otherwise,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j) < 0 \implies |c_j e^{\alpha_j t}| \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0.$$

If  $\alpha_j$  is a multiple root, then  $k_j > 1$  and the hypothesis gives  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j) < 0$ . In this case we still have

$$\left|c_{j}t^{k_{j}}e^{\alpha_{j}t}\right| \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0$$

because  $e^{-\mu t}$  goes to zero faster than any polynomial for  $\mu = -\alpha_j > 0$ . Returning to (2), we see that the worst case scenario is when there are no multiple roots and  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j) = 0$ . In this case, the largest u can get is

$$|u(t)| \le \sum_{j=0}^{n} |c_j t^{k_j} e^{\alpha_j t}| = \sum_{j=0}^{n} |c_j|,$$

which is clearly bounded. Hence u is stable.

3. (10/14) For solutions u, v of

$$(\star_3)$$

$$L[u] = u'' + p(x)u' + q(x)u = 0 \qquad p, q \text{ continuous on } I,$$

 $\{u, v\}$  are linearly independent solutions of  $(\star_3)$  iff  $\{u, v\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume  $\{u, v\}$  are linearly independent solutions. The solution space of a 2nd order DE will be 2-dimensional, so two linearly independent solutions will span the entire space. Hence  $\{u, v\}$  is a basis and thus also a fundamental set of solutions.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Assume  $\{u, v\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions. Then it is a basis, and hence its elements are linearly independent by definition.

### 4. (10/14) Consider the equation

$$(\star_4)$$

y'' + q(x)y = 0 q is piecewise continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Define a "soln" of  $(\star_4)$  to be a function y = f(x) which is  $C^1$  (but not  $C^2$ ) and satisfies the DE  $(\star_4)$  at all points where q is continuous.

(a) Describe explicitly a basis of solutions of  $(\star_4)$  where

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0\\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}.$$

For x > 0, a basis of solutions would be  $\{\cos x, \sin x\}$ , and for x < 0 a basis of solutions would be  $\{e^x, e^{-x}\}$ . Thus, a general solution would be

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} a\cos x + b\sin x, & x > 0\\ \alpha e^x + \beta e^{-x}, x < 0 \end{cases}$$

This is clearly  $C^1$  on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ ; we only need to worry about 0. To be  $C^1$  at 0, we require

$$(a\cos x + b\sin x)|_{x=0} = (\alpha e^x + \beta e^{-x})|_{x=0}$$
 and  
$$(a\cos x + b\sin x)'|_{x=0} = (\alpha e^x + \beta e^{-x})'|_{x=0}.$$

In other words,

$$(a\cos 0 + b\sin 0) = a = \alpha + \beta = (\alpha e^0 + \beta e^{-0})$$
 and  
 $(a\sin 0 + b\cos 0) = b = \alpha - \beta = (\alpha e^0 - \beta e^{-0}).$ 

Thus, solve this system for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and get

$$\alpha = \frac{a+b}{2}$$
 and  $\beta = \frac{a-b}{2}$ ,

and note that

$$\alpha e^{x} + \beta e^{-x} = \frac{a+b}{2}e^{x} + \frac{a-b}{2}e^{-x} = \frac{a}{2}(e^{x} + e^{-x}) + \frac{a}{2}(e^{x} - e^{-x}).$$

We can write the basis of solutions as  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  where

$$u_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}, & x < 0\\ \cos x, & x > 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } u_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}, & x < 0\\ \sin x, & x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

(b) State & prove an existence and uniqueness theorem for the corresponding IVP and examine the DE satisfied by the Wronskian, if any.

Given any initial conditions  $y(x_0) = y_0, y'(x_0) = v_0$ , there exists a unique solution to equation  $(\star_4)$ . This follows essentially from the more basic case when q is continuous. For example, take  $x_0 < 0$ . Then

$$y'' - y = 0, \quad y(x_0) = y_0, y'(x_0) = v_0$$

has a unique solution u(x) on  $(-\infty, 0)$  by the basic theory. However, this determines u(0), u'(0) by continuity, which may then be used as the initial conds for the other half interval. Now

$$y'' + y = 0$$
,  $y(0) = u(0), y'(0) = u(0)$ 

has a unique solution on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Combining, we obtain a unique solution on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Examining the Wronskian of this system, we have

$$W(x) = u_1 u_2' - u_1' u_2.$$

Differentiating gives

$$W'(x) = u_1 u_2'' - u_1'' u_2 = \begin{cases} u_1(-u_2) - (-u_1)u_2, & x < 0\\ u_1(u_2) - (u_1)u_2, & x > 0 \end{cases},$$

which is 0 in either case. The central equality follows because the given q makes  $(\star_4)$  into

$$y'' = \begin{cases} -y, & x < 0\\ y, & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence, the Wronskian satisfies W' = 0, i.e., W is constant.

- (c) Relate this to
  - (i) the Laplace transform method for solving such equations, and
  - (ii) the distributional approach to ODEs.

5. 
$$(10/19)$$

 $(\star_5)$ 

$$L[u] = u'' + u = f \qquad (f \in L^1_{\text{loc}})$$

Show that if u is a classical solution (i.e.,  $u \in C^2$ ) of L[u] = f, then u is a distributional solution also.

Take a solution  $u \in C^2$  of  $(\star_5)$  and fix  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\int L[\varphi]u = \int (\varphi'' + \varphi)u$$
  
=  $\int \varphi''u + \int \varphi u$   
=  $(-1)^2 \int \varphi u'' + \int \varphi u$  ibp twice  
=  $\int \varphi (u'' + u)$   
=  $\int \varphi f$   $u$  is a solu

shows  $\int L[\varphi]u = \int f\varphi$ , i.e., u is also a distributional solution.

6. (10/29) The Bessel DE:  
(
$$\star_6$$
)  $L[u] = x^2 u'' + xu' + (x^2 - n^2)u = 0$   
Show that the self-adjoint form of this equation is

(3) 
$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[xu'\right] + \left(x - \frac{n^2}{x}\right)u = 0$$

We obtain  $(\star_6)$  from (3):

$$\frac{d}{dx}[xu'] + \left(x - \frac{n^2}{x}\right)u = 0$$

$$xu'' + u' + \left(x - \frac{n^2}{x}\right)u = 0$$
product rule
$$x^2u'' + xu' + \left(x^2 - n^2\right)u = 0.$$
mult through by x

Then note that (3) is self-adjoint by the theorem which states that the 2nd order DE

$$L[u] = p_0(x)u'' + p_1(x)u' + p_2(x)u = 0$$

is self-adjoint iff it is of the form

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[p(x)\frac{du}{dx}\right] + q(x)u = 0.$$

7. (10/29) The Legendre DE of order *n*, self-adjoint form:

$$(\star_7) \qquad \qquad \frac{d}{dx}\left[(1-x^2)\frac{du}{dx}\right] + \lambda u = 0 \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Show that for  $\lambda = n(n+1), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , this DE has polynomial solutions.

By differentiating the first term and plugging in  $\lambda$ , we have the equation

$$(1 - x2)u'' - 2xu' + n(n+1)u = 0.$$

Two linearly independent solutions of this equation are

$$u_1(x) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (n-2k) \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (n+1+2k) \right) \frac{x^{2m}}{(2m)!}$$

and

 $(\star_8)$ 

$$u_2(x) = x + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left( \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (n-1-2k) \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^m (n+2k) \right) \frac{x^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!}$$

While these are ostensibly infinite series,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  may result in the disappearance of many terms. For example, suppose n is even and consider  $u_1$ . For  $m \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ , the coefficient will contain a factor  $\left(n - 2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) = 0$ , and will hence vanish. So for n even,  $u_1(x)$  is a polynomial solution of degree n.

Alternatively, suppose n is odd and consider  $u_2$ . For  $m \ge \frac{n-1}{2} + 1$ , the coefficient will contain a factor  $\left(n - 1 - 2\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\right) = 0$ , and will hence vanish. So for n odd,  $u_2(x)$  is a polynomial solution of degree n.

Either way,  $(\star_7)$  has a polynomial solution for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

8. (10/29) Show that the third order linear homogeneous DE

$$p_0(x)u''' + p_1(x)u'' + p_2(x)u' + p_3(x)u = 0$$

is "exact" iff its coefficients satisfy  $p_0''' - p_1'' + p_2' - p_3 = 0$ .

We define a DE of the form  $(\star_8)$  to be *exact* iff

$$p_0(x)u''' + p_1(x)u'' + p_2(x)u' + p_3(x)u = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ A(x)u'' + B(x)u' + C(x)u \right]$$

for some  $A, B, C \in C^1$ . Differentiating the right-hand side above,

 $p_0u''' + p_1u'' + p_2u' + p_3u = Au''' + A'u'' + Bu'' + B'u' + Cu' + C'u.$ 

Matching coefficients,

$$p_0 = A$$
,  $p_1 = A' + B$ ,  $p_2 = B' + C$ , and  $p_3 = C'$ .

Then using these equations we expand  $p_3$  as

$$p_3 = C' = (p_2 - B')'$$
  
=  $p'_2 - (p_1 - A')'$   
=  $p'_2 - p''_1 + p'''_0$ .

9. (10/29)

$$d_n(x) = \frac{n}{\pi(1+n^2x^2)}$$

Show that  $d_n \ge 0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d_n(x) dx = 1$ . Sketch the graph of  $d_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$  and argue that  $d_n$  is a  $\delta$ -sequence, i.e.,  $d_n \to \delta$ .



FIGURE 1. An sketch of  $d_n$  for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Clearly,  $d_n \ge 0$  as both the numerator and denominator are positive for any real x and  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . We compute the integral and find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d_n(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{n}{\pi (1 + n^2 x^2)} dx$$
$$= \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + (nx)^2} dx$$
$$= \frac{n}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \arctan(nx) \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \arctan(\infty) - \arctan(-\infty) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right)$$
$$= 1$$

(4)

for any n = 1, 2, ... A sketch of  $d_n$  is depicted in Figure 1. Note that

(5) 
$$d_n(x) = \frac{n}{\pi (1 + n^2 x^2)} = nd(xn) \quad \text{for} \quad d(x) := \frac{1}{\pi (1 + x^2)}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle d_n, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int d_n(x) \varphi(x) \, dx$$
$$= \varphi(0) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \int d_n(x) \left[ \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right] \, dx,$$

and we just need to show that the latter integral goes to 0. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \left| \int d_n(x) \left[ \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right] \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|x| < r} d_n(x) \left| \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right| \, dx + \int_{|x| \ge r} d_n(x) \left| \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right| \, dx \\ &\leq \max_{|x| < r} \{ \left| \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right| \} \cdot \int_{|x| < r} d_n(x) \, dx \\ &\quad + \max_{|x| \ge r} \{ \left| \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right| \} \cdot \int_{|x| \ge r} d_n(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \max_{|x| < r} \{ \left| \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \right| \} \cdot 1 + M \cdot \int_{|x| \ge r} d_n(x) \, dx, \end{split}$$

where  $M := \max_{|x| \ge r} \{ |\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| \}$ , and the last equality follows by (4). Now for sufficiently small r, the continuity of  $\varphi$  gives

$$\max_{|x| < r} \{ |\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| \} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Note that we don't let r go to 0, just pick r > 0 small enough that the inequality will hold. Then deal with the second term as follows:

$$\int_{|x|\ge r} d_n(x) \, dx = \int_{|x|\ge r} n d(nx) \, dx = \int_{|x|\ge rn} d(u) \, du$$

where the first equality comes by (5) and the second comes by the change of variables u = nx. Then since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d(u) \, du = 1$$

by (4) again, it must be possible to pick n so large that

$$\int_{|x|\ge rn} d(u)\,du < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}.$$

This is sufficient to get

$$\left|\int d_n(x) \left[\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)\right] \, dx\right| < \varepsilon.$$

10. (11/02) We saw for  $f(x) = \frac{1}{r}, r := ||x||$ , that  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Extend this to  $d \ge 2$ .

We want to show

$$f(x) := \|x\|^{1-d} \in \mathrm{L}^{1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \quad \text{ for } d \ge 2.$$

Since this function is clearly in  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ , we only really need to check f in a neighborhood of the origin. Let B = B(0, 1) be the ball of radius 1 centered at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

We convert to spherical coordinates with

$$r = ||x|| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} x_k^2\right)^{1/2}$$

so that

$$f(x) = r^{1-d}.$$

The rectangular coordinates  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d$  are related to the spherical coordinates  $r, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{d-1}$  by the equations:<sup>1</sup>

$$x_k = rS^{k-1}c_k$$
  

$$x_d = rS^{d-1}$$
  

$$r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^{1/2}$$
  

$$\varphi_k = \arctan\left(\frac{R_k}{x_k}\right)$$

where

$$S_m^k = \prod_{j=m}^k \sin \varphi_j$$

$$c_m = \cos \varphi_m$$

$$s_m = \sin \varphi_m$$

$$R_k = (r^2 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_k^2)^{1/2} = rS_1^k$$

Although we do not wish to work it out explicitly, the Jacobian for this change of coordinates will be of the form

$$|J| = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rS^{0}c_{1}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{1}} (rS^{0}c_{1}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{d-1}} (rS^{0}c_{1}) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rS^{1}c_{2}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{1}} (rS^{1}c_{2}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{d-1}} (rS^{1}c_{2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rS^{d-1}c_{2}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{1}} (rS^{d-1}c_{d}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{d-1}} (rS^{d-1}c_{d}) \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$$

As the determinant is expanded by cofactors and each cofactor is evaluated recursively, a factor of r will emerge for each term that does not stem from a  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ . Thus, there will be a common factor of  $r^{d-1}$  in the final computed Jacobian  $|J| = r^{d-1}|K|$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Thanks to Andrew Snowden of the University of Maryland for these conversion formulas.

Thus,

$$\int_{B} f \, dx = \int_{B} r^{1-d} |J| \, d\varphi_{d-1} \dots d\varphi_{1} dr$$
$$= \int_{B} r^{1-d} r^{d-1} |K| \, d\varphi_{d-1} \dots d\varphi_{1} dr$$
$$= \int_{B} |K| \, d\varphi_{d-1} \dots d\varphi_{1} dr$$
$$= \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)},$$

the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Since this is finite,  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

11. (11/02) Prove that for any regular distributions  $T_f, T_g,$  $T_f = T_g \implies f =_{ae} g.$ 

First we note that  $T: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$  by  $f \mapsto T_f$  is a linear map. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_f + T_g, \varphi \rangle &= \langle T_f, \varphi \rangle + \langle T_g, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int f\varphi \, dx + \int g\varphi \, dx \\ &= \int (f+g)\varphi \, dx \\ &= \langle T_{f+g}, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $T_f + T_g = T_{f+g}$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $T_f = 0 \implies f =_{ae} 0.$ 

Let  $\rho_c(x)$  be the standard mollifier, i.e.,

(i)  $\rho_c \in C^{\infty}$ , (ii)  $\operatorname{spt}(\rho_c) = \left[-\frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{c}\right]$ (iii)  $\int \rho_c \, dx = 1, \, \forall c > 0$ .

Then

$$(f * \rho_n)(x) = \int f(y)\rho_n(x - y)dy$$
$$= T_f(\rho_n \circ \nu),$$

where  $\nu(y) = x - y$  for any fixed x. Since  $T_f = 0$ , this shows  $f * \rho_n = 0$  for any n. Then by Proposition 1,

$$f * \rho_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0 \implies f \equiv 0$$
, ae.

**Proposition 1.** If  $\rho_n$  is the standard mollifer and  $f \in L^p$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (f * \rho_n) = f, \text{ in } L^p.$$

*Proof.* [Al-G, Example 2.23]  $C_c^0$  is dense in  $L^p$ , so we can choose  $\varphi \in C_c^0$  such that  $||f - \varphi||_p < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$||f * \rho_n - \varphi * \rho_n||_p = ||(f - \varphi) * \rho_n||_p \le ||f - \varphi||_p < \varepsilon.$$

where the central inequality comes by Lemma 2. Hence it suffices to prove

$$\varphi * \rho_n \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}^p} \varphi.$$

Since  $\varphi * \rho_n \xrightarrow{\text{unif}} \varphi$  on  $K := \operatorname{spt} \varphi$  by Lemma 3, we can write

$$\|\varphi * \rho_n - \varphi\|_p = \left[\int_K \left|(\varphi * \rho_n)(x) - \varphi(x)\right|^p dx\right]^{1/p}$$
  
$$\leq \sup_{x \in K} \left\{\left|(\varphi * \rho_n)(x) - \varphi(x)\right|\right\} \left[\int_K dx\right]^{1/p}$$
  
$$< \varepsilon$$

if n is large enough. Thus

$$\|f * \rho_n - f\|_p \le \|f * \rho_n - \varphi * \rho_n\|_p + \|\varphi * \rho_n - \varphi\|_p + \|\varphi - f\|_p < 3\varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 2.** For  $f \in L^p$  and  $1 \le p < \infty$ , we have  $||f * \rho_n||_p \le ||f||_p$ .

Proof. [Al-G, Example 2.23] For p = 1,

$$\|f * \rho_n\|_1 \leq \int \int \rho_n(y) |f(x-y)| \, dy \, dx$$
$$= \int \rho_n(y) \left[ \int |f(x-y)| \, dx \right] \, dy$$
$$= \|f\|_1.$$

Otherwise, for 1 ,

$$\|f * \rho_n\|_p^p = \int \left| \int \rho_n(y) f(x-y) \, dy \right|^p dx.$$

To use Hölder's inequality, we split the mollifier as

$$f\rho_n = (f\rho_n^{1/p})(\rho_n^{1/q}),$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then the inequality gives

$$\int \rho_n(y) |f(x-y)| \, dy \le \left[ \int \rho_n(y) |f(x-y)|^p \, dy \right]^{1/p} \left[ \int \rho_n(y) \, dy \right]^{1/q}.$$

Since  $\int \rho_n(y) \, dy = 1$ , we have

$$\|f * \rho_n\|_p^p \le \int \int \rho_n(y) |f(x-y)|^p \, dy \, dx$$
  
=  $\int \rho_n(y) \left[ \int |f(x-y)|^p \, dx \right] \, dy$   
=  $\int \rho_n(y) \|f\|_p^p \, dy$   
=  $\|u\|_p^p.$ 

Thus  $||f * \rho_n||_p \le ||f||_p$  for all  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.** If  $f \in C^0$ , then  $f * \rho_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} f$  uniformly on every compact subset.

*Proof.* [Al-G, Theorem 2.28(iii)]

Since f is continuous, it is uniformly continuous on any compact set E. I.e., given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x-y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in E$  and for all  $y \in B(0, \delta)$ . Then

$$|(f * \rho_n)(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int [f(x - y) - f(x)] \rho_n(y) \, dy \right|$$
$$\leq \int_{B(0, 1/n)} |f(x - y) - f(x)| \rho_n(y) \, dy$$
$$< \varepsilon$$

if we take n large enough that  $\frac{1}{n} < \delta$ .

- 12. (11/04) Define  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  by  $T(\varphi) = \varphi^{(n)}(0)$ . Prove T is a distribution.
  - (i) well-defined. Since  $\varphi$  is  $C^{\infty}$  and has compact support,  $\varphi$  and all its derivatives are bounded.
  - (ii) linearity. T is just composition of the evaluation operator and the differentiation operator, both of which are linear. Since evaluation is a functional, this shows T is a linear functional.
  - (iii) continuity. Let  $\varphi_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$ . Part of the definition of convergence in  $\mathcal{D}$  is that all derivatives of the  $\varphi_k$  also converge to 0. In particular,

$$\varphi_k^{(n)} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0,$$

and hence

$$T(\varphi_k) = \varphi_k^{(n)}(0) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0.$$

13. (11/04) Verify, via abstract nonsense, that if  $T : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$  is a distribution, then  $\forall K \subseteq \Omega$  compact, the restriction of T to  $\mathcal{D}_k(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \operatorname{spt}(\varphi) \subseteq K\}$  is continuous.

Define  $T|_K$  to be the restriction of T to a compact set  $K \subseteq \Omega$ , i.e.,

 $T|_{K}(\varphi) := T(\varphi), \, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{K}(\Omega).$ 

Abstract nonsense approach:  $T|_K$  is the composition of T with the inclusion map  $\iota : \mathcal{D}_K(\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , both of which are continuous.

Definitional approach: let  $\{\varphi_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_K(\Omega)$  with  $\varphi_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0$ . Then

$$T|_{K}(\varphi_{k}) = T(\varphi_{k}) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0.$$

## 14. (11/09)

(a) Show  $D^{\alpha+\beta}T = D^{\alpha}(D^{\beta}T)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

The equality of mixed partials gives the central equality in the following:

$$D^{\alpha+\beta}T = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial^{\alpha_1+\beta_1}x_1\dots\partial^{\alpha_d+\beta_d}x_d} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial^{\alpha_1}x_1\dots\partial^{\alpha_d}x_d\partial^{\beta_1}x_1\dots\partial^{\beta_d}x_d} = D^{\alpha}(D^{\beta}T).$$

(b) For an open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , show that  $D^{\alpha}T(\varphi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\varphi)$ .

Define  $D_j := D^{e_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ . Having already established the basis case

$$D_j T(\varphi) = -T(D_j \varphi)$$

in the notes, we induct on  $|\alpha|$  by assuming  $D^{\alpha}T(\varphi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\varphi)$  for  $|\alpha| = n$ . Now consider  $|\alpha| = n + 1$  by taking  $\beta = \alpha + e_j$  where  $e_j = [\delta_k^j]$  has a 1 in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  spot and 0s elsewhere. Then

$$D^{\beta}T(\varphi) = D_{j}D^{\alpha}T(\varphi) \qquad \text{by (a)}$$
  
=  $D_{j}(-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\varphi) \qquad \text{by inductive hypothesis}$   
=  $(-1)^{|\alpha|}(-1)T(D_{j}D^{\alpha}\varphi) \qquad \text{by basis case}$   
=  $(-1)^{|\beta|}T(D^{\beta}\varphi). \qquad |\beta| = |\alpha| + 1$ 

# 15. (11/09)

(a) Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . Then show  $fT \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  is well-defined by  $\langle fT, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, f\varphi \rangle, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$ 

(i) The right-hand side shows  $\langle fT, \varphi \rangle$  is well-defined, since  $f\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ .

- (ii) Also, the right-hand side shows that  $\langle fT, \varphi \rangle$  is a linear functional.
- (iii) Let  $\varphi_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$ . Then clearly

$$f\varphi_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0,$$

since  $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $\operatorname{spt}(f\varphi_k) \subseteq \operatorname{spt}(\varphi_k)$ . By the continuity of T (which was given), this gives

$$\langle fT, \varphi_k \rangle = \langle T, f\varphi_k \rangle \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0.$$

(b) Moreover, state and prove an analogue of Leibnitz' rule for  $D^{\alpha}(fT)$ .

We will use induction to show that

(6) 
$$D^{\alpha}(fT) = \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} (D^{\gamma}f) \left( D^{\alpha-\gamma}T \right),$$

where  $\binom{\alpha}{\gamma} := \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma!(\alpha-\gamma)!}$ , and the factorial of a multiindex is defined by  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_d!$ . Also,  $\gamma \leq \alpha$  means that  $\gamma_j \leq \alpha_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . The basis case is a straightforward calculation:

$$D_{j}(fT)(\varphi) = -fT(D_{j}\varphi) \qquad \text{def of } T'$$

$$= -T(fD_{j}\varphi) \qquad \text{def of } fT$$

$$= -T(D_{j}(f\varphi) - \varphi D_{j}f) \qquad \text{product rule}$$

$$= -T(D_{j}(f\varphi)) + T(\varphi D_{j}f) \qquad \text{linearity}$$

$$= D_{j}T(f\varphi) + T(\varphi D_{j}f) \qquad \text{def of } T'$$

$$= fD_{j}T(\varphi) + (D_{j}f)T(\varphi) \qquad \text{def of } fT$$

shows  $D_j(fT) = fD_jT + (D_jf)T$ . Now we assume that (6) holds for  $|\alpha| = n$  and let  $\beta = \alpha + e_j$  as in Problem 14b.

$$\begin{split} D^{\rho}(fT) &= D_{j} D^{\alpha}(fT) \\ &= D_{j} \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} (D^{\gamma} f) \left( D^{\alpha - \gamma} T \right) & \text{inductive hypothesis} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} D_{j} \left( (D^{\gamma} f) \left( D^{\alpha - \gamma} T \right) \right) & \text{linearity} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} (D^{\gamma} f) D_{j} \left( D^{\alpha - \gamma} T \right) + \left( D^{\alpha - \gamma} T \right) D_{j} \left( D^{\gamma} f \right) & \text{basis case} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} (D^{\gamma} f) \left( D^{\alpha - \gamma + e_{j}} T \right) + \left( D^{\alpha - \gamma} T \right) D_{j} \left( D^{\gamma + e_{j}} f \right) & \text{Problem 14a} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (D^{\gamma} f) (D^{\beta - \gamma} T) & \text{reordering} \end{split}$$

16. (11/09) With  $\{a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and

$$H_a(x) := H(x-a) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \ge 0\\ 0, & x < a \end{cases},$$

we have seen that the step function

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k w_n H(x - a_n)$$

is in  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  by the vector space properties of  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus it induces a regular distribution  $T_f$  which has derivative

$$\frac{d}{dx}T_{f_k} = \sum_{n=1}^k w_n \frac{d}{dx} H(x - a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k w_n \delta(x - a_n).$$

Hence, the distributional derivative of  $f_k$  is

$$\frac{df_k}{dx} = \sum_{n=1}^k w_n \delta_{a_n}.$$

Assuming that any bounded interval contains finitely many  $a_n$ 's, extend this to the case when  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n H(x - a_n)$ .

Denote the regular distribution associated with f by  $T_f$ . Since  $f_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} f$  in  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ , the continuity of the derivative allows us to say

$$T'_{f_k} = \sum_{n=1}^k w_n \delta(x - a_n) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^\infty w_n \delta(x - a_n) = T'_f.$$

Note that the right-hand side makes sense, since for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , there can only be finitely many  $a_n$  in spt( $\varphi$ ). If we denote them by  $\{a_{n_i}\}$ , we have

$$T'_{f}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{n_{j}} \delta(x - a_{n_{j}}) \right) \varphi(x) \, dx$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{n_{j}} \delta(x - a_{n_{j}}) \, \varphi(x) \, dx$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{n_{j}} \varphi(a_{n_{j}}) < \infty.$$

This makes it easy to see that  $T'_f$  is continuous. If  $\varphi_q \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$ , then

$$T'_f(\varphi_q) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_{n_j} \varphi_q(a_{n_j}) \xrightarrow{q \to \infty} 0,$$

because  $\varphi_q(a_{n_j}) \xrightarrow{q \to \infty} 0$  for each j.

# 17. (11/16)

(a) Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\tau_{-h}T - T}{h} \qquad (\text{limit in } \mathcal{D}'),$$

where  $\tau_{-h}T \in \mathcal{D}'$  is defined by  $\langle \tau_{-h}T, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \tau_h \varphi \rangle$  for  $(\tau_h \varphi)(x) = \varphi(x+h)$ .

The action of the right-hand side against a test function  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\left\langle \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\tau_{-h}T - T}{h}, \varphi \right\rangle = \lim_{h \to 0} \left\langle \frac{\tau_{-h}T - T}{h}, \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \text{continuity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left( \langle \tau_{-h}T, \varphi \rangle - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \right) \qquad \text{linearity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left( \langle T, \tau_h \varphi \rangle - \langle T, \varphi \rangle \right) \qquad \text{defn of } \tau$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \left\langle T, \frac{\tau_h \varphi - \varphi}{h} \right\rangle \qquad \text{linearity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= \langle T, -\varphi' \rangle \qquad \text{continuity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= \langle T', \varphi \rangle$$

(b) Extend this to higher dimensions.

Let  $e_j$  be the standard basis vector with 1 in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  slot and 0 elsewhere. Define  $\tau_{-h_j}T \in \mathcal{D}'$  by  $\langle \tau_{-h_j}T, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \tau_{h_j}\varphi \rangle$  for  $(\tau_{h_j}\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x + he_j)$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\tau_{-h_j} T - T}{h} \qquad \text{(limit in } \mathcal{D}'\text{)}.$$

$$\left\langle \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\tau_{-h_j} T - T}{h}, \varphi \right\rangle = \lim_{h \to 0} \left\langle T, \frac{\tau_{h_j} \varphi - \varphi}{h} \right\rangle \qquad \text{linearity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$
$$= \left\langle T, -D_j \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \text{continuity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$
$$= \left\langle D_j T, \varphi \right\rangle$$

via the same arguments as in (a), where we are using  $D_j := D^{e_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ . 14(b) extends this to the more general case of  $D^{\alpha}T$ . 18. (11/16) If the series  $\sum_{n} T_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , then it can be differentiated term by term:

$$\left(\sum_{n} T_{n}\right)' = \sum_{n} T_{n}'.$$

More generally,  $D^{\alpha}(\sum_{n} T_{n}) = \sum_{n} D^{\alpha}T_{n}$ , for every multiindex  $\alpha$ .

We have

$$\left\langle \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n \right)', \varphi \right\rangle = - \left\langle \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} T_n, \varphi' \right\rangle \qquad \text{defn of } T', \Sigma$$

$$= - \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{k} T_n, \varphi' \right\rangle \qquad \text{continuity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= - \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \langle T_n, \varphi' \rangle \qquad \text{linearity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= - \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} - \langle T'_n, \varphi \rangle \qquad \text{defn of } T'$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{k} T'_n, \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \text{linearity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} T'_n, \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \text{continuity of } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T'_n, \varphi \right\rangle$$

To extend this to the general case,

$$\left\langle D^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n \right), \varphi \right\rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \left\langle \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} T_n, D^{\alpha} \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \text{defn of } D^{\alpha} T$$
$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \langle T_n, \varphi' \rangle \qquad \text{as in (a)}$$
$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^{\alpha} T_n, \varphi \rangle \qquad \text{defn of } D^{\alpha} T$$
$$= \left\langle \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} D^{\alpha} T_n, \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \text{as in (a)}$$
$$= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} T_n, \varphi \right\rangle$$

19. (11/16) Suppose  $T_n \in \mathcal{D}'$  is such that

$$T(\varphi) := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n(\varphi)$$

exists in  $\mathbb{C}, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Show  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ .

Since T is clearly a linear functional, it just remains to check the continuity of T. Recall the Principle of Uniform Boundedness (for Fréchet spaces):

**Theorem.** [Ru, Theorem 2.6]: If  $\{T_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous linear mappings from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and if the sets

$$\Gamma(\varphi) := \{ |T_n \varphi| : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

are bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$  for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $\Gamma$  is equicontinuous.

This shows that  $\{T_n\}$  is equicontinuous, i.e., to every neighborhood W of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ , there corresponds a neighborhood V of 0 in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$T_n(V) \subseteq W,$$

for all n [Ru, Definition 2.3]. Note that the concept of neighborhood makes sense here: although a locally convex space need not be metrizable in general, every Fréchet space comes with a topology induced by a complete metric.

Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  with  $\varphi_k \to 0$ . To see that T is continuous, we must show  $\langle T, \varphi_k \rangle \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider  $B := B(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . By the above remarks, there must be some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $T_n(\varphi_k) \in B$  for all  $\varphi_k \in A := B(0, \delta), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$\langle T, \varphi_k \rangle = \left\langle \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n, \varphi_k \right\rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle T_n, \varphi_k \rangle,$$

we can pick N such that  $k > N \implies \varphi_k \in A$ , and be sure that

$$|\langle T, \varphi_k \rangle| < \varepsilon$$

20. (11/16) Let  $I = (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ . Show that  $\frac{dT}{dx} = 0 \implies T$  is constant.

If  $\frac{dT}{dx} = 0$ , we have  $\langle T', \varphi \rangle = -\langle T, \varphi' \rangle = 0$ ,  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . This just means,  $\psi = \varphi' \implies \langle T, \psi \rangle = 0$ . Since test functions are  $C^{\infty}$ , they are clearly absolute continuous, and may thus be represented

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{a}^{x} \varphi'(t) dt + \varphi(a).$$

In particular, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , we can define  $\psi(x) = \int_a^x \varphi(t)dt + c$ , so that  $\varphi' = \psi$ . In other words, every test function can be represented as the derivative of some other test function, and we have  $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = 0$ ,  $\forall \varphi$ .

21. (11/18) Show that  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is separable for  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

Because of the isometric embedding  $\mathcal{I} : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega)$ , we may think of  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  as a closed subspace of  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

22. (11/18)  $\Omega = I = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $u(x) = \frac{1}{2}(|u| + u)$  belongs to  $W^{1,p}(I)$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and that u' = H. More generally, a continuous function on  $\overline{I} = [-1, 1]$  that is piecewise  $C^1$  belongs to  $W^{1,p}(\overline{I}), \forall 1 \leq p < \infty$ .

u is clearly in  $L^p$ :

$$\int_{I} u \, dx = \int_{(-1,0)} 0 \, dx + \int_{(0,1)} x \, dx = \frac{1}{2},$$

so it just remains to show  $u' \in L^p(I)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u', \varphi \rangle &= -\langle u, \varphi' \rangle \\ &= -\int_{I} u\varphi' \, dx \\ &= -M \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

where  $M := \sup_{I} \varphi'(x)$ .

23. (11/18) Prove that

$$W^{1,p}(I) = \left\{ u \in L^p(I) : \exists g \in L^p(I) \text{ such that } \int_I u\varphi' = -\int_I g\varphi, \, \forall \varphi \in C^1_c(I) \right\}.$$

Also, for  $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$  we have u' = g. Note: g is ae-unique.

By definition,

$$u \in \mathbf{W}^{1,p}(I) \quad \iff \quad u \in \mathbf{L}^p \text{ and } u' \in \mathbf{L}^p,$$

where  $u' \in L^p$  means that  $u' = T_g$  for some unique  $g \in L^p$ .

24. (11/18)

- (a) Define  $\tilde{u}(x) = \int_0^x u'(t) dt$  for  $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$ . Show  $\tilde{u}$  is absolutely continuous on I.
- (b) Using (a), show

$$T_{\frac{d\tilde{u}}{dx}} = \frac{d}{dx}T_{\tilde{u}}.$$

## ERIN PEARSE

#### References

- [Al-G] M. A. Al-Gwaiz, *Theory of Distributions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 159, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [ChZa] Edwin K. P. Chong and Stanislaw H. Żak, An Introduction to Optimization, 2nd ed., Wiley, Inc., New York, 2001.
- [Ev] Lawrence C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics volume 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
- [Ru] Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1973.