

# MATH 217A – HOMEWORK

ERIN PEARSE

1. (Chap. 1, Problem 2).

(a) Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a probability space and  $\{A_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq \Sigma, n \geq 2$ . Prove that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) \\ &\quad - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j). \end{aligned}$$

First, the basis case. We make a union disjoint as follows:

$$A \cup B = A \sqcup (A^c \cap B).$$

Thus

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(A^c \cap B). \tag{1}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (A \cap B) \sqcup (A^c \cap B) \\ P(B) &= P(A \cap B) + P(A^c \cap B) \\ P(B) - P(A \cap B) &= P(A^c \cap B), \end{aligned}$$

which we plug into (1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} P(A \cup B) &= P(A) + P(A^c \cap B) \\ &= P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed by induction. Assume

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) \\ &\quad - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the basis case and then the inductive hypothesis gives

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) &= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cup A_{n+1}\right) \\
&= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + P(A_{n+1}) - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cap A_{n+1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) \\
&\quad - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cap A_{n+1}\right). \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the inductive hypothesis again, the last term in (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cap A_{n+1}\right) &= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \cap A_{n+1})\right) \quad \text{by distribution} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k \cap A_{n+1}) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \cap A_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We plug this back into (2), and get, for example,

$$- \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) = - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} P(A_i \cap A_j).$$

Similarly, collecting like terms in the other sums (i.e., terms with the same number of  $A_j$ 's getting unioned together) and rearranging gives

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) \\
&\quad - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

2. (Chap. 1, Problem 3).

(a) Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . Show that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \iff E \left( \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ , i.e.,  $P[|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Now if we define

$$A_{n,\varepsilon} := \{|X_n - X| < \varepsilon\},$$

we can say

$$\begin{aligned} E \left( \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \right) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} dP \\ &= \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} dP + \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}^C} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} dP \\ &< \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} dP + \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}^C} 1 dP \\ &= \int_{A_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} dP + P[|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon] \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus N} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} dP + 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $P(N) = 0$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \right) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} dP \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon dP \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} dP \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which shows  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \right) = 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now assume  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \right) = 0$ . Define

$$A_n := \{|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} P[|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon] &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_{A_n} dP \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \chi_{A_n} dP \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \chi_{A_n} dP \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} dP \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} \right) \\
&\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Verify that

$$d(X, Y) := E \left( \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \right)$$

defines a metric on the space of random variables  $\mathcal{L}^0$ , and that  $\mathcal{L}^0$  is an algebra.

(i) Positivity. Clearly,  $d(X, X) = E \left( \frac{|X - X|}{1 + |X - X|} \right) = E(0) = 0$ . So let  $X \neq Y$ . Then define

$$A = \{X \neq Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n = \{|X - Y| \geq \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(X, Y) &= \int_A \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} dP \\
&\geq \int_{A_n} \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} dP \\
&\geq \int_{A_n} \frac{1/n}{1 + 1/n} dP \\
&= \frac{n}{n+1} P(A_n) \\
&> 0 \text{ for } P(A_n) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So  $X \neq Y$  implies there is some  $n$  for which  $P(A_n) > 0$ , in which case  $d(X, Y) > 0$ .

(ii) Symmetry.  $d(X, Y) = E \left( \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \right) = E \left( \frac{|Y - X|}{1 + |Y - X|} \right) = d(Y, X)$ .

(iii) Triangle inequality. Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ . Taking derivatives of this function shows that it is concave increasing with slope less than 1 for all  $x > 0$ . Alternatively, see Lemma 1 in Problem 2. This gives  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$  immediately, for  $a, b \geq 0$ . Using  $a = |X - Y|$  and  $b = |Y - Z|$ ,

$$f(a+b) = \frac{|X - Y| + |Y - Z|}{1 + |X - Y| + |Y - Z|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} + \frac{|Y - Z|}{1 + |Y - Z|} \\
&= f(a) + f(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{|X - Z|}{1 + |X - Z|} \leq \frac{|X - Y| + |Y - Z|}{1 + |X - Y| + |Y - Z|}$$

by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(X, Z) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X - Z|}{1 + |X - Z|} dP \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} dP + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|Y - Z|}{1 + |Y - Z|} dP \\
&= d(X, Y) + d(Y, Z).
\end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\mathcal{L}^0$  is an algebra, we make some basic observations, namely:

- (i) A sum of measurable functions is again measurable.
- (ii) The pointwise product of measurable functions is again measurable.
- (iii) Any scalar multiple of a measurable function is again measurable.

Pointwise multiplication is associative, even commutative. Also, we have the identity  $f(x) \equiv 0$  and unit  $g(x) \equiv 1$ .

### 3. (Chap. 2, Problem 2).

- (a) Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous function such that  $\phi$  is increasing and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and with  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(-x) = x$ . Also, assume  $\phi$  satisfies  $\phi(2x) \leq c\phi(x)$  for  $x \geq 0$ , for some  $0 < c < \infty$ . Let  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$  be two random variables on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . If  $E(\phi(X_i)) < \infty, i = 1, 2$ , then verify  $E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) < \infty$ . Show the converse is also true if the  $X_i$  are independent.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\phi$  increasing implies  $\phi$  is order-preserving, we bound  $E(\phi(X_1 + X_2))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) &= \int_{\Omega} \phi(X_1 + X_2) dP \\
&\leq \int_{\{X_1 \geq X_2\}} \phi(2X_1) dP + \int_{\{X_2 > X_1\}} \phi(2X_2) dP && \phi \text{ increasing} \\
&\leq E(\phi(2X_1)) + E(\phi(2X_2)) && P \text{ is monotone} \\
&\leq cE(\phi(X_1)) + cE(\phi(X_2)) && \text{given} \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now we take  $X_1, X_2$  to be independent. Then with  $A_n = \{|X_2| \leq n\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) &= E(\phi(|X_1 + X_2|)) && \phi(-x) = \phi(x) \\
&\geq E(\phi(|X_1| - |X_2|)) && |a + b| \geq ||a| - |b|| \\
&= E(\phi(|X_1| - |X_2|)) && \phi(-x) = \phi(x) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \phi(|X_1| - |X_2|) dP && \text{def of } E \\
&= \int_{A_n} \phi(|X_1| - |X_2|) dP \\
&\quad + \int_{A_n^c} \phi(|X_1| - |X_2|) dP && \Omega = A_n \sqcup A_n^c \\
&\geq \int_{A_n} \phi(|X_1| - n) dP + 0 && \text{def of } A_n \\
&= E(\phi(|X_1| - n) \chi_{A_n}) && \text{def of } E \\
&= E(\phi(|X_1| - n)) P(A_n). && \text{independence}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take note of two things. First,

$$A_n \nearrow \Omega \implies P(A_n) \nearrow 1,$$

so we may assume  $0 < P(A_n) \leq 1$  and concern ourselves just with the other factor. Second,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\phi(|X_1| - n)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(|x_1| - n) dF_X(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(|x_1|) dF_X(x) \\
&= E(\phi(|X_1|))
\end{aligned}$$

by FLoP. (Translation doesn't matter when we integrate over all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .) Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) &\geq E(\phi(|X_1|)) P(A_n) \\
&\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\phi(|X_1|)) = E(\phi(X_1))
\end{aligned}$$

Since a similar procedure may be used to bound  $E(\phi(X_2))$ , we have

$$E(\phi(X_1)), E(\phi(X_2)) < \infty.$$

- (b) Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous function such that  $\phi$  is increasing and concave on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and with  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(-x) = x$ . Let  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$  be two random variables on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ . If  $E(\phi(X_i)) < \infty, i = 1, 2$ , then verify  $E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) < \infty$ . Show the converse is also true if the  $X_i$  are independent.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) First we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\phi$  is concave on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then for any  $x, y > 0$  we have  $\phi(x + y) \leq \phi(x) + \phi(y)$ .*

*Proof.* Method 1. Since  $\phi$  is concave, it is absolutely continuous on any open interval and hence may be represented as the integral of its derivative. Thus we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x + y) &= \int_0^{x+y} \phi'(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^x \phi'(t) dt + \int_x^{x+y} \phi'(t) dt \\ &= \phi(x) + \int_0^y \phi'(t + x) dt && \text{CoV} \\ &\leq \phi(x) + \int_0^y \phi'(t) dt && \phi' \text{ decreasing} \\ &= \phi(x) + \phi(y), && \text{FToC} \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is due to the fact that  $\phi'$  is decreasing whenever  $\phi$  is concave.  $\square$

*Proof.* Method 2. Wlog, take  $0 < x < y$ . Then  $x < y < x + y$ , so

$$y = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)(x + y) \quad \text{for } \alpha = \frac{x}{y} \in (0, 1).$$

Then concavity means

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y) &= \phi(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)(x + y)) \\ &\geq \alpha \phi(x) + (1 - \alpha) \phi(x + y) \\ \phi(x) + \phi(y) &\geq \phi(x) + \alpha \phi(x) + \phi(x + y) - \alpha \phi(x + y). \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to show

$$\phi(x) + \alpha \phi(x) - \alpha \phi(x + y) \geq 0.$$

But this is just equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) + \alpha \phi(x) &\geq \alpha \phi(x + y) \\ \phi(x) + \frac{x}{y} \phi(x) &\geq \frac{x}{y} \phi(x + y) \\ (x + y) \phi(x) &\geq x \phi(x + y) \\ \frac{\phi(x)}{x} &\geq \frac{\phi(x + y)}{(x + y)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is another form of the definition of concavity; the decreasing secants:

$$s < t < u \implies \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \geq \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \geq \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t},$$

with  $s = 0, t = x, u = x + y$ . □

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) &= E(\phi(|X_1 + X_2|)) && \phi(-x) = \phi(x) \\ &\leq E(\phi(|X_1| + |X_2|)) && \triangle\text{-ineq} \\ &\leq E(\phi(|X_1|) + \phi(|X_2|)) && \text{by Lemma} \\ &= E(\phi(|X_1|)) + E(\phi(|X_2|)) && \text{linearity} \\ &= E(\phi(X_1)) + E(\phi(X_2)) && \phi(-x) = \phi(x) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) The converse here goes through exactly as it did in the previous case.

4. (Chap. 2, Problem 3).

Let  $X_1, X_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be independent with  $E(X_1) = 0$ . Again, take  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  to be a continuous function which is increasing and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and satisfies  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(-x) = x$ . Prove that  $E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) < \infty$  implies  $E(\phi(X_2)) \leq E(\phi(X_1 + X_2))$ . If  $E(X_2) = 0$  is also assumed, prove  $E(\phi(X_i)) \leq E(\phi(X_1 + X_2))$ .

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_2) &= \phi(0 + x_2) = \phi(E(X_1) + x_2) && E(X_1) = 0 \\ &= \phi(E(X_1 + x_2)) && \text{linearity} \\ &\leq E(\phi(X_1 + x_2)) && \text{Jensen's ineq} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x_1 + x_2) dF_{X_1}. && \text{FLoP} \end{aligned}$$

Now we integrate both side with respect to  $dF_{X_2}$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x_2) dF_{X_2} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x_1 + x_2) dF_{X_1} dF_{X_2} \\ E(\phi(X_2)) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x_1 + x_2) dF_{X_1 + X_2} && \text{independence} \\ E(\phi(X_2)) &\leq E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)). \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $E(X_2) = 0$ , the identical technique may be applied.



If  $X_1, X_2 \geq 0$ , the problem becomes easy. first we note that the Lemma proven in the previous problem will also work for convex functions, with the inequality reversed:  $\phi(x + y) \geq \phi(x) + \phi(y)$ . Then

$E(\phi(X_1 + X_2)) \geq E(\phi(X_1) + \phi(X_2))$	Lemma
$= E(\phi(X_1)) + E(\phi(X_2))$	linearity
$\geq \phi(E(X_1)) + E(\phi(X_2))$	Jensen's
$= \phi(0) + E(\phi(X_2))$	$E(X_1) = 0$
$= E(\phi(X_2)).$	$\phi(0) = 0$

The other case follows similarly.