

## Taylor series Homework set solutions.

**17.1.1** Let  $f(x) = (1+x)^r$ . Then, by the usual differentiation formulas, we have that

$$f^{(k)}(x) = r(r-1)\cdots(r-k+1)(1+x)^{r-k}.$$

Therefore,

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{r(r-1)\cdots(r-k+1)}{k!} x^k.$$

**Observation 1** *The formula*

$$\binom{r}{k} := \frac{r(r-1)\cdots(r-k+1)}{k!} \tag{1}$$

*is sometimes used to represent the coefficient appearing on the right hand side of equation (1). Observe that when  $r \geq k$  is an integer, this formula agrees with its usual definition.*

**17.2.1** We will prove Lemma 17.2 using induction.

**Base Case.** By the usual Rolle's theorem, if  $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ , then there exists  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $f'(c) = f^{(0+1)}(c) = 0$ .

**Inductive Step.** Now assume that the result holds up to a *fixed*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is, assume that if  $f^{(n+1)}$  exists on  $[a, b]$  and  $f(a) = f'(a) = \dots = f^{(n)}(a) = f(b) = 0$ , then there exists  $c$  between  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $f^{(n+1)}(c) = 0$ .

We want to show that the result holds for  $n+1$ , that is, we want to show that if  $f^{(n+2)}$  exists on  $[a, b]$  and  $f(a) = f'(a) = \dots = f^{(n+1)}(a) = f(b) = 0$ , then there exists  $c$  between  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $f^{(n+2)}(c) = 0$ . Let  $g(x) = f'(x)$ . By the usual Rolle's theorem, there exists  $c_0 \in (a, b)$  such that

$$g(c_0) = f'(c_0) = 0.$$

Hence  $g^{(n+1)} = f^{(n+2)}$  exists and  $g(a) = g'(a) = \dots = g^{(n)}(a) = g(c_0) = 0$ . Therefore, by induction hypothesis, there exists  $c$  between  $a$  and  $c_0$  such that  $g^{(n+1)}(c) = 0$ . Since  $(a, c_0) \subset (a, b)$ , we conclude that

$$f^{(n+2)}(c) = g^{(n+1)}(c) = 0 \quad \text{for some } c \in (a, b),$$

as we wanted to show.

17.2.2 a) Assume that

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_n x^n$$

is a polynomial of degree  $n$ . If  $x = u + a$ , then we can rewrite the above expression as

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= P(u + a) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n b_k (u + a)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \left( \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} a^{k-l} u^l \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k b_k \binom{k}{l} a^{k-l} u^l \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \left[ \sum_{k=l}^n b_k \binom{k}{l} a^{k-l} \right] u^l, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the last equality follows from the identities of iterated sums. Substituting  $u = x - a$  in (2) we get

$$P(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left[ \sum_{k=l}^n b_k \binom{k}{l} a^{k-l} \right] (x - a)^l. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, using the usual formulas for the derivatives, we obtain that

$$P^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{k=l}^n b_k k(k-1) \cdots (k-l+1) x^{k-l}.$$

Hence

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{P^{(l)}(a)}{l!} (x - a)^l = \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\sum_{k=l}^n b_k k(k-1) \cdots (k-l+1) a^{k-l}}{l!} (x - a)^l. \tag{4}$$

Comparing equations (3) and (4), we conclude that

$$P(x) = T_n(x).$$

b) Observe that, if we set  $x = u - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= (u - 1)^3 - 2(u - 1) + 2 \\ &= u^3 - 3u^2 + u + 3 \\ &= (x + 1)^3 - 3(x + 1)^2 + (x + 1) + 3. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= x^3 - 2x + 2, & \text{hence } P(-1) &= 3. \\ P'(x) &= 3x^2 - 2, & \text{hence } P'(-1) &= 1. \\ P''(x) &= 6x, & \text{hence } P''(-1) &= -6. \\ P'''(x) &= 6, & \text{hence } P'''(-1) &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P(x) = 3 + (x + 1) + \frac{(-6)}{2!}(x + 1)^2 + \frac{6}{3!}(x + 1)^3 = 3 + (x + 1) - 3(x + 1)^2 + (x + 1)^3.$$

**17.2.3** We will prove this result using induction on  $n$ .

**Base Case.** For  $n = 0$ , the problem is asking us to show that if  $g(a) = g(b) = 0$ , then there exist  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $g^{(0+1)}(c) = g'(c) = 0$ . But this is precisely the statement of Rolle's theorem.

**Inductive Step.** Now assume that the result holds up to a *fixed*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If we set  $a_0 = a$ , and  $a_{n+1} = b$ , then this is equivalent to assuming that if  $g$  has  $(n + 1)$  derivatives, and

$$g(a_0) = g(a_1) = \cdots g(a_{n+1}) = 0,$$

then there exists  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $g^{(n+1)}(c) = 0$ .

We want to prove that this result holds for  $n + 1$ , that is, we want to show that if  $g$  has  $(n + 2)$  derivatives, and if

$$g(a_0) = g(a_1) = \cdots g(a_{n+2}) = 0,$$

then there exists  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $g^{(n+2)}(c) = 0$ . Let's set  $f(t) = g'(t)$ . Then, by Rolle's theorem, there exists  $c_k \in (a_k, a_{k+1})$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1$  such that

$$f(c_k) = g'(c_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, n + 1.$$

Hence, by induction hypothesis, there exists  $c \in (c_0, c_{n+1}) \subset (a, b)$  such that

$$f^{(n+1)}(c) = g^{(n+2)}(c) = 0,$$

as we wanted to show.

**17.2.4 a)** Since  $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ , then, by Rolle's theorem, there exists  $c_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f'(c_0) = 0$ . Applying Rolle's theorem again on the intervals  $[a, c_0]$  and  $[c_0, b]$  for the function  $g(x) = f'(x)$ , we get that there exists  $c_1 \in [a, c_0]$  and  $c_2 \in [c_0, b]$  such that

$$f''(c_1) = f''(c_2) = 0.$$

Yet another application of Rolle's theorem gives us a  $c \in (c_1, c_2)$  such that  $f'''(c) = 0$ .

**b)** Observe that

$$f'(x) = 2(x - a)(x - b)^2 + 2(x - a)^2(x - b).$$

Hence  $f(a) = f(b) = f'(a) = f'(b) = 0$ , that is, the hypothesis of part a) apply. Now, by the usual differentiation formulas, we obtain that

$$f'''(x) = 24x - 12a - 12b.$$

Hence, the equation  $f'''(c) = 0$  is equivalent to the equation

$$\begin{aligned}24c - 12a - 12b &= 0 \\ c &= \frac{a + b}{2}\end{aligned}$$

**17.3.1** Let  $f(x) = e^{-x}$ . Then,

$$T_2(x) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k = 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

We want to estimate the magnitude of the residue function

$$R_2(x) = f(x) - T_2(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 0.1].$$

By Taylor's theorem with Lagrange remainder, we know that for all such  $x$ , there exists a  $c$  between 0 and  $x$  such that

$$R_2(x) = \frac{f^{(3)}(c)}{3!} x^3.$$

So the magnitude of the error is given by

$$|R_2(x)| = |e^{-c}| \left| \frac{x^3}{3!} \right| \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq c \leq x \leq 0.1.$$

Therefore, we get the bound

$$|R_2(x)| \leq e^0 \frac{(0.1)^3}{6} = \frac{(0.1)^3}{6}.$$

**17.3.2** Let  $f(x) = \cos x$ . Observe that, since  $f'''(0) = -\sin 0 = 0$ , the expression  $1 - x^2/2$  is not only a degree 2 approximation, but actually a degree 3 approximation! (In other words,  $T_2(x) = T_3(x) = 1 - x^2/2$ .) Just as in the last problem, we obtain an approximation of the remainder function of the form

$$|R_3(x)| = \left| \frac{f^{(4)}(c)}{4!} x^4 \right| = |\cos c| \frac{|x|^4}{24} \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq c \leq x.$$

Therefore, if we want to bound the error by .0001 it suffices to choose an interval of the form  $[-b, b]$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{b^4}{24} &\leq .0001 \\ b &\leq \sqrt[4]{24}/10 \approx 0.221336\end{aligned}$$

**17.3.3** Let  $f(x) = \sin x$ . We want to bound the remainder function  $T_n(x)$  by .0001 for  $|x| < .5$ . Proceeding as in the last problem, we can quickly get a bound of the form

$$|R_n(x)| = \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1} \right| < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!}.$$

Now, observe that  $3840 = 2^{4+1}(4+1)! < 10,000 < 2^{5+1}(5+1)! = 46,080$ . Hence, it is enough to take  $T_5$ . However, since  $T_4 = T_5$  (for similar reasons as in problem 17.3.2) it suffices to take  $n = 4$ .

**17.3.4** Let  $f(x) = \cos x$ . Once again, we observe that since  $T_4 = T_5$ , we have an estimate of the form

$$|R_4(x)| = |R_5(x)| \leq \frac{x^6}{6!}.$$

Now, since

$$(.1)^6/6! < (.1)^8,$$

we conclude that  $T_4(.1)$  is a good enough approximation to  $\cos .1$ . Calculating we get the approximation

$$\cos .1 \approx 1 - (.1)^2/2 + (.1)^4/24 \approx .9950041$$

**17-1 a)** Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Then,

$$P(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n c_l(x-a)^l,$$

where  $c_l = P^{(l)}(a)/l!$ . Therefore, if we assume that

$$P(a) = P'(a) = \dots = P^{(k-1)}(a) = 0, \quad P^{(k)}(a) \neq 0,$$

then

$$P(x) = (x-a)^k \left( \sum_{l=k}^n c_l(x-a)^{l-k} \right).$$

If we set

$$Q(x) = \sum_{l=k}^n c_l(x-a)^{l-k},$$

then it is clear that  $P(x) = (x-a)^k Q(x)$  and  $Q(a) = c_k = P^{(k)}(a)/k! \neq 0$ , that is,  $a$  is  $k$ -fold zero of  $P(x)$ .

Now assume that  $a$  is a  $k$ -fold zero of  $P(x)$ , that is, assume that there exists a polynomial  $Q(x)$  such that  $P(x) = (x-a)^k Q(x)$  and  $Q(a) \neq 0$ . Expressing the polynomial  $Q(x)$  as

$$Q(x) = \sum_{l=0}^m b_l(x-a)^l,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= (x-a)^k \sum_{l=0}^m b_l(x-a)^l \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^m b_l(x-a)^{k+l} \\ &= \sum_{l=k}^{k+m} b_{l-k}(x-a)^l \end{aligned}$$

with  $b_0 \neq 0$ . From this expression, it is immediate that

$$P(a) = P'(a) = \dots = P^{(k-1)}(a) = 0, \quad \text{and } P^{(k)}(a) = b_0 k! \neq 0,$$

**b)** Assume that  $a$  is a double zero of the polynomial  $P(x) = 2x^3 - bx^2 + 1$ . Then, according to part a) we should have that

$$0 = P'(a) = 6a^2 - 2ba = a(6a - 2b).$$

Hence, the only options for  $a$  are  $a = 0$  or  $a = b/3$ . Since  $P(0) \neq 0$ , we find ourselves looking for a value of  $b$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(a) = f(b/3) = 2\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^3 - b\left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^2 + 1 \\ -1 &= \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{b^3}{9}\right) - \left(\frac{b^3}{9}\right) \\ -1 &= -\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{b^3}{9}\right) \\ b^3 &= 27 \\ b &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging back into our equations, we can see that, effectively, for  $b = 3$  the value  $a = 1$  is a double zero of the polynomial  $P(x)$

**c)** If in exercise 17.2.3 we take all the roots to be equal to  $a$  instead of being all different, then part a) of this problem says that the conclusion in exercise 17.2.3 still holds and is equivalent to the Extended Rolle's Theorem.