

# Groups and Symmetry HW6 Solutions

November 4, 2014

## Exercise 1. 7.1

*Proof.* Check that the set forms a group and find that it is cyclic (it is generated by 2). Example (iv) of chapter 7 shows that any finite cyclic group of order  $n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , so in this case this cyclic group of 6 elements must be  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Or you can write down an explicit isomorphism.  $\square$

## Exercise 2. 7.2

*Proof.* As always, first check that this set actually forms a group under multiplication mod 20. Although this group has 8 elements it is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  because there is no one element that generates the entire group. To see this, calculate the order of each of the elements 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19 to find that they are 1, 4, 4, 2, 2, 4, 4, 2 respectively.  $\square$

## Exercise 3. 7.4

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be the reflection of the triangle about the axis through a vertex and the opposite side, and let  $r$  be the rotation clockwise by  $2\pi/3$ . Then define the mapping  $\phi$  by sending  $s$  to  $(12)$  and  $r$  to  $(123)$  is an explicit isomorphism. Since  $s$  and  $r$  generate all of  $D_3$ , we can extend the definition of  $\phi$  to any element of  $D_3$ . More explicitly,  $\phi$  maps the six elements of  $D_3$ ,  $e, r, r^2, s, rs, r^2s$  to  $e, (123), (132), (12), (13), (23)$ . This is a bijection, and check that this is indeed a homomorphism (i.e. respects the group structures). Our choice to send  $s$  to  $(12)$  can be viewed as labeling the vertices of the triangle such that  $s$  is the reflection about the axis through the vertex 3 and the midpoint of the edge connecting 1 and 2. At this point, there is still a choice to be made as to whether the vertices are labelled 3, 2, 1 when read clockwise, or 3, 1, 2. Choosing to send  $r$  to  $(123)$  fixes this choice as a clockwise 3, 1, 2 labeling.

The same reasoning applies for if choose to send  $s$  to  $(23)$  or  $(13)$ , both leading to two other possibilities as to where to send  $r$  depending on the clockwise labeling. Therefore, there are a total of  $3 \cdot 2 = 6$  explicit isomorphisms between  $D_3$  and  $S_3$ .  $\square$

## Exercise 4. 7.5

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : G \rightarrow G$  be defined by  $\phi(x) = x^{-1}$ . Since every element of a group has an inverse, this map is always a bijection. For it to be an isomorphism we must check that it satisfies the homomorphism property. Thus,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism if and only if for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$ , but this is true if and only if for all  $x, y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x)\phi(y) &= \phi(xy) \\ &= (xy)^{-1} \\ &= y^{-1}x^{-1} \\ &= \phi(y)\phi(x),\end{aligned}$$

(i.e. if and only if for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(y)\phi(x)$ ). But since  $\phi$  is a bijection of  $G$  with  $G$ , this holds if and only if  $G$  is abelian.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.** 7.8

*Proof.* Consider the group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  under addition and its proper subgroup  $2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ . Define the map  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\phi(n) = 2 \cdot n$ . This is a bijection because every even integer  $2x$  satisfies  $\phi(x) = 2x$ , and if  $2x = \phi(x) = \phi(y) = 2y$  then  $x = y$ . The map  $\phi$  is a homomorphism because  $\phi(x+y) = 2(x+y) = 2x+2y = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 6.** 7.9

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x$  generates  $G$ , so that any element of  $G$  is of the form  $x^n$  for some integer  $n$ . Then once we know the image of  $x$  under  $\phi$ , we know all of  $\phi$  because  $\phi(x^n) = \phi(x)^n$ . Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, and in particular surjective, every element of  $G$  can be written as  $\phi(x^n) = \phi(x)^n$  for some integer  $n$ , so  $\phi(x)$  also generates  $G$ .

To summarize, an isomorphism of  $G$  is completely determined once the image of a generator is determined, and in particular the image of this generator must also be a generator. Consider the generator 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Once we define where  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  maps 1, we have completely determined  $\phi$  by the rule  $\phi(n) = n \cdot \phi(1)$ . The image,  $\phi(1)$ , must also be a generator, but there are only two generators of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , namely 1 and  $-1$ . Therefore, there are two isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the identity isomorphism, and the one that sends every integer  $n$  to  $-n$ . We can even phrase this result as, "the group of isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with itself is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ."

Similarly, list the generators of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , which are 1, 5, 7, 11 (see exercise 5, 10). Then the generator 1 can be mapped to 1, 5, 7, or 11. In this case, the group of isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  with itself is isomorphic to the Klein group. Do you see why?  $\square$

**Exercise 7.** 9.1

*Proof.* (a) Yes. The identity is in this set, the product of two diagonal matrices is diagonal, and the inverse of a diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } \begin{pmatrix} 1/x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1/x_2 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1/x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) No, the product of two symmetric matrices need not be symmetric. In fact,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a symmetric matrix, but has determinant 0, so is not even invertible.

(c) No. The inverse of a matrix may not have integer entries. For example  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible, but its inverse is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(d) Yes, check the axioms for a group. □

### Exercise 8. 9.2

*Proof.* The determinant of such a matrix is  $ac - b \cdot 0 = ac \neq 0$ , so the matrices in this set are invertible. In particular, the inverse of  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1/a & -b/ac \\ 0 & 1/c \end{pmatrix}$ , which is contained in this set of matrices. The identity matrix is also in this set of matrices. Finally, check that the product of two such matrices is again such a matrix. □

### Exercise 9. 9.3

*Proof.* The identity matrix has determinant 1 so is contained in this set. If  $A, B$  are two matrices with integer entries such that  $\det(A) = \pm 1$  and  $\det(B) = \pm 1$ , then  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) = \pm 1$ . The only thing left to check is that if  $A$  is in the set, then so is the inverse  $A^{-1}$ .

Let  $A$  be an integer matrix such that  $\det(A)$  is 1 or  $-1$ . Recall the following formula for the inverse,  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}(\text{adjugate of } A)$ , where the adjugate of a matrix  $A$  is the transpose of the cofactor matrix of  $A$ . If  $A$  contains only integer entries then each of its cofactors is an integer, so the cofactor matrix and the adjugate contain only integer entries. Therefore, the inverse  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}(\text{adj}A)$  has integer entries since  $\det(A) = \pm 1$ . Furthermore,  $\pm 1 = \det(I) = \det(AA^{-1}) = \det(A)\det(A^{-1})$  implies that  $\det(A^{-1}) = \pm 1$ , so the inverse  $A^{-1}$  is contained in this set of matrices. Therefore, this set forms a group under matrix multiplication. □

### Exercise 10. 9.5

*Proof.* Each one of these four relations is a result of multiplying the two matrices and applying the four cosine and sine formulas

$$\cos(\theta)\cos(\phi) \pm \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) = \cos(\theta \mp \phi)$$

$$\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \pm \sin(\phi)\cos(\theta) = \sin(\theta \pm \phi)$$

(note the relative plus-minus signs).

Geometrically,  $A_\theta A_\phi = A_{\theta+\phi}$  says that an anticlockwise rotation through  $\phi$  followed by an anticlockwise rotation through  $\theta$  is the same as performing a single anticlockwise rotation through angle  $\theta + \phi$ . The relation  $A_\theta B_\phi = B_{\theta+\phi}$  says that performing a reflection in the line at angle  $\phi/2$  to the positive  $x$ -axis followed by an anticlockwise rotation through angle  $\theta$  is the same as performing a single reflection in the line at angle  $(\theta + \phi)/2$ . Similarly, rotating by  $\phi$  and then reflection in the line at angle  $\theta/2$  is the same as a single reflection in the line at angle  $(\theta - \phi)/2$ . Finally, a reflection in the line with angle  $\phi/2$  followed by a reflection in the line  $\theta/2$  is the same as a single rotation through angle  $\theta - \phi$ . Note that the angles are understood  $\pmod{2\pi}$ . Can you see directly from geometry why these relations must be true?  $\square$