Galois theory and matrix groups

Math 3560 Groups and Symmetry, Fall 2014





1/26

Galois theory



Figure : Évariste Galois

Galois theory and matrix Groups



Évariste Galois

Biography highlights

- 1828 Failed admission to École Polytechnique.
- 1829 Father commits suicide.
- 1829 Failed admission to École Polytechnique again.
- 1830 Joins the artillery of the national guard.
- 1831 Gets arrested for threatening King Louis-Philipe.
- 1831 Gets arrested again for wearing the national guard uniform during the Bastille day.



Évariste Galois

Biography highlights

- 1832 Poisson rejects his paper "On the condition that an equation be soluble by radicals" for being poorly elaborated, asks for an expanded version.
- 1832 Gets involved in a duel. Legend says he spent his last night polishing his paper on Galois theory. This note was found in his paper: "There is something to complete in this demonstration. I do not have the time..."
- 1832 Gets shot during his duel, and dies because of his wounds. His funeral becomes the focus of a republican rally and the subsequent riots last for several days.
- 1846 Liouville publishes his paper in 1846.



Irreducible polynomials

Definition

Let *F* be a subfield of \mathbb{C} , and let *F*[*x*] be the space of polynomials with coefficients in *F*. We say that a polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$ is irreducible if for any decomposition

$$p(x) = q(x)r(x), \qquad q(x), r(x) \in F[x],$$

we have that either q(x) or r(x) is a constant.



The polynomials $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ and $r(x) = x^3 + 2$ are irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . (But not over \mathbb{C} .) However the polynomial

$$r(x) = x^{3} + 1 = (x+1)(x^{2} - x + 1)$$

is not irreducible.

Proposition

Let F be a subfield of \mathbb{C} , and let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial. Then all the roots of p(x) (in \mathbb{C}) are different.



Splitting fields

Lemm<u>a</u>

Let $\{F_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a collection of subfields of \mathbb{C} . Then $F = \bigcap_{i\in I} F_i$ is a field.

Definition

Let *F* be a subfield of \mathbb{C} , and let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial. Let $R_{p(x)} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the set of roots of p(x) in \mathbb{C} . We define the splitting field of p(x) to be the field

$$E = egin{pmatrix} & igcap_{K} \subset \mathbb{C} ext{ field} \ & R_{p(x)} \subset K \end{bmatrix} K$$



Derived groups

Definition

Let G be a group. We define its first derived group to be

$$G' = G^{(1)} = [G, G] = \langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1} | x, y \in G \rangle.$$

We define its higher derived groups in a recursive fashion:

$$G^{(k)} = \bigl(G^{(k-1)}\bigr)'.$$

Example

If G is an abelian group, then G' = 0.

Galois theory and matrix Groups

Raul Gomez (gomez@cornell.edu)



Solvable groups

Observation

Given a group G, we have a descending chain

$$\cdots \subset G^{(2)} \subset G^{(1)} \subset G^{(0)} = G.$$

Definition

A group G is said to be solvable if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$G^{(k)} = \langle e \rangle.$$

Galois theory and matrix Groups

Raul Gomez (gomez@cornell.edu)

Let $G = S_3$. Then it can be checked that

$$G' = \langle e, (123), (132) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

and hence,

$$G^{(2)} = \langle e \rangle.$$

That is, S_3 is solvable.

Theorem

Let $G = S_n$. Then

1. For $n = 1, \ldots, 4$, G is solvable.

2. For $n \ge 5$, $G' = A_n$ and $G^{(2)} = A_n$. In particular, G is not solvable.

Galois theory and matrix Groups

9/26



Theorem

Let F be a subfield of \mathbb{C} , and let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial. Let E be the splitting field of p(x), and let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(E/F)$ be the corresponding Galois group. Then p(x) is solvable by radicals if and only if the group G is solvable.

Lemma

The Galois group of the splitting field of the polynomial $x^5 - x - 1$ is isomorphic to S_5 .

Corollary

The polynomial $x^5 - x - 1$ is not solvable by radicals.

11/26

The Classical Groups



Figure : Sophus Lie

Galois theory and matrix Groups



Following the ideas of Galois, Sophus Lie defined the symmetry group of a system of differential equations.

He then proved the following result:

Theorem

Let G be the symmetry group of a system of differential equations. Then the system is solvable by quadrature if and only if G is a solvable group.

His ideas lead to the definition of what it is now called a Lie group.



The general and the special linear group

Definition

Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We define

 $\operatorname{GL}(n,F) = \{g: F^n \longrightarrow F^n \mid g \text{ is an invertible linear map}\}$

and

$$\mathrm{SL}(n,F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(n,F) \,|\, \det g = 1\}.$$



Bilinear forms

Definition

Let V be an F-vector space. A bilinear form is a map

 $B: V \times V \to F$

such that

$$B(av_1 + bv_2, v_3) = aB(v_1, v_3) + bB(v_2, v_3)$$

and

$$B(v_1, abv_2 + bv_3) = aB(v_1, v_2) + bB(v_1, v_3),$$

for all v_1 , v_2 and $v_3 \in V$.



Definition

We say that B si non-degenerate if for all $v \in V$, there exists $w \in V$ such that

 $B(v,w)\neq 0.$

We say that *B* is symmetric if

$$B(v,w) = B(w,v)$$

for all $v, w \in V$. We say that it's antisymmetric if

$$B(v,w) = -B(w,v)$$

for all $v, w \in V$.



Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$. Given vectors $v_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $v_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$, we define

$$B(v_1, v_2) = v_1 \cdot v_2 = x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2,$$

to be the usual dot product. Then B is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form.



Definition

Given a vector space V, with a basis

$$\mathscr{B} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$$

and a bilinear form B on V, we define its associated matrix to be

$$[B] = [B]_{\mathscr{B}} = (B(v_i, v_j))_{i,j}.$$



Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, and let

$$B(v_1, v_2) = v_1 \cdot v_2$$

as before. If $\mathscr{B} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the canonical basis, then

$$[B]_{\mathscr{B}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right]$$



Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Define $B_A : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $B_A(v_1, v_2) = v_1^t A v_2$.



More concretely, if

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$B_A(v_1,v_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2.$$



The orthogonal groups

Definition

Let $A \in M_n(F)$ be a symmetric matrix, that is $A^T = A$. We define the orthogonal group associated to A to be

$$\mathbf{O}(A) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F) \,|\, g^T A g = A\}$$

and the special orthogonal group to be

 $SO(A) = O(A) \cap SL(n, F).$



Definition

We set

$$\mathcal{O}(p,q) = \mathcal{O}(I_{p,q}),$$

where

$$I_{p,q} = \left[egin{array}{cc} I_p & \ & -I_q \end{array}
ight].$$

We also set

O(n,F) = O(n,0) and SO(n,F) = SO(n,0).



Theorem

Let $A \in M_n(F)$ be a non-singular symmetric matrix. Then 1. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, then there exists $p \ge q \ge 0$ such that $O(A) \cong O(p,q)$, and $O(A) \cong SO(p,q)$. 2. If $F = \mathbb{C}$, then $O(A) \cong O(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(A) \cong SO(n, \mathbb{C})$.



In two dimensions we have two different types of special orthogonal groups:

$$\mathsf{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \left[egin{array}{cc} \cos heta & -\sin heta \ \sin heta & \cos heta \end{array}
ight] \middle| heta \in \mathbb{R}
ight\},$$

and

$$\mathsf{5O}(1,1) = \left\{ \pm \left[\begin{array}{cc} \cosh \alpha & \sinh \alpha \\ \sinh \alpha & \cosh \alpha \end{array} \right] \, \middle| \, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$



The symplectic group

Definition

Let $A \in M_n(F)$ be an antisymmetric matrix, that is $A^T = -A$. We define the symplectic group associated to A to be

$$\operatorname{Sp}(A) = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(n,F) \,|\, g^t A g = A\}.$$

Let

$$J = \left[\begin{array}{c} & -I_n \\ I_n & \end{array}\right]$$

We set

$$\operatorname{Sp}(2n,F) = \operatorname{Sp}(J).$$



Theorem

If $A \in M_{2n}(F)$, is an antisymmetric matrix, then

 $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \cong \operatorname{Sp}(2n, F).$