

# Matrix Factorizations for Complete Intersections

*Irena Peeva*

(CORNELL UNIVERSITY)

Linear Algebra:	Vector Spaces finite dimensional	over a Field e.g. $\mathbb{C}$	tool: basis
--------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

Algebra:	Modules finitely generated	over a Ring e.g. $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$	tool: ?
----------	-------------------------------	---	------------

*Can we use bases to study the structure of modules?* They rarely exist: only free modules have bases.

Instead of a basis, we have to consider *generators*.

### Example.

$N = (xy, xz)$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ .

It is generated by  $f := xy$  and  $g := xz$ .

$\{f, g\}$  is not a basis since we have the relation

$$zf - yg = z(xy) - y(xz) = 0.$$

$N$  does not have a basis.

Generators give very little information  
about the structure of a module.

Usually there are relations on the generators,  
and relations on these relations, etc..

## Basic Question.

*How do we describe the structure of a module?*

**Hilbert's Approach (1890, 1893):**  
use Free Resolutions.

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring (e.g.  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , or their quotients). A sequence

$$\mathbb{F} : \quad \cdots \rightarrow F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{d_i} F_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0$$

of f.g. free  $R$ -modules is a *free resolution* of a f.g.  $R$ -module  $N$  if:

(1)  $\mathbb{F}$  is an exact complex, that is,  
 $\text{Ker}(d_i) = \text{Im}(d_{i+1}) \quad \forall i.$

(2)  $N \cong \text{Coker}(d_1) = F_0 / \text{Im}(d_1)$ , that is,

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} F_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

$d = \{d_i\}$  is the *differential* of the resolution.

Example.  $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$

$N = (xy, xz)$  has a free resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -y \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} xy & xz \end{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N \rightarrow 0. \\ & & & & & & \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} \text{relations} \\ \text{on the} \\ \text{relations} \\ \text{in } d_1 \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} \text{relations} \\ \text{on the} \\ \text{generators} \\ \text{of } N \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} \text{generators} \\ \text{of } N \end{pmatrix} & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & F_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

A free resolution of a module  $N$  is a  
description of the structure of  $N$ .

We would like to construct a free resolution as efficiently as possible, that is, at each step we would like to pick a *minimal* system of relations.

Example.  $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$   
 $N = (xy, xz)$  has free resolutions

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z \\ -y \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} xy & xz \end{pmatrix}} N \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z & yz \\ -y & -y^2 \end{pmatrix}} S^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} xy & xz \end{pmatrix}} N \rightarrow 0.$$

The concept of *minimality* makes sense in two main cases

local

e.g.  $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

graded

e.g.  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$   
 $\deg(x_i) = 1 \ \forall i$

because of Nakayama's Lemma.

In these cases, a minimal free resolution of a module  $N$  exists and is unique up to an isomorphism.

The ranks of the free modules in the minimal free resolution are the *Betti numbers*  $b_i(N)$  of  $N$ .



Notation:  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ ,  $R = S/J$ .

**Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem.** Every module over  $S$  has a finite minimal free resolution. Its length is  $\leq n$ .

**Serre's Regularity Criterion.**

Every f.g.  $R$ - module has a finite free resolution

$\iff \mathbb{C}$  has a finite free resolution

$\iff R$  is a regular ring (that is,  $J$  is generated by linear forms).

Over a quotient ring  
most minimal free resolutions are **infinite**.

Notation:  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

### Question.

*What happens over a hypersurface*  
 $R = S/(f)$ ?

The answer involves matrix factorizations. A *matrix factorization* of an element  $f \in S$  is a pair of square matrices  $d, h$  with entries in  $S$ , such that

$$dh = hd = f\text{Id}.$$

Let  $f \in S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , and set  $R = S/(f)$ .

Eisenbud (1980) introduced the concept of *matrix factorization*  $d, h$  of  $f$ . Its *MF-module* is

$$M := \text{Coker}(d) = R^b / \text{Im}(d).$$

(1)  $\cdots \xrightarrow{d} R^b \xrightarrow{h} R^b \xrightarrow{d} R^b \xrightarrow{h} R^b \xrightarrow{d} R^b$   
is the minimal free resolution of  $M$  over  $R$ .

(2) Asymptotically, every minimal free resolution over  $R$  is of type (1): if  $\mathbb{F}$  is a minimal free resolution over  $R$ , then  $\forall s \gg 0$  the truncation

$$\mathbb{F}_{\geq s} : \cdots \longrightarrow F_{s+1} \xrightarrow{d_{s+1}} F_s$$

is described by a matrix factorization.

(3)  $0 \rightarrow S^b \xrightarrow{d} S^b$  is the minimal free resolution of  $M$  over  $S$ .

# String Theory

# Knot Theory

## Singularity Category

# Hodge Theory

# Singularity Theory

## APPLICATIONS

# Moduli of Curves

# CM modules

# Cluster Tilting

# Quiver and Group Representations

Notation:  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

We considered the question:

*What is the structure of minimal free resolutions over a hypersurface  $R = S/(f)$ ?*

**Next Question.** *What happens over a quotient ring  $R = S/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$ ?*

Hope: even though a minimal free resolution is infinite, it might be the case that its structure is encoded in finite data. The Serre-Kaplansky Problem embodies this view.

The Serre-Kaplansky Problem. *Is the Poincaré series  $\sum_{i \geq 0} b_i^R(\mathbb{C})t^i$  rational?*

Here:

$R$  is a local ring with residue field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$b_i^R(\mathbb{C})$  are the Betti numbers (= the ranks of the free modules) in the minimal free resolution of  $\mathbb{C}$  considered as an  $R$ -module.

The Serre-Kaplansky Problem. *Is the Poincaré series  $\sum_{i \geq 0} b_i^R(\mathbb{C})t^i$  rational?*

This was one of the central questions in Commutative Algebra for many years.

Anick (1980) found a counterexample:

$$R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_5] / \left( (x_1, \dots, x_5)^3, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_4^2, x_5^2, \right. \\ \left. x_1x_2, x_4x_5, x_1x_3 + x_3x_4 + x_2x_5 \right).$$

The quest for rings with rational Poincaré series keeps going ... Recently, Herzog and Huneke proved rationality over  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/J^m$  for every  $m \geq 2$  and every graded ideal  $J$ .

Notation:  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

We are discussing:

**Question.** *What is the structure of minimal free resolutions over  $R = S/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$ ?*

Anick's example shows that we should impose some conditions on  $f_1, \dots, f_c$ .

A main class of interest are the complete intersection rings, and we will focus on:

**Question.**

What is the structure of minimal free resolutions over a complete intersection  $R = S/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$ ?



Notation:  $S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

**Definition.** Let  $Q$  be a quotient ring of  $S$ . An element  $g \in Q$  is a *non-zerodivisor* if

$$gw = 0, w \in Q \implies w = 0.$$

We say that  $f_1, \dots, f_c$  is a *regular sequence* if  $f_i$  is a non-zerodivisor on  $S/(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}) \quad \forall i$ , and also  $S/(f_1, \dots, f_c) \neq 0$ .

The quotient  $R = S/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$  is called a *complete intersection*.

## Numerical Results

Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$  be a complete intersection.

**Theorem.** (Tate, 1957) (Gulliksen, 1980)

The Poincaré series of every f.g. module over  $R$  is rational.

**Theorem.** (Avramov-Gasharov-Peeva, 1997)

The Betti numbers of every f.g. module over  $R$  are eventually non-decreasing.

Numerical results indicate that minimal free resolutions over  $R$  are highly structured.

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_c \in S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  be a regular sequence, and set  $R = S/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$ .

Eisenbud and Peeva introduced the concept of *matrix factorization*  $d, h$ . Its *MF-module* is  $M := \text{Coker}(d)$ .

- (1) We constructed the infinite minimal free resolution of  $M$  over  $R$ .

Hypersurface Case: The minimal free resolution is

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d} R^b \xrightarrow{h} R^b \xrightarrow{d} R^b \xrightarrow{h} R^b \xrightarrow{d} R^b .$$

It has constant Betti numbers and is periodic of period 2.

General Case: The Betti numbers grow polynomially.  
There is a pattern for the odd differentials, and  
another for the even differentials.

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_c \in S = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  be a regular sequence, and set  $R = S/(f_1, \dots, f_c)$ .

Eisenbud and Peeva introduced the concept of *matrix factorization*  $d, h$ . Its *MF-module* is

$$M := \text{Coker}(d).$$

- (1) We constructed the infinite minimal free resolution of  $M$  over  $R$ .
- (2) Asymptotically, every minimal free resolution over  $R$  is of type (1): if  $\mathbb{F}$  is a minimal free resolution over  $R$ , then  $\forall s \gg 0$  the truncation

$$\mathbb{F}_{\geq s} : \cdots \rightarrow F_{s+1} \rightarrow F_s$$

is described by a matrix factorization.

- (3) We constructed the minimal free resolution of  $M$  over  $S$ . It has length  $c$ .

The results hold over a graded or local complete intersection with infinite residue field.

An expository paper (joint with J. McCullough)  
with Open Problems on Infinite Free Resolutions  
is available at

<http://math.cornell.edu/~irena>