

18.510: INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL LOGIC
AND SET THEORY, FALL 08

LIAT KESSLER

1. PROPOSITIONAL CALCULUS

Propositional Logic: a Sequent Calculus. Recall: we say that a sequence $\bar{\beta}$ of finitely many formulas, $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$, is a *formal proof* of a formula ϕ from a set Γ of formulas, if $\beta_n = \phi$ and for all i , either

- β_i is an axiom;
- $\beta_i \in \Gamma$;
- (mp) $\beta_i = \gamma$, and there are $j, k < i$ such that $\beta_j = (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$ and $\beta_k = \alpha$.

A formula ϕ is *formally provable* or *derivable* from a set Γ of formulas, written $\Gamma \vdash \phi$, if there exists a formal proof $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ of ϕ from Γ .

1.1. **Lemma.** *Let ψ be a formula. Then $\emptyset \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \psi)$.*

Proof: exercise in PS2.

1.2. **Lemma.** *Let Γ be a set of formulas, and let ψ be a formula. If ϕ is an axiom, then $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.*

Proof. The sequence $\bar{\beta}$:

- $\beta_1 = (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi))$ axiom
- $\beta_2 = \phi$ axiom
- $\beta_3 = (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ mp, $j = 1, k = 2$

is a formal proof of $(\psi \rightarrow \phi)$ from Γ . □

1.3. **Lemma.** *Let Γ be a set of formulas, and let ψ be a formula. If $\phi \in \Gamma$, then $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.*

Proof. The same $\bar{\beta}$ as in the proof of Lemma 1.2 will work, but the justification for β_2 now is that $\phi \in \Gamma$. □

1.4. **Lemma** (Deduction Lemma). *Let Γ be a set of propositional formulas, and let ψ, ϕ be propositional formulas. If $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash \phi$ then $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.*

Proof. Assume that there is a proof $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell)$ of ϕ from $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\}$. We will show by induction on $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ that

$$(1) \quad \Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \beta_i)$$

for all i . For $i = \ell$, we get $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \beta_\ell)$, i.e., $\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$.

- If β_i is an axiom, then (1) follows from Lemma 1.2.
- If $\beta_i \in \Gamma$, then (1) follows from Lemma 1.3.
- If $\beta_i = \psi$, then (1) follows from Lemma 1.1.
- (mp) If there are $j, k < i$ such that

$$\beta_j = (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma),$$

$$\beta_k = \alpha,$$

and

$$\beta_i = \gamma,$$

then by the induction assumption

$$\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$$

and

$$\Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \alpha).$$

Let

$$(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$$

be a proof of $(\psi \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ from Γ , and let

$$(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$$

be a proof of $(\psi \rightarrow \alpha)$ from Γ . Set

$$\delta_{m+i} = \epsilon_i.$$

Then

$$\delta_1$$

\vdots

$$\delta_m = (\psi \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$$

$$\delta_{m+1}$$

\vdots

$$\delta_{m+n} = (\psi \rightarrow \alpha)$$

$$\delta_{m+n+1} = ((\psi \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \gamma))) \quad \text{axiom}$$

$$\delta_{m+n+2} = ((\psi \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \gamma)) \quad \text{mp on } \delta_m \text{ and } \delta_{m+n+1}$$

$$\delta_{m+n+3} = (\psi \rightarrow \gamma) \quad \text{mp on } \delta_{m+n} \text{ and } \delta_{m+n+2}$$

Now, $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{m+n+3})$ is a proof of $(\psi \rightarrow \beta_\ell)$ from Γ as

claimed. □

The deduction Lemma is not itself formulated with propositional calculus: it is not a theorem of propositional calculus, but a theorem about propositional calculus. In this sense, it is a meta-theorem, comparable to theorems about the soundness or completeness of propositional calculus.

1.5. Corollary.

$$\{(p \rightarrow q), (q \rightarrow r)\} \vdash (p \rightarrow r).$$

Proof. It follows from the Deduction Lemma with $\Gamma = \{(p \rightarrow q), (q \rightarrow r)\}$ and $\psi = p$. \square

1.6. Corollary (Contraposition). $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \neg\psi$ iff $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash \neg\phi$.

Proof: exercise in PS2.

The Completeness Theorem.

1.7. Theorem (Completeness Theorem). *Let Γ be a set of formulas, and let ψ be a formula. Then*

$$\Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ if and only if } \Gamma \models \psi.$$

We will prove the \Rightarrow direction directly.

Proof that if $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ then $\Gamma \models \psi$. Let $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ be a proof of ψ from Γ . We will show by induction on $i = 1, \dots, n$ that $\Gamma \models \beta_i$ and conclude that $\Gamma \models \beta_n$ since $\beta_n = \psi$.

- If β_i is an axiom then $\models \beta_i$ (as we saw before), hence $\Gamma \models \beta_i$.
- If $\beta_i \in \Gamma$, then, by definition, $\Gamma \models \beta_i$.
- (mp) If there are $j, k < i$ such that $\beta_j = (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$, $\beta_k = \alpha$ and $\beta_i = \gamma$, then by induction assumption, $\Gamma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$, and $\Gamma \models \alpha$. By Modus Ponens in Semantics, this implies $\Gamma \models \gamma$.

\square