## 1. TIM RILEY THE DEHN FUNCTION OF $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$

For a word w on  $a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_m^{\pm 1}$  representing 1 in a finite presentation  $\mathcal{P} = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$  of a group  $\Gamma$ , define  $\operatorname{Area}(w)$  to be the minimal  $A \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there is an equality  $w = \prod_{i=1}^{A} u_i^{-1} r_i^{\varepsilon_i} u_i$  in the free group  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$  for some  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ , some words  $u_i$ , and some  $r_i \in \mathcal{R}$ . Equivalently,  $\operatorname{Area}(w)$  is the minimal A such that there is a van Kampen diagram for w over  $\mathcal{P}$  with at most A 2-cells. Defining  $\operatorname{Area}(n)$  to be the maximum of  $\operatorname{Area}(w)$  over all w that have length at most n and represent 1 in  $\Gamma$ , gives the Dehn function  $\operatorname{Area}: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Whilst  $\operatorname{Area}: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is defined for  $\mathcal{P}$ , a different finite presentation  $\mathcal{P}'$  for  $\Gamma$  will yield a Dehn function  $\operatorname{Area}': \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  that is qualitatively the same — for example,  $(\exists C > 1, \forall n, (1/C)n^2 \leq \operatorname{Area}'(n) \leq Cn^2)$  if and only if the same is true for  $\operatorname{Area}: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ . (The C may differ.)

## **Question 1.1.** Is the Dehn function of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ quadratic when $n \geq 4$ ?

Presenting this as a question, rather than a claim, conjecture, or the like, may be unduly conservative. In his 1993 survey article<sup>1</sup>, Gersten describes the quadratic Dehn function as an assertion of W.P.Thurston.

I am not even aware of a proof that the Dehn function of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is bounded above by a polynomial when  $n \geq 4$ . By contrast, the Dehn function of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is known to grow linearly  $-SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is hyperbolic - and that of  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$  grows like  $n \mapsto \exp(n)$ : Epstein & Thurston<sup>2</sup> proved the lower bound and a result sketched by Gromov<sup>3</sup> gives the upper bound. (An elementary proof might be a step towards ??.)

Of course, ?? presupposes  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is finite presentable, but that has been long known. The  $n^2 - n$  matrices  $e_{ij}$  with 1's on the diagonal, the off-diagonal ij-entry 1, and all others 0, generate  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Milnor<sup>4</sup>, following J.R.Silvester and in turn Nielsen and Magnus, explains that the Steinberg relations  $\{[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = 1\}_{i \neq l, j \neq k}$  and  $\{[e_{jk}, e_{kl}] = e_{jl}\}_{j \neq l}$ together with  $\{(e_{ij}e_{ji}^{-1}e_{ij})^4 = 1\}_{i \neq j}$  are defining relations. A proof of ?? would be an exacting quantitative proof of finite presentability.

One can regard ?? as a higher dimensional version of the Lubotzky-Mozes-Raghunathan Theorem, establishing the existence of efficient words representing elements g of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \geq 3$ , that is, words of length like the log of the maximum of the absolute values of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Isoperimetric and isodiametric functions. In G.Niblo and M.Roller, eds., *Geo*metric group theory I, no. 181 in LMS lecture notes, C.U.P., 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>D.B.A.Epstein et al., Word Processing in Groups, Jones and Bartlett, 1992.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In G. Niblo and M. Roller, eds., Geometric group theory II, no. 182 in LMS lecture notes, C.U.P., 1993. See  $\S2B_1, \S5A_7, \S5A_9, \S5D(5)(c)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Introduction to algebraic K-theory, vol. 72 of Annals of Mathematical Studies, Princeton University Press, 1971.

matrix entries.<sup>5</sup> As a word representing g amounts to a path in the Cayley graph from 1 to g, the L.-M.-R. Theorem can be thought of as saying that 0-spheres admit efficient fillings by 1-discs. A word w representing 1 in a finite presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  corresponds to a loop  $\rho_w$  in the Cayley graph; a van Kampen diagram for w can be regarded as a combinatorial homotopy disc for  $\rho_w$  in the Cayley 2-complex of  $\mathcal{P}$ . So ?? is, roughly speaking, the claim that 1-spheres admit efficient fillings by 2-discs in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Gromov<sup>3</sup> takes this further and suggests that in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , Euclidean isoperimetric inequalities concerning filling k-spheres by (k + 1)-discs persist up to k = n - 3. (For k = n - 2, the exponential lower bound of Epstein & Thurston<sup>2</sup> applies.)

One attack on ?? is that whilst  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is not a *cocompact* lattice in the symmetric space  $X := \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\operatorname{SO}(n)$ , and so the quadratic isoperimetric inequality enjoyed by X does not immediately pass to  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , open horoballs can be removed from X to give a space  $X_0$  on which  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  acts cocompactly. Druţu<sup>6</sup> and Leuzinger & Pittet<sup>7</sup> have made progress in this direction, including a quadratic isoperimetric inequality for the boundary horosphere of each removed horoball. They work in the more general setting of lattices in semisimple Lie groups, and establish results towards Gromov's assertion<sup>3</sup> that "solvable groups of high real rank are expected to satisfy a polynomial isoperimetric inequality."

Chatterji has asked whether for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  enjoys her property  $L_{\delta}$  for some  $\delta \geq 0$ , which would imply a sub-cubic Dehn function<sup>8</sup>.

The author's efforts towards ?? have, to date, yielded<sup>9</sup> a version of L.-M.-R. giving explicit efficient words. This may aid the construction of van Kampen diagrams, but that remains to be seen. However it has led to progress elsewhere.<sup>10</sup>

Finally, we mention that for n > 3, the Dehn functions of the cousins  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_n)$  and  $\operatorname{Out}(F_n)$  of  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  are also unknown.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Cyclic subgroups of exponential growth and metrics on discrete groups, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série 1, 317:723–740, 1993. The word and Riemannian metrics on lattices of semisimple groups, *I.H.É.S. Publ. Math.*, 91:5–53, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Filling in solvable groups and in lattices in semisimple groups, *Topology*, 43:983–1033, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>On quadratic Dehn functions, *Math. Z.*, 248(4):725–755, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>M.Elder,  $L_{\delta}$  groups are almost convex and have a sub-cubic Dehn function, Algebr. Geom. Topol., 4:23–29 (electronic), 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Navigating the Cayley graphs of  $SL_N(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $SL_N(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , Geometriae Dedicata, 113(1):215–229, 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>M.Kassabov and T.R.Riley, Diameters of Cayley graphs of Chevalley groups, to appear in Eur. J. Comb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>M.R.Bridson and K.Vogtmann, Automorphism groups of free, surface, and free abelian groups, arXiv:math.GR/0507612.