Arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds

Alan W. Reid

University of Texas at Austin

Cornell University June 2014

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへぐ

Thurston (Qn 19 of the 1982 Bulletin of the AMS article) Find topological and geometric properties of quotient spaces of arithmetic subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. These manifolds often seem to have special beauty.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Thurston (Qn 19 of the 1982 Bulletin of the AMS article) Find topological and geometric properties of quotient spaces of arithmetic subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. These manifolds often seem to have special beauty.

Many of the key examples in the development of the theory of geometric structures on 3-manifolds (e.g. the figure-eight knot complement, the Whitehead link complement, the complement of the Borromean rings and the Magic manifold) are arithmetic.













The modular group

The basic example of an "arithmetic group" is

 $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})/\pm Id.$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

The modular group

The basic example of an "arithmetic group" is

$$PSL(2, \mathbf{Z}) = SL(2, \mathbf{Z}) / \pm Id.$$

Every non-cocompact finite co-area arithmetic Fuchsian group is commensurable with the modular group.

▲□▶ <畳▶ < 注▶ < 注▶ 注 のQ@</p>

The modular group

The basic example of an "arithmetic group" is

$$PSL(2, \mathbf{Z}) = SL(2, \mathbf{Z}) / \pm Id.$$

Every non-cocompact finite co-area arithmetic Fuchsian group is commensurable with the modular group.

Some particularly interesting subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of finite index are the congruence subgroups.

A subgroup $\Gamma < PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a congruence subgroup if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that Γ contains the principal congruence group:

 $\Gamma(n) = \ker\{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}/\mathrm{n}\mathbf{Z})\},\$

where $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = SL(2, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))/\{\pm Id\}.$

A subgroup $\Gamma < PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a congruence subgroup if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that Γ contains the principal congruence group:

 $\Gamma(n) = \ker\{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}/\mathrm{n}\mathbf{Z})\},\$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

where $PSL(2, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = SL(2, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}))/\{\pm Id\}.$

n is called the level.

The structure of congruence subgroups (genus, torsion, number of cusps) has been well-studied.

Rademacher Conjecture: There are only finitely many congruence subgroups of genus 0 (or fixed genus).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへぐ

The structure of congruence subgroups (genus, torsion, number of cusps) has been well-studied.

Rademacher Conjecture: There are only finitely many congruence subgroups of genus 0 (or fixed genus).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

This was proved by J. B. Denin in the 70's.

Why?

Why?

1. $\Gamma(n)$ has genus zero if and only if $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

Why?

1. $\Gamma(n)$ has genus zero if and only if $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

2.
$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$
 is the stabilizer of ∞ in $\Gamma(n)$.

Why?

1. $\Gamma(n)$ has genus zero if and only if $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

2.
$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$
 is the stabilizer of ∞ in $\Gamma(n)$.

Hence the normal closure N of $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ in PSL(2, **Z**) is a subgroup of $\Gamma(n)$.

Why?

1. $\Gamma(n)$ has genus zero if and only if $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

2.
$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$
 is the stabilizer of ∞ in $\Gamma(n)$.

Hence the normal closure N of $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ in PSL(2, **Z**) is a subgroup of $\Gamma(n)$.

Note $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})/N \cong$ the (2, 3, n) triangle group.

3. Claim: $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements if and only if $N = \Gamma(n)$.

Given the claim the result follows as if $N = \Gamma(n)$ then N has finite index; i.e. the the (2, 3, n) triangle group is finite.

3. Claim: $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements if and only if $N = \Gamma(n)$.

Given the claim the result follows as if $N = \Gamma(n)$ then N has finite index; i.e. the the (2, 3, n) triangle group is finite. Proof of Claim: One direction is clear.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

3. Claim: $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements if and only if $N = \Gamma(n)$.

Given the claim the result follows as if $N = \Gamma(n)$ then N has finite index; i.e. the the (2, 3, n) triangle group is finite. Proof of Claim: One direction is clear.

Now $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ has 1 cusp. So if $\Gamma(n)$ is generated by parabolic elements $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, then each p_i is $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ -conjugate into $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$; i.e., $p_i \in N$.

Examples: Suppose n > 1 and let $\Gamma_0(n) < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup consisting of those elements congruent to $\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ (mod n).

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Note that $\tau_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(n)$.

Hence $\langle \Gamma_0(n), \tau_n \rangle \subset N_{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{R})}(\Gamma_0(n))$ is

A more general version of Rademacher's Conjecture that we now discuss was proved by J. G. Thompson and independently P. Zograf. Suppose $\Gamma < PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$ is commensurable with $PSL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Define Γ

to be a congruence subgroup if Γ contains some $\Gamma(n)$.

Examples: Suppose n > 1 and let $\Gamma_0(n) < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup consisting of those elements congruent to $\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ (mod n).

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Note that $\tau_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(n)$.

Hence $\langle \Gamma_0(n), \tau_n \rangle \subset N_{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{R})}(\Gamma_0(n))$ is

commensurable with $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$,

Examples: Suppose n > 1 and let $\Gamma_0(n) < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup consisting of those elements congruent to $\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ (mod n).

(日)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)、(C)

Note that $\tau_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(n)$.

Hence $\langle \Gamma_0(n), \tau_n \rangle \subset N_{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{R})}(\Gamma_0(n))$ is

commensurable with $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, visibly is not a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$,

Examples: Suppose n > 1 and let $\Gamma_0(n) < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup consisting of those elements congruent to $\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ (mod n).

Note that $\tau_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(n)$.

Hence $\langle \Gamma_0(n), \tau_n \rangle \subset N_{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{R})}(\Gamma_0(n))$ is

commensurable with $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, visibly is not a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, contains $\Gamma(n)$.

Examples: Suppose n > 1 and let $\Gamma_0(n) < \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup consisting of those elements congruent to $\pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ (mod n).

Note that $\tau_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n} \\ \sqrt{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(n)$.

Hence $\langle \Gamma_0(n), \tau_n \rangle \subset N_{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{R})}(\Gamma_0(n))$ is

commensurable with $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, visibly is not a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, contains $\Gamma(n)$. **Remarks:** 1. The groups $N_{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{R})}(\Gamma_0(n))$ contain all maximal Fuchsian groups commensurable with $\text{PSL}(2,\mathbf{Z})$.

2. These involutions illustrate a common theme in arithmetic groups—lots of hidden symmetry!

These involutions are hidden to $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ but visible on finite index subgroups.

Theorem 1 (Thompson, Zograf)

There are only finitely many congruence Fuchsian groups commensurable with $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of genus 0 (resp. of fixed genus).

Sketch Proof: By Selberg's work congruence groups have a spectral gap; i.e. if Γ is congruence then $\lambda_1(\mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma) \geq 3/16$.

On the other hand we have the following result of Zograf:

Theorem 2

Let Γ be a Fuchsian group of finite co-area and let the genus of \mathbf{H}^2/Γ be denoted by $g(\Gamma)$. If $Area(\mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma) \geq 32\pi(g(\Gamma) + 1)$, then

$$\lambda_1(\Gamma) < \frac{8\pi(g(\Gamma)+1)}{Area(\mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma)}.$$

28

Now let Γ_i be a sequence of congruence subgroups of genus 0.

There are only finitely many arithmetic Fuchsian groups of bounded co-area.

Thus areas $\rightarrow \infty$ and so by Zograf:

 $\lambda_1 \rightarrow 0.$

This is a contradiction, since by Selberg there is a spectral gap for congruence subgroups.

Maximal Groups of genus 0:

Below we list those *n* for which the maximal groups (as constructed above) have genus 0. The case of n = 1 is the modular group.

Prime Level: 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,41,47,59,71.

Non-prime Level: 6,10, 14, 15,21,22,26,30, 33,34,35,38,39,42,51,55,62,66,69, 70,78,87, 94,95,105,110, 119,141.

Maximal Groups of genus 0:

Below we list those *n* for which the maximal groups (as constructed above) have genus 0. The case of n = 1 is the modular group.

Prime Level: 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,41,47,59,71.

Non-prime Level: 6,10, 14, 15,21,22,26,30, 33,34,35,38,39,42,51,55,62,66,69, 70,78,87, 94,95,105,110, 119,141.

As Ogg noticed back in the 70's:

the prime values are precisely the prime divisors of the order of the Monster simple group.

31

There are 132 genus 0 congruence subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (up to conjugacy in $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (C. K. Seng, M. L. Lang, Y. Yifan, 2004)

There are 132 genus 0 congruence subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (up to conjugacy in $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (C. K. Seng, M. L. Lang, Y. Yifan, 2004)

26 of these are torsion free (A. Sebbar, 2001)

		· • • •	
	· •		

Index	Level	Group
6	2	F(2)
	4	Po(4)
12	3	F(3)
	4	$\Gamma_{0}(4) \cap \Gamma(2)$
	5	$\Gamma_1(5)$
	6	Γ ₀ (6)
	8	$\Gamma_0(8)$
	9	$\Gamma_0(9)$
24	4	Γ(4)
	6	$\Gamma_0(3) \cap \Gamma(2)$
	7	$\Gamma_1(7)$
	8	$\Gamma_1(8), \ \Gamma_0(8) \cap \Gamma(2), \ \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+4a & 2b \\ 4c & 1+4d \end{pmatrix}, \ a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\}$
	12	$\Gamma_{0}(12)$
	16	$\Gamma_0(16), \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+4a & b \\ 8c & 1+4d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\}$
36	6	$\Gamma_0(2) \cap \Gamma(3)$
	. 9	$\Gamma_1(9), \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+3a & 3b \\ 3c & 1+3d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 3 \right\}$
	10	$\Gamma_{1}(10)$
	18	$\Gamma_0(18)$
	27	$ \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+3a & b \\ 9c & 1+3d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 3 \right\} $
48	8	$\Gamma_1(8) \cap \Gamma(2), \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+4a & 4b \\ 4c & 1+4d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\}$
	12	$\Gamma_1(12), \ \left\{ \pm \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1+6a & 2b \\ 6c & 1+6d \end{array} \right), \ a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\}$
	16	$\Gamma_0(16) \cap \Gamma_1(8), \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+4a & 2b \\ 8c & 1+4d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\}$
	. 24	$\left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+6a & b\\ 12c & 1+6d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\}$
	32	$ \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1+4a & b \\ 16c & 1+4d \end{pmatrix}, a \equiv c \mod 2 \right\} , $
60	5	Γ(5)
	25	$\Gamma_0(25) \cap \Gamma_1(5)$

Abdellah Sebbar, Proc. AMS 129 (2001)

Dimension 3: The Bianchi groups

Let *d* be a square-free positive integer, and O_d the ring of integers of the quadratic imaginary number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

The Bianchi groups are defined to be the family of groups $PSL(2, O_d)$. Let $Q_d = \mathbf{H}^3/PSL(2, O_d)$ denote the Bianchi orbifold.

Some Bianchi Orbifolds(from Hatcher's paper)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

36

Every non-cocompact arithmetic Kleinian group is commensurable (up to conjugacy) with some $PSL(2, O_d)$.
Every non-cocompact arithmetic Kleinian group is commensurable (up to conjugacy) with some $PSL(2, O_d)$.

Natural generalization of genus 0 surface groups are link groups in S^3 .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへぐ

The Cuspidal Cohomology Problem

Theorem 3 (Vogtmann finishing off work by lots of Germans)

If $S^3 \setminus L \to Q_d$ then $d \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 31, 39, 47, 71\}.$

The Cuspidal Cohomology Problem

Theorem 3 (Vogtmann finishing off work by lots of Germans)

If $S^3 \setminus L \to Q_d$ then $d \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23, 31, 39, 47, 71\}$.

Theorem 4 (M. Baker)

For each d in this list there is a link L_d such that $S^3 \setminus L_d \to Q_d$.

Saw some examples earlier for some small values of d. Here are some more:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



Call a link $L \subset S^3$ arithmetic if $S^3 \setminus L = \mathbf{H}^3 / \Gamma$ where Γ is arithmetic (in this case we mean commensurable with $PSL(2, O_d)$)

Call a link $L \subset S^3$ arithmetic if $S^3 \setminus L = \mathbf{H}^3 / \Gamma$ where Γ is arithmetic (in this case we mean commensurable with $PSL(2, O_d)$)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Remarks:1. The figure eight knot is the only arithmetic knot.

Call a link $L \subset S^3$ arithmetic if $S^3 \setminus L = \mathbf{H}^3 / \Gamma$ where Γ is arithmetic (in this case we mean commensurable with $PSL(2, O_d)$)

Remarks:1. The figure eight knot is the only arithmetic knot.

2. There are infinitely many arithmetic links–even with two components.

Congruence link complements

We define congruence subgroups, principal congruence subgroups as above; ie

A subgroup $\Gamma < PSL(2, O_d)$ is called a congruence subgroup if there exists an $I \subset O_d$ (as before called the level) so that Γ contains the principal congruence group:

 $\Gamma(I) = \ker\{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}/\mathrm{I})\},\$

where $PSL(2,O_d/I) = SL(2,O_d/I)/\{\pm Id\}$

Question 1 (Analogue of Rademacher's Conjecture)

Are there only finitely many congruence link complements in S^3 ?



Question 1 (Analogue of Rademacher's Conjecture)

Are there only finitely many congruence link complements in S^3 ?

Question 2

Is there some version of Ogg's observation—i.e. which maximal groups have trivial cuspidal cohomology? Infinitely many prime levels?

イロト (同) (三) (三) (つ) (つ)

Lemma 5

There are only finitely many principal congruence link complements in S^3 .

Proof.

Note by Vogtmann's result, only finitely many possible *d*'s. If $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma(I)$ is a link complement in S^3 , then some cusp torus contains a short curve (length < 6). The peripheral subgroups have entries in *I*. As the norm of the ideal *I* grows then elements in *I* have absolute values > 6.

An alternative approach is using Systole bounds.

Theorem 6 (Adams-R, 2000)

Let N be a closed orientable 3-manifold which does not admit any Riemannian metric of negative curvature. Let L be a link in N whose complement admits a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume. Then $sys(N \setminus L) \leq 7.35534...$

An alternative approach is using Systole bounds.

Theorem 6 (Adams-R, 2000)

Let N be a closed orientable 3-manifold which does not admit any Riemannian metric of negative curvature. Let L be a link in N whose complement admits a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume. Then $sys(N \setminus L) \leq 7.35534...$

For principal congruence manifolds the following simple lemma shows that systole will grow with the norm of the ideal.

Lemma 7

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma(I)$ be a hyperbolic element. Then tr $\gamma = \pm 2 \mod I^2$.

Using this idea G. Lakeland and C. Leininger recently proved:

Theorem 8 (Lakeland-Leininiger)

Let *M* be a closed orientable 3-manifold, then there are only finitely many principal congruence subgroups with $M \setminus L \cong \mathbf{H}^3 / \Gamma(I)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Using this idea G. Lakeland and C. Leininger recently proved:

Theorem 8 (Lakeland-Leininiger)

Let M be a closed orientable 3-manifold, then there are only finitely many principal congruence subgroups with $M \setminus L \cong \mathbf{H}^3 / \Gamma(I)$.

Question 3 (Generalized Rademacher's Conjecture)

For M a fixed closed orientable 3-manifold are there only finitely many congruence link complements in M?

Thurston in an email in 2009: "Although there are infinitely many arithmetic link complements, there are only finitely many that come from principal congruence subgroups. Some of the examples known seem to be among the most general (given their volume) for producing lots of exceptional manifolds by Dehn filling, so I'm curious about the complete list."

Thurston in an email in 2009: "Although there are infinitely many arithmetic link complements, there are only finitely many that come from principal congruence subgroups. Some of the examples known seem to be among the most general (given their volume) for producing lots of exceptional manifolds by Dehn filling, so I'm curious about the complete list."

What are the principal congruence link complements?

Old Examples (from Baker's thesis): All levels are 2









d=3



The Magic manifold is principal congruence of level $\langle (1 + \sqrt{-7})/2 \rangle$. Generators for the fundamental group are (from Grunewald-Schwermer):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1+\sqrt{-7})/2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -(1+\sqrt{-7})/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへぐ

Some other examples in d = 15 and d = 23 of levels $\langle 2, (1 + \sqrt{-15})/2 \rangle$ and $\langle 2, (1 + \sqrt{-23})/2 \rangle$



d=15



Thurston's principal congruence link complement For d = 3 and level $\langle (5 + \sqrt{-3})/2 \rangle \rangle$, Thurston observed that the complement of the link below is a principal congruence link complement.



Thurston's principal congruence link

He said in an email in 2009: "One of the most intriguing congruence covers I know is for the ideal generated by $(5 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ in PSL(2, $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$) which is an 8-component link complement in S³."

He said in an email in 2009: "One of the most intriguing congruence covers I know is for the ideal generated by $(5 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ in PSL(2, $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$) which is an 8-component link complement in S³."

Indeed even in his notes there are examples. Doesn't say it's principal congruence but he probably knew!



d = 2, level = $< 1 + \sqrt{-2} >$

Another nice example is from Hatcher's JLMS paper. Here d = 11 and the ideal is $\langle (1 + \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle$. Need to prove it is principal congruence.



Hatcher's Example

イロト (目) (ヨ) (ヨ) (の) (つ)

The following theorem contains all known principal congruence link complements. This contains "old" examples, and "new" ones. This includes examples from M. Baker and myself and also work of Matthias Goerner (2011 Berkeley thesis) and his recent preprint (arXiv:1406.2827) Regular Tessellation Links.

Theorem 9

The following list of pairs (d, I) indicates the known Bianchi groups $PSL(2, O_d)$ containing a principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(I)$ such that $\mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma(I)$ is a link complement in S^3 . Those annotated by * are new.

$$d = 1: I \in \{2, \langle 2 \pm i \rangle^*, \langle (1 \pm i)^3 \rangle^*, 3^*, \langle 3 \pm i \rangle^*, \langle 3 \pm 2i \rangle^*, \langle 4 \pm i \rangle^* \}.$$

$$d = 2: I \in \{2, \langle 1 \pm \sqrt{-2} \rangle^*, \langle 2 \pm \sqrt{-2} \rangle^* \}.$$

$$d = 3: I \in \{2, 3, \langle (5 \pm \sqrt{-3})/2 \rangle, \langle 3 \pm \sqrt{-3} \rangle, \langle (7 \pm \sqrt{-3})/2 \rangle^*, \langle 4 \pm \sqrt{-3} \rangle^*, \langle (9 \pm \sqrt{-3})/2 \rangle^* \}.$$

$$d = 5: I = \langle 3, 1 \pm \sqrt{-5} \rangle^*.$$

$$d = 7: I \in \{ \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-7})/2 \rangle, 2, \langle (3 \pm \sqrt{-7})/2 \rangle^*, \langle 1 \pm \sqrt{-7} \rangle^* \}.$$

$$\begin{split} d &= 11: I \in \{ \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle^*, \langle (3 \pm \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle^* \}. \\ d &= 15: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-15})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 19: I = \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-19})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 23: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-23})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 31: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-31})/2 \rangle. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} d &= 11: I \in \{ \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle^*, \langle (3 \pm \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle^* \}. \\ d &= 15: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-15})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 19: I = \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-19})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 23: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-23})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 31: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-31})/2 \rangle. \end{split}$$

Goerner also shows this is a complete list in the cases of d = 1, 3.

$$\begin{split} d &= 11: I \in \{ \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle^*, \langle (3 \pm \sqrt{-11})/2 \rangle^* \} \\ d &= 15: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-15})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 19: I = \langle (1 \pm \sqrt{-19})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 23: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-23})/2 \rangle. \\ d &= 31: I = \langle 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-31})/2 \rangle. \end{split}$$

Goerner also shows this is a complete list in the cases of d = 1, 3. This leaves d = 6, 39, 47, 71.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Recent work with Baker suggest none when d = 6.

In the case when the level is a rational integer we can say more.

Theorem 10 (Baker-R)

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\Gamma(n) < PSL(2, O_d)$ is a link group in S³ if and only if:

 $(d,n) \in \{(1,2), (2,2), (3,2), (7,2), (1,3), (3,3)\}.$

Some comments on the strategy of Baker-R.

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

Some comments on the strategy of Baker-R.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_n \subset S^3$ be a link, X(L) denote the exterior of L, and $\Gamma = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ be the link group. Then:

Some comments on the strategy of Baker-R.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_n \subset S^3$ be a link, X(L) denote the exterior of L, and $\Gamma = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ be the link group. Then:

 Γ^{ab} is torsion-free of rank equal to the number of components of L; i.e. Γ^{ab} ≃ Zⁿ.
Some comments on the strategy of Baker-R.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_n \subset S^3$ be a link, X(L) denote the exterior of L, and $\Gamma = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ be the link group. Then:

 Γ^{ab} is torsion-free of rank equal to the number of components of L; i.e. Γ^{ab} ≅ Zⁿ.

(日)

2. Γ is generated by parabolic elements.

Some comments on the strategy of Baker-R.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_n \subset S^3$ be a link, X(L) denote the exterior of L, and $\Gamma = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ be the link group. Then:

- Γ^{ab} is torsion-free of rank equal to the number of components of L; i.e. Γ^{ab} ≃ Zⁿ.
- 2. Γ is generated by parabolic elements.
- For each component L_i, there is a curve x_i ⊂ ∂X(L) so that Dehn filling S³ \ L along the totality of these curves gives S³. Following Perelman's resolution n of the Geometrization Conjecture, this can be rephrased as saying that the group obtained by setting x_i = 1 for each i is the trivial group.

Step 1: Show that $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

Step 1: Show that $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

We briefly discuss how this is done. Let $P = P_{\infty}(I)$ be the peripheral subgroup fixing ∞ , and let $\langle P \rangle$ denote the normal closure in PSL(2, O_d). Since $\Gamma(I)$ is a normal subgroup of PSL(2, O_d), then $\langle P \rangle < \Gamma(I)$.

Step 1: Show that $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

We briefly discuss how this is done. Let $P = P_{\infty}(I)$ be the peripheral subgroup fixing ∞ , and let $\langle P \rangle$ denote the normal closure in PSL(2, O_d). Since $\Gamma(I)$ is a normal subgroup of PSL(2, O_d), then $\langle P \rangle < \Gamma(I)$.

So if $\langle P \rangle = \Gamma(I)$ then $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements

Step 1: Show that $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

We briefly discuss how this is done. Let $P = P_{\infty}(I)$ be the peripheral subgroup fixing ∞ , and let $\langle P \rangle$ denote the normal closure in PSL(2, O_d). Since $\Gamma(I)$ is a normal subgroup of PSL(2, O_d), then $\langle P \rangle < \Gamma(I)$.

So if $\langle P \rangle = \Gamma(I)$ then $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements

Note that the converse also holds in the case when Q_d has 1 cusp. For if $\Gamma(I)$ is generated by parabolic elements, then since $\Gamma(I)$ is a normal subgroup and Q_d has 1 cusp, all such generators are PSL(2, O_d)-conjugate into *P*. The orders of the groups $PSL(2, O_d/I)$ are known, and we can use Magma to test whether $\Gamma(I) = \langle P \rangle$.

The orders of the groups $PSL(2, O_d/I)$ are known, and we can use Magma to test whether $\Gamma(I) = \langle P \rangle$.

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

Sometimes this does not work!

Step 2: Find parabolic elements in $\Gamma(I)$ so that as above, trivializing these elements, trivializes the group.

This step is largely done by trial and error, however, the motivation for the idea is that, if \mathbf{H}^3/Γ has *n* cusps, we attempt to find *n* parabolic fixed points that are $\Gamma(I)$ -inequivalent, and for which the corresponding parabolic elements of $\langle P \rangle$ provide curves that can be Dehn filled above.

Example The case of d = 1. Γ((2 + i)) is a six component link group.
PSL(2, O₁) = (a, l, t, u | l² = (tl)² = (ul)² = (al)² = a² = (ta)³ = (ual)³ = 1, [t, u] = 1).
(i) N((2 + i)) = 5, so Γ((2 + i)) is a normal subgroup of PSL(2, O₁) of index 60.

(ii) The image of the peripheral subgroup in PSL(2, O₁) fixing ∞ under the reduction homomorphism is dihedral of order 10. Hence $\mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma(\langle 2+i\rangle)$ has 6 cusps.

(iii) Use Magma as discussed above to see that

 $[PSL(2, O_1) : \langle P \rangle] = 60$, and so $\Gamma(\langle 2 + i \rangle) = \langle P \rangle$.

Finding inequivalent cusps and the right parabolics

Lemma 11

Let $S = \{\infty, 0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Then each element of S is a fixed point of some parabolic element of $\Gamma(\langle 2 + i \rangle)$ and moreover they are all mutually inequivalent under the action of $\Gamma(\langle 2 + i \rangle)$. The parabolics are:

$$S' = \{t^2u, at^2ua, t^{-1}at^2uat, tat^2uat^{-1}, t^{-2}at^2uat^2, t^2at^{-3}uat^{-2}\}$$

Magma routine

$$G\langle a, l, t, u \rangle := Group \langle a, l, t, u | l^{2}, a^{2}, (t * l)^{2}, (u * l)^{2}, (a * l)^{2}, (t * a)^{3}, (u * a * l)^{3}, (t, u) \rangle; h := sub \langle G | t^{2} * u, t^{5} \rangle; n := NormalClosure(G, h); print Index(G, n); 60$$

```
\begin{aligned} & print \ Abelian Quotient Invariants(n); \\ & [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] \\ & r := sub \langle n | t^2 * u, a * t^2 * u * a, t^{-1} * a * t^2 * u * a * t, t * a * t^2 * u * a * t^{-1}, t^{-2} * a * t^2 * u * a * t^2, t^2 * a * t^{-3} * u * a * t^{-2} \rangle; \\ & to the transformation for the transformation of transformation of the transformation of transformat
```

How to prove finiteness of congruence links?

How to prove finiteness of congruence links?

Partial progress. Baker-R eliminate many possible levels (prime, products of distinct primes).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

How to prove finiteness of congruence links?

Partial progress. Baker-R eliminate many possible levels (prime, products of distinct primes).

Can one use spectral gap?



How to prove finiteness of congruence links?

Partial progress. Baker-R eliminate many possible levels (prime, products of distinct primes).

Can one use spectral gap?

As in the case of dimension 2, Congruence manifolds have a spectral gap: here $\lambda_1 \ge 3/4$ (should be 1).

How to prove finiteness of congruence links?

Partial progress. Baker-R eliminate many possible levels (prime, products of distinct primes).

Can one use spectral gap?

As in the case of dimension 2, Congruence manifolds have a spectral gap: here $\lambda_1 \ge 3/4$ (should be 1).

However:

Theorem 12 (Lackenby-Souto)

There exists an infinite family of links $\{L_n\}$ in S^3 with $Vol(S^3 \setminus L_n) \to \infty$ such that $\lambda_1 > C > 0$ for some constant C.

(日)

Problem Can't use spectral gap directly!

Corollary: No Zograf theorem in dimension 3.

Call a family of links as in the Lackenby-Souto theorem, an expander family.

4 日 + 4 H + 4 H +

Call a family of links as in the Lackenby-Souto theorem, an expander family.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

On the other hand Lackenby has shown:

Theorem 13 (Lackenby)

Alternating links don't form expander families.

Call a family of links as in the Lackenby-Souto theorem, an expander family.

On the other hand Lackenby has shown:

Theorem 13 (Lackenby)

Alternating links don't form expander families.

Corollary 14

There are finitely many congruence alternating link complements.

There is also other work by Futer-Kalfagianni-Purcell constructing other non expander families.

Amusing side note:

In an email correspondence with Thurston about congruence links I mentioned Lackenby's result and he said the following :

"I wasn't familiar with Lackenby's work, but alternating knots are related in spirit to Riemannian metrics on S^2 , which does not admit an expander sequence of metrics, so alternating links are not the best candidates."

Amusing side note:

In an email correspondence with Thurston about congruence links I mentioned Lackenby's result and he said the following :

"I wasn't familiar with Lackenby's work, but alternating knots are related in spirit to Riemannian metrics on S^2 , which does not admit an expander sequence of metrics, so alternating links are not the best candidates."

He then proceed to outline a construction to produce an expander family of links.

What can one use?

<ロト < 部 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > の < で</p>

What can one use?

Expectation Sequences of congruence subgroups should develop torsion in H_1 .

This would rule out infinitely many congruence link complements.

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

Where are the manifolds with large λ_1 ?

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

Where are the manifolds with large λ_1 ?

Theorem 15 (Long-Lubotkzy-R)

Let Γ be a finite co-volume Kleinian group. Then Γ contains a nested descending tower of normal subgroups

 $\Gamma > N_1 > N_2 > \ldots > N_k > \ldots$ with $\cap N_i = 1$

イロト (同) (三) (三) (つ) (つ)

and C > 0 with $\lambda_1(N_i) > C$.

Where are the manifolds with large λ_1 ?

Theorem 15 (Long-Lubotkzy-R)

Let Γ be a finite co-volume Kleinian group. Then Γ contains a nested descending tower of normal subgroups

 $\Gamma > N_1 > N_2 > \ldots > N_k > \ldots$ with $\cap N_i = 1$

(日)

and C > 0 with $\lambda_1(N_i) > C$.

Question 4

Can these ever be link groups in S^3 ?

Back to dimension 2

Back to dimension 2

There is a notion of congruence subgroup for cocompact Fuchsian groups(or lattices more generally). As before such examples have a spectral gap.

Question 5

Are there congruence surfaces of every genus?

Remark: There are only finitely many conjugacy class of arithmetic surface groups of genus *g*.

Expectation: (i) These congruence surfaces will not lie in a fixed commensurability class.

(ii) These congruence surfaces will not arise from invariant trace-fields of bounded degree.

Remarks: 1. Brooks and Makover gave a construction of closed surfaces of every genus (non-arithmetic) with "large" λ_1 .

Remarks: 1. Brooks and Makover gave a construction of closed surfaces of every genus (non-arithmetic) with "large" λ₁.
2. Mirzakhani showed that a "random" closed surface of genus *g* has λ₁ > *c* for some very explicit constant *c*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Remarks: 1. Brooks and Makover gave a construction of closed surfaces of every genus (non-arithmetic) with "large" λ_1 .

- 2. Mirzakhani showed that a "random" closed surface of genus g has
- $\lambda_1 > c$ for some very explicit constant c.

Question 6

Can one build surfaces of every genus in a fixed commensurability class with a spectral gap?

Remark: Kassabov proved that S_n and A_n can be made expanders for certain choices of generators. Can S_n and A_n be made expanders on 2 generators?

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

If so then can build surfaces as in the previous question.

Remark: Kassabov proved that S_n and A_n can be made expanders for certain choices of generators. Can S_n and A_n be made expanders on 2 generators?

If so then can build surfaces as in the previous question.

THE END

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>