

## PRELIM II: SOLUTIONS.

1. Let  $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

a. TRUE: If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then  $\lambda^k$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^k$  (for  $k \geq 1$  a positive integer).

Proof: By induction on  $k$ .

Base case:  $k=1$ . We know  $\lambda^1$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^1$ .

Induction step: Suppose  $\lambda^k$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^k$ .

Notationally, let  $\vec{v}$  be a non-zero vector satisfying  $A \cdot \vec{v} = \lambda \cdot \vec{v}$  and  $A^k \cdot \vec{v} = \lambda^k \cdot \vec{v}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } A^{k+1} \vec{v} &= A \cdot (A^k \vec{v}) \\ &= A \cdot (\lambda^k \vec{v}) && \text{by induction;} \\ &= \lambda^k (A \cdot \vec{v}) && \text{because } A \text{ is linear;} \\ &= \lambda^k (\lambda \vec{v}) && \text{because } A \vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}; \\ &= \lambda^{k+1} \vec{v}, && \text{as desired. } \square \end{aligned}$$

b. FALSE: If  $\lambda^2$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^2$ ,  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  need not be an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

For example, if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$A \vec{v} = -\vec{v}$$

for every non-zero  $\vec{v}$ , so its only eigenvalue is -1.

On the other hand,  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and so

$$A^2 \vec{v} = \vec{v}$$

for every non-zero  $\vec{v}$ . So the only eigenvalue of  $A^2$  is 1. But  $-1 \neq +\sqrt{1}$ , so the statement is FALSE.

2. a.  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . See the proof of Corollary 1.5.39 in our text for full details.

b.  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is NOT closed in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , because its complement is NOT open. For example,

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^4 - GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , but any  $\epsilon$ -ball about

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  contains  $\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon/2 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon/2 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is invertible,

hence in  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and NOT in  $\mathbb{R}^4 - GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is not closed.

# PRELIM II SOLUTIONS

(2)

2c.  $f: GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R})$   
 $A \mapsto A^{-1}$

in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  coordinates is

$$f \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ -b \\ -c \\ a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each coordinate function is thus a rational function on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , and as we know the denominator to be non-zero on  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , this is a continuous function. Thus  $f$  itself is continuous.  $\square$

3a. **TRUE** Proof by contradiction. Let  $a \neq b$ , and suppose  $f(b) = f(a)$ . Then by the Mean Value Theorem, there is a value  $c$  between  $a$  &  $b$  so that

$$\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = f'(c).$$

But if  $b \neq a$ , then this says  $f'(c) = 0$ , contradicting our assumption. Hence  $f$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

b. **FALSE** Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $[Df \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , but  $f$  is not 1-1 since  $f \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

c. **FALSE** Consider the function  $f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^x \cos y \\ e^x \sin y \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $[Df \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}] = \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ e^x \sin y & e^x \cos y \end{bmatrix}$

and so  $\det [Df] = e^x \cos^2 y + e^x \sin^2 y = e^x \neq 0$ .

Thus,  $[Df]$  is ~~not~~ invertible, but

$f \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\pi \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $f$  is NOT one-to-one.  $\square$

4.a. We may use Prop. 2.8.9 to compute a global Lipschitz ratio.

$$D_1 F_1 = \cos(x-y) \quad D_2 F_1 = -\cos(x-y) + 2y$$

$$D_1 F_2 = \sin(x+y) - 1 \quad D_2 F_2 = -\sin(x+y)$$

$$D_1 D_1 F_1 = -\sin(x-y) \quad D_1 D_2 F_1 = -\sin(x-y)$$

$$D_2 D_1 F_1 = -\sin(x-y) \quad D_2 D_2 F_1 = +\sin(x-y) + 2$$

$$D_1 D_1 F_2 = -\cos(x+y) \quad D_1 D_2 F_2 = -\cos(x+y)$$

$$D_2 D_1 F_2 = -\cos(x+y) \quad D_2 D_2 F_2 = -\cos(x+y)$$

Each of these satisfies

$$|D_i D_j F_k(\vec{x})| \leq 1$$

except  $D_2 D_2 F_1$ , which satisfies

$$|D_2 D_2 F_1(\vec{x})| \leq 3.$$

Thus, by prop. 2.8.9,

$$|DF(\vec{u}) - DF(\vec{v})| \leq \underbrace{\sqrt{1^2 + 3^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2}}_{= \sqrt{16} = 4} |u - v|$$

Thus,  $F$  has a global Lipschitz ratio of  $\boxed{4}$ .

b. Consider  $G = F\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $DG = DF$ , and we want to apply Newton's method to  $G$ .

$$\vec{a}_1 = \vec{a}_0 - [DG(\vec{a}_0)]^{-1} G(\vec{a}_0)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}}$$

c. We want to check if we may apply Kantorovich's Thm. Thus, we want to check the inequality (2.8.51),

$$|G(\vec{a}_0)| \cdot [DG(\vec{a}_0)]^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We have

$$|G(\vec{a}_0)| \left| \left[ DG(\vec{a}_0) \right]^{-1} \right|^2 = \left| \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right| \cdot \left| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right|^2$$

$$= \left( \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} \right) \cdot 3 = \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{2} \gg \frac{3}{2} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, Kantorovich's Theorem does not apply, so we cannot say if ~~the~~ Newton's method converges.

SOME OF YOU programmed this into a computer to do a few more steps of Newton's Method, and found that after 3 or 4 steps, the criterion is satisfied, Kantorovich's Thm does then apply, and Newton's method DOES in fact converge. GOOD WORK!

5. First, we show directional derivatives exist at  $(0)$ .

$$D_{\vec{v}} f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0) + t\vec{v} - f(0)}{t}.$$

Now,  $f(0) = 0$ .  $h(\vec{x}) = 0$  for any  $\vec{x} \in S$ , so  $f(0) = 0$ .

Thus, the limit becomes

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t\vec{v})}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(t\vec{v} \frac{t\vec{v}}{|t\vec{v}|}\right)}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t \cdot |\vec{v}| \cdot h\left(\frac{t\vec{v}}{|t\vec{v}|}\right)}{t}$$

~~so this becomes~~

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |\vec{v}| \cdot h\left(\frac{t\vec{v}}{|t\vec{v}|}\right)$$

But now we notice  $\frac{|t\vec{v}|}{|t\vec{v}|} = \frac{1}{1}$ , so this is

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |\vec{v}| \cdot h\left(\frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|}\right)$$

$$= |\vec{v}| \cdot h\left(\frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|}\right) = f(\vec{v}).$$

# PRELIM II SOLUTIONS

(5)

Thus,  $D_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{0}) = f(\vec{v})$  exists for all  $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$ .

NOW we must show  $f$  is differentiable everywhere  $\Leftrightarrow h(x,y) = ax + by$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $f$  is differentiable, it's differentiable at the origin. Then  $[Df(\vec{0})]$  is its derivative, and that must be linear  $[Df(\vec{0})] = [a \ b]$ .

But for  $\vec{v} \in S$ , we know

$$D_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{0}) = f(\vec{v}) - h(\vec{v})$$

and also,

$$D_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{0}) = [Df(\vec{0})] \cdot \vec{v}$$

SO,  $h(x,y) = D_{(x,y)} f(\vec{0}) = [a \ b] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = ax + by$ , as desired.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We know that if  $h(x,y) = ax + by$ , then  $f(x,y) = ax + by$  is thus linear, and linear functions are differentiable.  $\square$

6. First we know linear functions are  $C^1$ , and sums of  $C^1$  functions are  $C^1$ , so  $f = g + T$  is  $C^1$ .

Next, we note  $|g(\vec{0})| \leq M |\vec{0}|^2 = 0$ , so  $g(\vec{0}) = (\vec{0})$ .

Moreover,

$$\lim_{|\vec{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(\vec{0} + \vec{h}) - g(\vec{0})}{|\vec{h}|} = \lim_{|\vec{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(\vec{h})}{|\vec{h}|}$$

$$\text{But } \lim_{|\vec{h}| \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{g(\vec{h})}{|\vec{h}|} \right| \leq \lim_{|\vec{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|g(\vec{h})|}{|\vec{h}|} \leq \lim_{|\vec{h}| \rightarrow 0} M \frac{|\vec{h}|^2}{|\vec{h}|} = \lim_{|\vec{h}| \rightarrow 0} M |\vec{h}| = 0$$

Absolute convergence implies convergence, so

$[Dg(\vec{0})] = \underline{0}$ ,  
is the zero linear transformation.

Thus,  $[Df] = [Dg] + T = T$ . But  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is

# PRELIM II SOLUTIONS

surjective, hence invertible, so  $[Df(\bar{0})]$  is invertible. By the Inverse Function Theorem, then,  $f$  is invertible in a neighborhood of  $\bar{0}$ .  $\square$

7.a. Note that  $A^T A$  has  $(i,j)$ -entry  $\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j$ , where  $\vec{v}_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column of  $A$ . THUS,

$A \in O(n) \iff$  Columns of  $A$  are an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\iff \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$\iff A^T A = I. \quad \square$$

b. Suppose  $A, B \in O(n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad (AB)^T (AB) &= B^T A^T A B \\ &= B^T I B \\ &= B^T B \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow AB \in O(n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad A^T A = I &\Rightarrow A^T = A^{-1} \Rightarrow AA^T = I \Rightarrow (A^T)^T A^T = I \\ &\Rightarrow A^T = A^{-1} \in O(n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

c. For any matrix  $A$ ,

$$(A^T A)^T = A^T (A^T)^T = A^T A$$

$$\text{so } A^T A \in S(n).$$

d. Similarly to Example 1.7.18, for  $F(A) = A^T A$ ,

$$[DF(A)] \cdot H = H^T A + A^T H.$$

To show this is onto when  $A$  is invertible, choose  $B \in S(n)$ , and let  $H = (A^{-1})^T \frac{B}{2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^T A + A^T H &= \left( (A^{-1})^T \frac{B}{2} \right)^T A + A^T \left( (A^{-1})^T \frac{B}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{B^T}{2} A^{-1} A + A^T \cdot (A^T)^{-1} \frac{B}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{B}{2} + \frac{B}{2} = B.$$

Thus,  $[DF(A)]$  surjects onto  $S(n)$ .  $\square$

PRELIM II SOLUTIONS

e. We want to apply Theorem 3.1.16.

$$F: \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S(n)$$

$$A \longmapsto A^T A$$

is certainly a  $C^1$  mapping, as shown in (d).  
Moreover,  $I \in S(n)$  is a point, so certainly a manifold. ~~Moreover,~~ Moreover,

$$O(n) = F^{-1}(I)$$

by (a), and (d) shows  $[DF(A)]$  is onto for each  $A \in F^{-1}(I)$ . Thus,  $O(n) = F^{-1}(I)$  is a manifold.

To determine the tangent space at  $I$ , we note

$$O(n) = \{G(A) = 0 \mid G(A) = A^T A - I\}$$

~~So~~ So  $T_I O(n) = \ker([DG(A)])$   
 $= \ker([DF(A)])$   
 $= \{H \mid H^T I + I^T H = 0\}$   
 $= \{H \mid H^T + H = 0\}$   
 $= \{H \mid H^T = -H\}$   
 $= A(n). \quad \square$

f. We know if  $AB = BA$ ,  $e^A e^B = e^{A+B}$ .

If  $A \in A(n)$ , then  $A^T = -A$ . Moreover,

$$(A^m)^T = (A^T)^m \quad (\text{CHECK THIS!}), \quad \text{so}$$

$$(e^A)^T = e^{(A^T)}. \quad \text{Thus, for } A \in A(n),$$

$$(e^A)(e^A)^T = e^A e^{A^T} = e^A e^{-A} = e^{A-A} = e^0 = I.$$

Thus,  $e^A \in O(n)$ .  $\square$

g. For  $A \in A(2)$ ,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is not hard to compute

$$e^A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(a) & -\sin(a) \\ \sin(a) & \cos(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a rotation matrix. NOTE exp is NOT onto  $O(2)$ !