

# TORSION BOUNDS FOR A FIXED ABELIAN VARIETY AND VARYING NUMBER FIELD

DAVID ZYWINA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $K$ . For a finite extension  $L/K$ , the cardinality of the group  $A(L)_{\text{tors}}$  of torsion points in  $A(L)$  can be bounded in terms of the degree  $[L : K]$ . We study the smallest real number  $\beta_A$  such that for any finite extension  $L/K$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq C \cdot [L : K]^{\beta_A + \varepsilon}$ , where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $A$  and  $\varepsilon$  (and not  $L$ ). Assuming the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$ , we will show that  $\beta_A$  agrees with the conjectured value of Hindry and Ratazzi.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a nonzero abelian variety defined over a number field  $K$ . For every finite extension  $L$  of  $K$ , the group  $A(L)_{\text{tors}}$  of torsion points in  $A(L)$  is finite. We are interested in finding upper bounds for the cardinality of  $A(L)_{\text{tors}}$  that depend only on  $A$  and the degree  $[L : K]$ . A theorem of Masser [Mas] implies that for any real number  $\beta > \dim A$  and any finite extension  $L/K$ , we have  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq C \cdot [L : K]^\beta$ , where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $A$  and  $\beta$ . Usually, one expects that Masser’s bound remains true if  $\dim A$  is replaced with some smaller value.

Let  $\beta_A$  be the infimum of the set of real numbers  $\beta$  for which the inequality

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq C \cdot [L : K]^\beta$$

holds for all finite extensions  $L/K$ , where  $C$  is a constant that depends only on  $A$  and  $\beta$  (and in particular not  $L$ ). From Masser, we have  $\beta_A \leq \dim A$ .

Hindry and Ratazzi have made a precise conjecture for the value of  $\beta_A$  which we now recall. Fix an embedding  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . The abelian variety  $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ , obtained by base extending  $A$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , is isogenous to a product  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i^{m_i}$ , where the  $A_i$  are abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  that are simple and pairwise nonisogenous. For each subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , define the abelian variety  $A_I := \prod_{i \in I} A_i^{m_i}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Associated to each abelian variety  $A_I$  is a Mumford–Tate group  $G_{A_I}$  whose definition we recall in §2.1; it is a linear algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Define the real number

$$\gamma_A := \max_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A_I}}.$$

Hindry and Ratazzi have conjectured that  $\beta_A = \gamma_A$ , cf. [HR12, Conjecture 1.1]; note that  $A_I$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  have isomorphic Mumford–Tate groups. They have proved the inequality  $\beta_A \geq \gamma_A$  [HR10, Proposition 1.5].

Hindry and Ratazzi have proved their conjecture in various situations where the Mumford–Tate conjecture is known and the group  $G_A$  is of a very special form [Rat07, HR10, HR12, HR16]. Cantoral Farfán has recently proved several additional cases, see [CF17]. For example, if  $G_A$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GSp}_{2g}$  (with  $g = \dim A$ ) and the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for  $A$ , then  $\beta_A$  equals  $\gamma_A = 2g/(2g^2 + g + 1)$ , cf. [HR12]. A statement of the Mumford–Tate conjecture can be found in §2.3.

The following is our main result; we prove the conjecture of Hindry and Ratazzi assuming the Mumford–Tate conjecture

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a nonzero abelian variety defined over a number field  $K$  for which the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds. Then  $\beta_A = \gamma_A$ . Equivalently,  $\gamma_A$  is the smallest real value such that for any finite extension  $L/K$  and real number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq C \cdot [L : K]^{\gamma_A + \varepsilon},$$

where  $C$  is a constant that depends only on  $A$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

Date: January 5, 2017.

*Remark 1.2.*

- (i) Our proof of Theorem 1.1 will give the stronger bound  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq C^{\omega(|A(L)_{\text{tors}}|)} [L : K]^{\gamma_A}$  for all finite extensions  $L/K$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant that depends only on  $A$  and  $\omega(|A(L)_{\text{tors}}|)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}|$ .
- (ii) In the excluded trivial case  $A = 0$ , we have  $\beta_A = 0$ .

In the case where  $A$  is geometrically simple, the following theorem shows that the converse of Theorem 1.1 holds. So the Mumford–Tate assumption in Theorem 1.1 is reasonable and the value  $\beta_A$  is an interesting arithmetic invariant of  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $A$  be a geometrically simple abelian variety defined over a number field  $K$ . Then the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  holds if and only if  $\beta_A = \gamma_A$ .*

**1.1. Notations.** For a scheme  $X$  over a commutative ring  $R$  and an  $R$ -algebra  $S$ , we will denote by  $X_S$  the  $S$ -scheme  $X_S := X \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } S$ .

Fix a commutative ring  $R$  and a free  $R$ -module  $M$  of finite rank. We define  $\text{GL}_M$  to be the group scheme over  $R$  such that for each  $R$ -algebra  $B$ , we have  $\text{GL}_M(B) = \text{Aut}_B(B \otimes_R M)$ . A choice of basis of the  $R$ -module  $M$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{GL}_M \cong \text{GL}_{d,R}$ , where  $d$  is the rank of  $M$ .

Let  $G$  be an algebraic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_V$ , where  $V$  is a nonzero vector space over a field  $F$ . For a subspace  $W$  of  $V$ , let  $G_W$  be the algebraic subgroup of  $G$  that fixes  $W$ ; more precisely, we have  $G_W(B) = \{g \in G(B) : gw = w \text{ for all } w \in B \otimes_F V\}$  for all  $F$ -algebras  $B$ .

For two positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , by  $a \ll b$  (or  $b \gg a$ ), we mean that  $a \leq Cb$  for a positive constant; the dependencies of the constant  $C$  will always be indicated by subscripts. For example, Masser’s result mentioned above says that for any finite extension  $L/K$  and number  $\beta > \dim A$ , we have  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \ll_{A,\beta} [L : K]^\beta$ . We will write  $a \asymp b$  to denote that  $a \ll b$  and  $a \gg b$  both hold, where the dependencies in the implicit constants will be indicated by subscripts.

We will denote rational primes by  $\ell$ .

**1.2. Overview.** In §2, we give some background on the  $\ell$ -adic representations associated to an abelian variety and recall the Mumford–Tate conjecture.

The group  $G := (G_A)_{\mathbb{C}}$  acts on the complex vector space  $V_{\mathbb{C}} := H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ . For each subspace  $W$  of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have an algebraic subgroup  $G_W$  of  $G$ . In §3, we will prove that the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \gamma_A \cdot (\dim G - \dim G_W) \geq \dim W$$

always holds and that  $\gamma_A$  is the smallest real number with this property.

Take any prime  $\ell$ . In §4, we prove a version of Theorem 1.1 for the subgroup  $A(L)[\ell^\infty]$  consisting of the points of  $A(L)$  whose order is a power of  $\ell$ . More precisely, we prove that if the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  holds, then for any finite extension  $L/K$ , we have  $|A(L)[\ell^\infty]| \ll_A [L : K]^{\gamma_A}$  (this follows from Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2).

In §5, we prove upper and lower bounds for  $\beta_A$ . We will prove our main theorems in §6; assuming the Mumford–Tate conjecture, the upper and lower bounds for  $\beta_A$  in §5 will agree. Finally in §7, we make some remarks on a conjectural expression for  $\beta_A$  without using Mumford–Tate groups.

## 2. ABELIAN VARIETY BACKGROUND

In this section, except for §2.1, we fix an abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $g \geq 1$  defined over a number field  $K$ . We review some of the theory of the  $\ell$ -adic representations associated to  $A$  and the Mumford–Tate conjecture.

**2.1. Mumford–Tate groups.** Let  $A$  be a nonzero abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We now recall the definition of the Mumford–Tate group  $G_A$  of  $A$ . If instead  $A$  is defined over a number field  $K$ , then with a fixed embedding  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , we define  $G_A$  to be the Mumford–Tate group of  $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We view  $A(\mathbb{C})$  as a topological space with its usual complex topology. The first homology group  $V := H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  is a vector space of dimension  $2 \dim A$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is endowed with a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of type  $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$  from the Hodge decomposition, so

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = V^{-1,0} \oplus V^{0,-1}$$

with  $V^{0,-1} = \overline{V^{-1,0}}$ . Let  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}}$  be the cocharacter such that  $\mu(z)$  is the automorphism of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$  which is multiplication by  $z$  on  $V^{-1,0}$  and the identity on  $V^{0,-1}$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$ . The Mumford–Tate group of  $A$  is the smallest algebraic subgroup  $G_A$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_V$ , defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which contains  $\mu(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}})$ .

The ring of endomorphisms  $\mathrm{End}(A)$  of the abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{C}$  acts on  $V$  which induces an embedding  $\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$ . Denote by  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)^{G_A}$  the subring of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$  consisting of those elements that commute with  $G_A$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**

- (i) *The group  $G_A$  is connected and reductive.*
- (ii) *The image of  $\mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)$  is  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)^{G_A}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions 17.3.6 and 17.3.4 of [BL04]; note that the Mumford–Tate group  $G_A$  is generated by their *Hodge group*  $\mathrm{Hg}(A)$  and the group  $\mathbb{G}_m$  of homotheties.  $\square$

The abelian variety  $A$  is isogenous to a product  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i^{m_i}$ , where the  $A_i$  are simple abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  that are pairwise nonisogenous and the  $m_i$  are positive integers. A fixed isogeny induces an isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$$

of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces, where  $V_i := V_{\ell}(A_i^{m_i})$ .

For each subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , define the subspace  $V_I := \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$  of  $V$  and the abelian variety  $A_I := \prod_{i \in I} A_i^{m_i}$ . We can identify  $H_1(A_I(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  with  $V_I$ . For the projection map  $V \rightarrow V_I$ , arising from (2.1), the induced homomorphism  $\mathrm{GL}_V \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_I}$  gives rise to a dominant homomorphism  $G_A \rightarrow G_{A_I}$  of linear algebraic groups. The kernel of homomorphism  $G_A \rightarrow G_{A_I}$  is  $(G_A)_{V_I}$  and hence

$$(2.2) \quad \dim G_{A_I} = \dim G_A - \dim (G_A)_{V_I}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The direct sum (2.1) is the decomposition of the representation  $V$  of  $G_A$  into isotypical components.*

*Proof.* Take any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and set  $I := \{i\}$ . The subspace  $V_I = V_i$  of  $V$  is a representation of  $G_A$  via the homomorphism  $G_A \rightarrow G_{A_I}$ . We thus have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{End}(A_i^{m_i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V_i)^{G_A} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)^{G_A} = \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{End}(A_i^{m_i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},$$

where the first two equalities follow from Lemma 2.1(ii) and the last equality uses that the simple abelian varieties  $A_i$  are pairwise nonisogenous. Therefore, we have  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V)^{G_A} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V_i)^{G_A}$  and each  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(V_i)^{G_A}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{e_i}(D_i)$  for some integer  $e_i \geq 1$  and division algebra  $D_i$  (the ring  $\mathrm{End}(A_i^{m_i}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is of this form since  $A_i$  is simple). The lemma is now a consequence of  $V$  being a semisimple representation of  $G_A$ ; this is true since  $G_A$  is reductive by Lemma 2.1(i).  $\square$

**2.2.  $\ell$ -adic monodromy groups.** Take any prime  $\ell$ . For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $A[\ell^i]$  be the  $\ell^i$ -torsion subgroup of  $A(\overline{K})$ , where  $\overline{K}$  is a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$ . The group  $A[\ell^i]$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $2g$ . The  $\ell$ -adic Tate module is

$$T_{\ell}(A) := \varprojlim_i A[\ell^i],$$

where the inverse limit is with respect to the multiplication by  $\ell$  maps  $A[\ell^{i+1}] \rightarrow A[\ell^i]$ . The Tate module  $T_{\ell}(A)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module of rank  $2g$ . Define  $V_{\ell}(A) := T_{\ell}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ ; it is a  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -vector space of dimension  $2g$ .

Let  $\mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell}(A)}$  be the group scheme over  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  such that  $\mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell}(A)}(R) = \mathrm{Aut}_R(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} V_{\ell}(A))$  for each  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -algebra  $R$ . Let  $\mathrm{GL}_{T_{\ell}(A)}$  be the groups scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$  for which  $\mathrm{GL}_{T_{\ell}(A)}(R) = \mathrm{Aut}_R(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} T_{\ell}(A))$  for all  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -algebras  $R$ . We can identify  $\mathrm{GL}_{V_{\ell}(A)}$  with the generic fiber of  $\mathrm{GL}_{T_{\ell}(A)}$ .

The Galois group  $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  acts on each  $A[\ell^i]$  and respects the group structure. This induces an action of  $\text{Gal}_K$  on  $T_\ell(A)$  and  $V_\ell(A)$ . The action of  $\text{Gal}_K$  on  $V_\ell(A)$  respects the vector space structure and can thus be expressed in terms of a representation

$$\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}: \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell(A)) = \text{GL}_{V_\ell(A)}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

The  $\ell$ -adic monodromy group of  $A$ , which we denote by  $G_{A,\ell}$ , is the algebraic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{V_\ell(A)}$  obtained by taking the Zariski closure of  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$ .

The group  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$  is open in  $G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ , cf. [Bog80]. Therefore,  $G_{A,\ell}$  determines the group  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$  up to commensurability.

Denote by  $G_{A,\ell}^\circ$  the neutral component of  $G_{A,\ell}$ , i.e., the connected component of  $G_{A,\ell}$  containing the identity element; it is an algebraic subgroup of  $G_{A,\ell}$ . Denote by  $K_{A,\ell}$  the finite extension of  $K$  such that the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}_K \xrightarrow{\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}} G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/G_{A,\ell}^\circ(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

is  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_{A,\ell})$ , where the second homomorphism is the obvious quotient map. The following proposition was proved by Serre [Ser00, 133]; see also [LP97].

**Proposition 2.3.** *The extension  $K_{A,\ell}/K$  is independent of  $\ell$ . In particular, there is a finite extension  $K'/K$  such that  $G_{A_{K'},\ell}$  is connected for all  $\ell$ .*

We define  $\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}$  to be the group subscheme of  $\text{GL}_{T_\ell(A)}$  obtained by taking the Zariski closure of  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(A)) = \text{GL}_{T_\ell(A)}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . We can also describe  $\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}$  as the Zariski closure of  $G_{A,\ell}$  in  $\text{GL}_{T_\ell(A)}$ . The monodromy group  $G_{A,\ell}$  is the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Assume that the groups  $G_{A,\ell}$  are connected for all  $\ell$ .*

- (i) *The algebraic group  $G_{A,\ell}$  is reductive for all  $\ell$ .*
- (ii) *The  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -group scheme  $\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}$  is reductive for  $\ell \gg_A 1$ .*

*Proof.* From Faltings [Fal86], we know that  $\text{Gal}_K$  acts semisimply on  $V_\ell(A)$ . Part (i) is then a direct consequence. Part (ii) is proved in [LP95] though also see [Win02, §1.3].  $\square$

**2.3. The Mumford–Tate conjecture.** The comparison isomorphism  $V_\ell(A) \cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{GL}_{V_\ell(A)} \cong \text{GL}_{V,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ . The following conjecture says that  $G_{A,\ell}^\circ$  and  $(G_A)_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  are the same algebraic group when we use the comparison isomorphism as an identification, cf. [Ser77, §3].

**Conjecture 2.5** (Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$ ). *For each prime  $\ell$ , we have  $G_{A,\ell}^\circ = (G_A)_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ .*

The Mumford–Tate conjecture is still open, however significant progress has been made in showing that several general classes of abelian varieties satisfy the conjecture; we simply refer the reader to [Vas08, §1.4] for a partial list of references.

**Proposition 2.6.**

- (i) *For each prime  $\ell$ , we have  $G_{A,\ell}^\circ \subseteq (G_A)_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ .*
- (ii) *The Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  holds if and only if we have  $G_{A,\ell}^\circ = (G_A)_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  for a single prime  $\ell$*

*Proof.* For a proof of (i), see Deligne’s proof in [DMOS82, I, Prop. 6.2]. Part (ii) follows from [LP95, Theorem 4.3].  $\square$

The Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  holds if and only if the common rank of the groups  $G_{A,\ell}^\circ$  equals the rank of  $G_A$  [LP95, Theorem 4.3]; in particular, the conjecture holds for one prime  $\ell$  if and only if it holds for all  $\ell$ .

**2.4. Bounded index and independence.** We can identify  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  with a subgroup of  $G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . We thus have a Galois representation

$$\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}: \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \subseteq G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

As noted in §2.2, the group  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$  is open in  $G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . So  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$  is open, and hence of finite index, in  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . The following theorem says that this index can in fact be bounded independent of  $\ell$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *There is a constant  $C$ , depending only on  $A$ , such that  $[\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)] \leq C$  for all primes  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* After replacing  $A$  by its base extension by an appropriate finite extension of  $K$ , we may assume that all the groups  $G_{A,\ell}$  are connected, cf. Proposition 2.3. By taking  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , we may assume by Proposition 2.4 that  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . The theorem for the finite number of excluded primes follows by taking the implicit constant large enough and using the openness of  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .

Denote by  $S_{A,\ell}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{A,\ell}$  the derived subgroup and central torus, respectively, of  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}$ . To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the indices  $[\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \cap \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)]$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathcal{B}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \cap \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)]$  can be bounded independent of  $\ell$ . That the index involving  $\mathcal{B}_{A,\ell}$  can be bounded independently of  $\ell$  was observed by Serre, cf. [Ser00, 138 p.60].

The group  $S_{A,\ell}$  it is a semisimple group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Denote by  $\pi_\ell: S_{A,\ell}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow S_{A,\ell}$  the simply connected cover of the semisimple group scheme. Define  $\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_u := \pi_\ell(S_{A,\ell}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_u)$ ; it is an open subgroup of  $\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Wintenberger has proved that  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K) \supseteq \mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_u$  for all primes  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , cf. [Win02, Théorème 2]. So it suffices to prove that the index  $[\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)_u]$  can be bounded independent of  $\ell$ . By [Win02, Proposition 1], it thus suffices to prove that  $[\mathcal{S}_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) : \pi_\ell(S_{A,\ell}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F}_\ell))]$  can be bounded independent of  $\ell$ . The algebraic groups  $(S_{A,\ell})_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  and  $(S_{A,\ell}^{\text{sc}})_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  are connected of the same dimension (which can be bounded in terms of  $g$ ), so it suffices to prove that the degree of  $\pi_\ell$  can be bounded independent of  $\ell$ . The degree of  $\pi_\ell$  can be read off the Lie type of  $(S_{A,\ell})_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ . Finally, note that there are only finitely many possible Lie types since the rank of  $(S_{A,\ell})_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  can be bounded in terms of  $g$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *There is a finite extension  $K'/K$  such that the representations  $\{\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}|_{\text{Gal}_{K'}}\}_\ell$  are independent, i.e., we have*

$$\left(\prod_\ell \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}\right)(\text{Gal}_{K'}) = \prod_\ell \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_{K'})$$

in  $\prod_\ell G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ , where the products are over all primes  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* This was proved by Serre [Ser00, 138]; see also [Ser13].  $\square$

**2.5. Points modulo  $\ell$ .** Choose a  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -basis for  $T_\ell(A)$ , we can then identify  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}$  with an algebraic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$  and hence identify the special fiber  $\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell})_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  with an algebraic subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ . For each subspace  $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_W$  be the algebraic subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}$  as defined in 1.1. In this section, we sketch the following.

**Proposition 2.9.** *For any subspace  $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ , we have  $|\mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \asymp_A \ell^{\dim \mathcal{H}_W}$ .*

Note that the main content of Proposition 2.9 is that the implicit constants are independent of  $\ell$ . We first state a lemma that can be thought of as bounding the complexity of the neutral component  $\mathcal{H}^\circ$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is reductive. Let  $C$  and  $S$  be the central torus and derived subgroup, respectively, of  $\mathcal{H}^\circ$ . Then the subvarieties  $C_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  and  $S_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  can be both defined by  $N$  polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[\{x_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq 2g\}]$  with degree at most  $D$ , where  $N \ll_A 1$  and  $D \ll_A 1$ .*

*Proof.* By taking  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , the group  $\mathcal{H}^\circ$  will agree with the reductive group constructed by Serre in [Ser00, 137 p.44] that is denoted  $\underline{H}_\ell$ ; this is proved in [Win02, §3.4.1]. There is a torus  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{Q}}$  for which the central torus of  $\underline{H}_\ell$  for  $\ell \gg_A 1$  is obtained by reducing  $\mathcal{B}$  modulo  $\ell$ , cf. [Ser00, 137 p.43]. This gives the lemma for  $C$ .

We may assume that  $\ell > 2g$ . Define the subgroup  $G := \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}_K)$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ . By Serre's construction, we find that  $S$  equals  $\tilde{G}$ , where  $\tilde{G}$  is the "exponentially generated" subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  generated by  $G$ , see [Nor87, Definition 1.3] for a definition of such subgroups. Let  $G^+$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by its elements of order  $\ell$ ; it is a normal subgroup of  $G$  with index relatively prime to  $\ell$ . The associated subgroup  $\tilde{G}^+$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  agrees with  $\tilde{G}$ .

By taking  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , the group  $G$  acts semisimply on  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ , cf. [MW95, Corollary 2]. From the proof of Corollary B.4 of [EHK12], the group  $G^+$  also acts semisimply on  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ . By Theorem B.7 in [EHK12], there is a finite collection  $\{\varrho_i: \mathbf{G}_i \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -representations of simply connected Chevalley groups such that for  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , the subgroup  $S_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} = \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  is conjugate to the image of  $\varrho_i$  over  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$  for some  $i \in I$ . The lemma for  $S$  is now immediate since the finite collection of representations  $\varrho_i$  is independent of  $\ell$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.9.* Let  $K'$  be the field  $K_{A,\ell}$ , which is independent of  $\ell$ , from Proposition 2.3. Replacing  $A/K$  by  $A_{K'}/K'$  thus changes the index of  $\mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  by at most  $[K':K]$ . So without loss of generality, we may assume that the algebraic groups  $G_{A,\ell}$  are connected for all  $\ell$ .

By [Nor87, Lemma 3.5], we have  $(\ell - 1)^{\dim \mathcal{H}_W} \leq |\mathcal{H}_W^\circ(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \leq (\ell + 1)^{\dim \mathcal{H}_W}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_W^\circ$  is the neutral component of  $\mathcal{H}_W$ . Therefore,

$$(\ell - 1)^{\dim \mathcal{H}_W} \leq |\mathcal{H}_W^\circ(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \leq m'(\ell + 1)^{\dim \mathcal{H}_W},$$

where  $m'$  is the number of connected components of  $(\mathcal{H}_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ . We have  $\dim \mathcal{H}_W \leq (2g)^2 \ll_A 1$ , so it thus suffices to show that  $m' \ll_A 1$ .

By Proposition 2.4(ii), we may assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is connected and reductive. Denote by  $C$  and  $S$ , the central torus and derived subgroup, respectively, of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define the algebraic subgroup  $H := C \times S$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell} \times \text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  and denote by  $\varphi: H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  the homomorphism obtained by multiplying matrices. Let  $H_W$  be the subvariety of  $H$  obtained by taking the inverse image of  $\mathcal{H}_W$  under  $\varphi$ . Denote by  $m$  the number of irreducible components of  $(H_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ . We have  $m' \leq m$  since  $\varphi$  is dominant. It thus suffices to prove that  $m \ll_A 1$ .

Fix a prime  $p \neq \ell$  and set  $d = \dim \mathcal{H}_W$ . The connected components  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  of  $(H_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  are cosets of  $(H_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\circ$ , so they are irreducible, disjoint and all have dimension  $d$ . Therefore,

$$H_c^{2d}((H_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H_c^{2d}(U_i, \mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where we are using étale cohomology with compact support. Each vector space  $H_c^{2d}(U_i, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is 1-dimensional, so  $m$  is equal to  $h := \dim H_c^{2d}((H_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . It thus suffices to show that  $h \ll_A 1$ .

By Lemma 2.10, the subvarieties  $C_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  and  $S_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  can both be defined by  $N$  polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[\{x_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq 2g\}]$  with degree at most  $D$ , where we have  $N \ll_A 1$  and  $D \ll_A 1$ . So there are positive integers  $N \ll_A 1$  and  $D \ll_A 1$  such that the subvariety  $(H_W)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  of  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell} \times \text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  can be described as the pairs of matrices  $(X, Y)$  that are the locus of  $N$  particular polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[\{x_{i,j}, y_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq 2g\}]$  all of which have degree at most  $D$ . By [Kat01, Theorem 1], we find that  $h$  can be bounded from above in terms of  $g, N$  and  $D$  (note that we can identify  $\text{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  with a closed subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^{4g^2+1}$  by identifying a matrix  $B$  with a  $((2g)^2 + 1)$ -tuple coming from its entries and  $\det(B)^{-1}$ ). In particular,  $h \ll_A 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. CODIMENSION BOUNDS

Let  $A$  be a nonzero abelian variety defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Associated to  $A/\mathbb{C}$  is a constant  $\gamma_A$  defined as in §1. The Mumford–Tate group  $G_A$  acts on  $V := H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  and hence  $(G_A)_F$  acts on the  $F$ -vector space  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), F)$  for every extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ . The following theorem will be proved in §3.3 and gives a useful characterization of  $\gamma_A$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Take any extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  and set  $G := (G_A)_F$ . For any subspace  $W$  of the  $F$ -vector space  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), F)$ , we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma_A \cdot (\dim G - \dim G_W) \geq \dim W.$$

Moreover,  $\gamma_A$  is the smallest number for which this holds; equivalently, there is a nonzero subspace  $W \subseteq V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$  for which equality holds in (3.1).

*Remark 3.2.* We will prove Theorem 3.1 without explicitly computing the integers  $\dim G_W$ . In the work of Hindry and Ratazzi, for example see [HR12, HR16], they explicitly compute  $\dim G_W$  in various cases where the representation of  $G_A$  acting on  $V$  is of a special form; one can then directly verify Theorem 3.1.

**3.1. Group theory.** Consider a connected reductive group  $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_V$ , where  $V$  is a nonzero finite dimensional vector space over a field  $Q$  of characteristic 0. Fix an extension  $F$  of  $Q$  and define the  $F$ -vector space  $V_F := V \otimes_Q F$ . The algebraic group  $G_F$  is a connected and reductive subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{V_F}$ . For each subspace  $W \subseteq V_F$ , we can define a group  $(G_F)_W$  as in §1.1 and a real number

$$\alpha_W := \frac{\dim(G_F) - \dim(G_F)_W}{\dim W}.$$

There are only finitely many possibilities for the numerator and denominator of  $\alpha_W$ , so we can define

$$\alpha(F) := \min_{W \neq 0} \alpha_W,$$

where the minimum is over all nonzero subspaces  $W \subseteq V_F$ .

The following theorem says that  $\alpha(F)$  is equal to  $\alpha(Q)$  and that  $\alpha(Q) = \alpha_W$  for a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  of a very special form. Denote by  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$  the decomposition of the representation  $V$  of  $G$  into isotypical components, i.e.,  $V_i \neq 0$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  that is isomorphic to  $M_i^{n_i}$  for an irreducible representation  $M_i$  of  $G$  and that the representations  $M_i$  are pairwise nonisomorphic. Such a decomposition exists since  $G$  is a connected reductive group defined over a field of characteristic 0.

**Theorem 3.3.** *For each extension  $F$  of  $Q$ , we have  $\alpha(F) = \alpha(Q)$ . Moreover,*

$$\alpha(Q) = \min_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \alpha_{V_I},$$

where  $V_I := \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ .

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $W$  and  $W'$  be nonzero subspaces of  $V_F$  that satisfy  $\alpha_W = \alpha(F)$  and  $\alpha_{W'} = \alpha(F)$ . Then  $\alpha_{W+W'} = \alpha(F)$ .*

*Proof.* For algebraic groups  $H_2 \subseteq H_1$ , we define the codimension  $\mathrm{codim}_{H_1}(H_2) := \dim H_1 - \dim H_2$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(V)$  be the Lie algebra of  $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_V$ . For each subspace  $W$  of  $V$ , let  $\mathfrak{g}_W \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G_W$ ; one can verify that  $\mathfrak{g}_W$  consists of the  $B \in \mathfrak{g}$  for which  $Bw = 0$  for all  $w \in W$ . Of course we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_W = \dim G_W$ .

The linear map  $\mathfrak{g}_W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{W \cap W'} / \mathfrak{g}_{W'}$  has kernel  $\mathfrak{g}_W \cap \mathfrak{g}_{W'} = \mathfrak{g}_{W+W'}$ , so

$$\mathrm{codim}_{G_W}(G_{W+W'}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_W / \mathfrak{g}_{W+W'}) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{W \cap W'} / \mathfrak{g}_{W'}) = \mathrm{codim}_{G_{W \cap W'}}(G_{W'}).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad \mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W \cap W'}) + \mathrm{codim}_{G_W}(G_{W+W'}) \leq \mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W \cap W'}) + \mathrm{codim}_{G_{W \cap W'}}(G_{W'}) = \mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W'}).$$

We have  $\mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W'}) = \alpha_{W'} \dim W' = \alpha(F) \cdot \dim W'$ . If  $W \cap W' \neq 0$ , then  $\mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W \cap W'}) = \alpha_{W \cap W'} \dim(W \cap W') \geq \alpha(F) \cdot \dim(W \cap W')$ . If  $W \cap W' = 0$ , then the inequality  $\mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W \cap W'}) \geq \alpha(F) \cdot \dim(W \cap W')$  holds trivially. From (3.2), we deduce that

$$\alpha(F) \cdot \dim(W \cap W') + \mathrm{codim}_{G_W}(G_{W+W'}) \leq \alpha(F) \cdot \dim W'$$

and hence  $\mathrm{codim}_{G_W}(G_{W+W'}) \leq \alpha(F) \cdot (\dim W' - \dim(W \cap W'))$ . We also have  $\mathrm{codim}_G G_W = \alpha_W \dim W = \alpha(F) \cdot \dim W$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W+W'}) &= \mathrm{codim}_G G_W + \mathrm{codim}_{G_W}(G_{W+W'}) \\ &\leq \alpha(F) \dim W + \alpha(F) (\dim W' - \dim(W \cap W')) \\ &\leq \alpha(F) (\dim W + \dim W' - \dim(W \cap W')) \\ &= \alpha(F) \dim(W + W'). \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\mathrm{codim}_G(G_{W+W'}) = \alpha_{W+W'} \dim(W + W')$ , so the above inequality implies that  $\alpha_{W+W'} \leq \alpha(F)$ . By the minimality in the definition of  $\alpha(F)$ , we must have  $\alpha_{W+W'} = \alpha(F)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any fields extensions  $F \subseteq F'$  of  $Q$ , we have  $\alpha(Q) \geq \alpha(F) \geq \alpha(F')$ .*

*Proof.* We need only prove  $\alpha(F) \geq \alpha(F')$ ; the other inequality follows by replacing  $(F, F')$  by  $(Q, F)$ . For each nonzero subspace  $W \subseteq V_F$ , we have  $\alpha_W = \alpha_{W \otimes_F F'}$ . This implies that  $\alpha(F) \geq \alpha(F')$ .  $\square$

For any extension  $F'$  of  $F$ , we have  $\alpha(Q) \geq \alpha(F) \geq \alpha(F')$  by Lemma 3.5. So to prove that  $\alpha(Q) = \alpha(F)$ , there is no harm in replacing  $F$  by a larger field. We can thus assume that  $F$  contains an algebraic closure  $\bar{Q}$  of  $Q$ .

Let  $W_0$  be a subspace of  $V_{\bar{Q}}$  satisfying  $\alpha_{W_0} = \alpha(\bar{Q})$  that is maximal with respect to inclusion. By Lemma 3.4, if  $W$  is any subspace of  $V_{\bar{Q}}$  that satisfies  $\alpha_W = \alpha(\bar{Q})$ , then  $W \subseteq W_0$ .

**Lemma 3.6.**

- (i) *We have  $\alpha(F) = \alpha(\bar{Q})$ .*
- (ii) *The subspace  $W_0$  of  $V_{\bar{Q}}$  is a representation of  $G_{\bar{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W_1$  be a subspace of  $V_F$  satisfying  $\alpha_{W_1} = \alpha(F)$  that is maximal with respect to inclusion. By Lemma 3.4, if  $W$  is a subspace of  $V_F$  that satisfies  $\alpha_W = \alpha(F)$ , then  $W \subseteq W_1$ .

Take any  $g \in G(F)$ . We have  $G_{gW_1} = gG_{W_1}g^{-1}$ , so  $\alpha_{gW_1} = \alpha_{W_1} = \alpha(F)$ . Since the subspace  $gW_1$  of  $V_F$  satisfies  $\alpha_{gW_1} = \alpha(F)$ , we must have  $gW_1 \subseteq W_1$ . Therefore,  $W_1$  is stable under the action of  $G(F)$  on  $V_F$ . The subspace  $W_1$  of  $V_F$  is a representation of  $G_F$  since  $G(\bar{Q}) \subseteq G(F)$  is Zariski dense in  $G$ .

Since  $G$  is connected and reductive, the action of  $G_{\bar{Q}}$  on  $V_{\bar{Q}}$  is semisimple and the irreducible representations are geometrically irreducible. Therefore, there is a (nonzero) subspace  $W \subseteq V_{\bar{Q}}$  that is a representation of  $G_{\bar{Q}}$  and satisfies  $W \otimes_{\bar{Q}} F = W_1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_W = \alpha_{W \otimes_{\bar{Q}} F} = \alpha_{W_1} = \alpha(F)$ . We have

$$\alpha_W \geq \alpha(\bar{Q}) \geq \alpha(F) = \alpha_W,$$

where the second inequality uses Lemma 3.5. Therefore,  $\alpha_W = \alpha(\bar{Q}) = \alpha(F)$  which proves (i).

To prove (ii), it suffices to show that  $W = W_0$ . We have

$$\alpha_{W_0 \otimes_{\bar{Q}} F} = \alpha_{W_0} = \alpha(\bar{Q}) = \alpha(F)$$

and so  $W_0 \otimes_{\bar{Q}} F$  is a subspace of  $W_1 = W \otimes_{\bar{Q}} F$ . In particular,  $\dim W_0 \leq \dim W$ . We have  $W \subseteq W_0$  since  $W$  is a subspace of  $V_{\bar{Q}}$  satisfying  $\alpha_W = \alpha(\bar{Q})$ . We deduce that  $W = W_0$  since  $W$  is a subspace of  $W_0$  and they have the same dimensions.  $\square$

The Galois group  $\text{Gal}_Q := \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}/Q)$  acts on the group  $V_{\bar{Q}} = V \otimes_Q \bar{Q}$  by acting trivially on  $V$  and in the usual manner on  $\bar{Q}$ . With respect to this Galois action, define  $\mathcal{W} := W_0^{\text{Gal}_Q}$ ; it is a subspace of the  $Q$ -vector space  $V$ .

**Lemma 3.7.**

- (i) *We have  $\alpha(\bar{Q}) = \alpha(Q)$ .*
- (ii) *We have  $\alpha_{\mathcal{W}} = \alpha(Q)$ . For any nonzero subspace  $W \subseteq V$  with  $\alpha_W = \alpha(Q)$ , we have  $W \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ .*
- (iii) *The space  $\mathcal{W}$  is a subrepresentation of the representation  $V$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_Q$ . For each  $v \in V_{\bar{Q}}$  and  $c \in \bar{Q}$ , we have  $\sigma(cv) = \sigma(c)\sigma(v)$ . We find that  $\sigma(W_0)$  and  $W_0$  are  $\bar{Q}$ -vector spaces of the same dimension; moreover, for a basis  $\{v_i\}$  of  $W_0$ ,  $\{\sigma(v_i)\}$  is a basis of  $\sigma(W_0)$ . Note that a matrix  $g \in G(\bar{Q})$  fixes  $W_0$  if and only if  $\sigma(g)$  fixes  $\sigma(W_0)$ . The algebraic groups  $(G_{\bar{Q}})_{W_0}$  and  $(G_{\bar{Q}})_{\sigma(W_0)}$  over  $\bar{Q}$  have the same dimension; moreover, one can be obtained from the other by base changing by the morphism  $\text{Spec } \bar{Q} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \bar{Q}$  induced by  $\sigma$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_{\sigma(W_0)} = \alpha_{W_0}$  and hence  $\alpha_{\sigma(W_0)} = \alpha(\bar{Q})$ . By Lemma 3.4, we have  $\alpha_{\sigma(W_0) + W_0} = \alpha(\bar{Q})$ . By the maximality in the definition of  $W_0$ , we find that  $\sigma(W_0) \subseteq W_0$  and hence  $\sigma(W_0) = W_0$  since they have the same dimension.

Since  $\sigma(W_0) = W_0$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_Q$ , we find that  $\mathcal{W}$  has the same dimension as  $W_0$  and moreover  $W_0 = \mathcal{W} \otimes_Q \bar{Q}$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_{W_0} = \alpha_{\mathcal{W}}$  and hence  $\alpha_{\mathcal{W}} = \alpha(\bar{Q})$ . Since  $\alpha(Q) \geq \alpha(\bar{Q})$  by Lemma 3.5, we deduce that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{W}} = \alpha(\bar{Q}) = \alpha(Q)$ . This proves (i).

Now take any nonzero subspace  $W \subseteq V$  for which  $\alpha_W = \alpha(Q)$ . We then have  $\alpha_{W \otimes_Q \bar{Q}} = \alpha(Q)$  and hence  $W \otimes_Q \bar{Q} \subseteq W_0$  by the maximality in the definition of  $W_0$ . Therefore,  $W$  is a subspace of  $W_0^{\text{Gal}_Q} = \mathcal{W}$ .

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$ . It suffices to show that  $G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  acts on  $\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  which is clear by Lemma 3.6 since  $W_0 = \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .  $\square$

We now finish our proof of Theorem 3.3. We have  $\alpha(F) = \alpha(Q)$  by Lemmas 3.6(i) and 3.7(i). It remains to show that  $\alpha(Q) = \alpha_{V_I}$  for some nonempty subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $V_I := \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ .

Since  $G$  is reductive, Lemma 3.7(iii) implies that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a direct sum of irreducible representations of  $G$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{W}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_i \subseteq V_i$  is a representation of  $G$ . Let  $I$  be the set of  $1 \leq i \leq n$  for which  $\mathcal{W}_i \neq 0$ . The set  $I$  is non-empty since  $\mathcal{W}$  is non-zero.

Take any  $i \in I$ . We have  $V_i \cong M_i^{n_i}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_i \cong M_i^{m_i}$ , where  $M_i$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  and  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are positive integers. Since  $G_{\mathcal{W}}$  fixes  $\mathcal{W}_i$  (and hence fixes  $M_i$ ), it must also fix  $V_i$ . Therefore,  $G_{\mathcal{W}}$  fixes  $V_I$  and hence  $G_{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq G_{V_I}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq V_I$ , we also have  $G_{V_I} \subseteq G_{\mathcal{W}}$  and thus  $G_{V_I} = G_{\mathcal{W}}$ . From  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq V_I$  and  $G_{\mathcal{W}} = G_{V_I}$ , we have  $\alpha_{V_I} \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{W}} = \alpha(Q)$ . This implies that  $\alpha_{V_I} = \alpha(Q)$  by the minimality in the definition of  $\alpha(Q)$ . This completes our proof of the theorem.

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The representation of  $G_A$  on  $V = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ , up to isomorphism, does not change if we replace  $A$  by an isogenous abelian variety. So without loss of generality, we may assume that  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i^{m_i}$ , where the  $A_i$  are simple abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  that are pairwise nonisogenous.

Define  $V = H_1(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  and  $V_i = H_1(A_i^{m_i}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ . We have an isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$$

of vector spaces. By Lemma 2.2, the direct sum (3.3) is the decomposition of the representation  $V$  of  $G_A$  into isotypical components. The group  $G_A$  is connected and reductive by Lemma 2.1(i). Set  $G := (G_A)_F$ .

For each nonzero subspace  $W$  of  $V_F$ , define  $\alpha_W := (\dim G - \dim G_W) / \dim W$ . Define  $\alpha(F) := \min_{W \neq 0} \alpha_W$ , where the minimum is over all nonzero subspaces  $W \subseteq V_F$ .

By Theorem 3.3, we find that

$$\alpha(F) = \min_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \alpha_{V_I} = \min_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\dim G_A - \dim(G_A)_{V_I}}{\dim V_I},$$

where  $V_I = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ . For each subset  $I$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , define the abelian subvariety  $A_I = \prod_{i \in I} A_i^{m_i}$ . By (2.2), we have  $\dim G_A - \dim(G_A)_{V_I} = \dim G_{A_I}$ . The vector space  $V_I$  is isomorphic to  $H_1(A_I(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$  and thus has dimension  $2 \dim A_I$ . Therefore,

$$\alpha(F) = \min_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\dim G_{A_I}}{2 \dim A_I}.$$

So  $\alpha(F)$  equals  $\gamma_A^{-1}$  and the theorem is now clear from the definition of  $\alpha(F)$ .

#### 4. PRIME POWER VERSION

Fix a nonzero abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $g \geq 1$  defined over a number field  $K$  and fix a prime  $\ell$ .

We claim that  $\dim(G_{A,\ell})_W < \dim G_{A,\ell}$  for every nonzero subspaces  $W$  of  $V_\ell(A)$ . On the contrary, suppose that  $\dim(G_{A,\ell})_W = \dim G_{A,\ell}$  for some  $W \neq 0$ . We then have  $(G_{A,\ell}^\circ)_W = G_{A,\ell}^\circ$  and hence there is a finite extension  $K'/K$  such that  $\text{Gal}_{K'}$  acts trivially on  $W$ . This in turn would imply that  $A(K')[\ell^\infty]$  is infinite which contradicts the Mordell-Weil theorem. So the claim holds.

Define the real number

$$(4.1) \quad \gamma_{A,\ell} = \min_{W \neq 0} \frac{\dim W}{\dim G_{A,\ell} - \dim(G_{A,\ell})_W},$$

where the minimum is over all nonzero subspaces  $W$  of  $V_\ell(A)$ . We can also characterize  $\gamma_{A,\ell}$  as the smallest value for which we have

$$(4.2) \quad \gamma_{A,\ell} \cdot (\dim G_{A,\ell} - \dim(G_{A,\ell})_W) \geq \dim W$$

for all subspaces  $W$  of the  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space  $V_\ell(A)$ . The goal of this section is to prove the following.

**Proposition 4.1.** *We have  $|A(L)[\ell^\infty]| \leq C \cdot [L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}}$  for all finite extensions  $L/K$ , where  $C$  is a constant that depends only on  $A$ .*

For later use, we have stated Proposition 4.1 in an unconditional form. Under the Mumford-Tate conjecture for  $A$ , the constant  $\gamma_{A,\ell}$  agrees with  $\gamma_A$  and in particular does not depend on  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *If the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for  $A$ , then  $\gamma_{A,\ell} = \gamma_A$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by using the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  (Conjecture 2.5) and Theorem 3.1 with  $F = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ .  $\square$

We now make some identifications that will hold for the remainder of §4. Choose a basis for  $T_\ell(A)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module; this also gives a basis for  $V_\ell(A)$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space. We can thus identify  $\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}$  with an algebraic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$  and  $G_{A,\ell} = (\mathcal{G}_{A,\ell})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  with an algebraic subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ .

There is no harm in replacing  $K$  by a finite extension and  $A$  with its base extension by this field. Indeed, suppose that  $K'/K$  is a finite extension. For a finite extension  $L/K$ , set  $L' = L \cdot K'$ . We have

$$|A(L)_{\mathrm{tors}}| \leq |A(L')_{\mathrm{tors}}| \quad \text{and} \quad [L' : K']^{\gamma_{A,\ell}} \leq [K' : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}} [L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}} \leq [K' : K]^{2 \dim A} [L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}} \ll_{A,K'} [L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}}.$$

Also  $\gamma_{A,\ell} = \gamma_{A_{K'},\ell}$ . So Proposition 4.1 for  $A_{K'}/K'$  implies the proposition for  $A/K$ . So after first replacing  $K$  by a finite extension, we may assume by Proposition 2.3 that the algebraic groups  $G_{A,\ell}$  are connected for the rest of the section.

**4.1. Filtration.** Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let  $H(\ell^i)$  and  $H_i$  be the image and kernel, respectively, of the reduction modulo  $\ell^i$  homomorphism  $H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i\mathbb{Z})$ . The map

$$\varphi_i : H_i \rightarrow M_{2g}(\mathbb{F}_\ell), \quad I + \ell^i B \mapsto B \bmod \ell$$

is a homomorphism with kernel  $H_{i+1}$  whose image we will denote by  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ . The group  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -subspace of  $M_{2g}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  and we have

$$(4.3) \quad |H(\ell^i)| = [H : H_i] = [H : H_1] \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j < i} [H_j : H_{j+1}] = |H(\ell)| \prod_{1 \leq j < i} |\mathfrak{h}_j| = |H(\ell)| \cdot \ell^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \dim \mathfrak{h}_j}$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Take any integer  $i \geq 1$  and suppose that  $i \geq 2$  if  $\ell = 2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{i+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $I + \ell^i B \in H_i$ . It suffices to show that  $I + \ell^{i+1} B' \in H_{i+1}$  for some matrix  $B' \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  satisfying  $B' \equiv B \pmod{\ell}$ . Raising  $I + \ell^i B$  to the  $\ell$ -th power gives

$$(I + \ell^i B)^\ell = I + \ell^{i+1} B + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} \ell^{ij} B^j.$$

It suffices to observe that  $\binom{\ell}{j} \ell^{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^{i+2}}$  for all  $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ ; this is an easy computation and uses that  $\binom{\ell}{j} \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$  when  $2 \leq j < \ell$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Lie algebras.** Set  $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}_{A,\ell}$ . Take any  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -algebra  $R$ . Define the ring  $R[\varepsilon] := R[x]/(x^2)$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the image of  $x$  and hence satisfies  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ . The  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $R[\varepsilon] \rightarrow R$  mapping  $\varepsilon$  to 0 induces a homomorphism

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{G}(R[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(R).$$

Let  $L(R)$  be the set of  $B \in M_{2g}(R)$  for which  $I + \varepsilon B$  lies in the kernel of (4.4). Observe that  $L(R)$  is a Lie algebra over  $R$ ; it is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M_{2g}(R)$  that is closed under the pairing  $[B_1, B_2] = B_1 B_2 - B_2 B_1$ .

The Lie algebra of  $G_{A,\ell}$  is  $L(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ ; its dimension as a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space is  $\dim G_{A,\ell}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is the Zariski closure of  $G_{A,\ell}$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ , we find that  $L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = L(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cap M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ ; it is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module of rank  $\dim G_{A,\ell}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the image of the reduction modulo  $\ell$  homomorphism  $L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow L(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ ; it is a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$  of dimension  $\dim G_{A,\ell}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4, we may take  $\ell$  large enough so that  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -group scheme  $\mathcal{G}$  is reductive. The Lie algebra of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  is  $L(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  and has dimension equal to  $\dim \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} = \dim \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \dim G_{A,\ell}$ . We thus have  $L(\mathbb{F}_\ell) = \mathfrak{g}$  since we have an inclusion  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq L(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  of vector spaces of the same dimension.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.**

- (i) *There is an integer  $e \geq 0$ , depending on  $A$  and  $\ell$ , such that the cokernel of the reduction map  $L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow L(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i\mathbb{Z})$  has cardinality dividing  $\ell^e$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .*

- (ii) Take any integer  $i \geq 1$  and suppose that  $i \geq 2$  if  $\ell = 2$ . If  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- (iii) Consider  $H = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . If  $i \gg_A 1$  or  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be the  $2g \times 2g$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry is the variable  $x_{i,j}$ . Let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a finite collection of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[\{x_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2g}]$  that cut out the subscheme  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ . For any  $\alpha \in I$ , we have

$$f_\alpha(I + \varepsilon x) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}^\alpha x_{i,j} \cdot \varepsilon$$

for unique  $c_{i,j}^\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Thus  $L(R)$  is the  $R$ -module consisting of common solutions  $x \in M_{2g}(R)$  to the linear equations  $\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}^\alpha x_{i,j} = 0$  with  $\alpha \in I$ .

Denote by  $r$  the rank of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module  $L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . The image of the reduction map  $L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow L(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})$  thus has cardinality  $\ell^{ir}$ . So to prove part (i), it suffices to show that  $|L(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})| \ll \ell^{ir}$ , where the implicit constant does not depend on  $i$ .

By choosing a basis of the  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module  $M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , we obtain a matrix  $T \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  (with  $m = |I|$  and  $n = (2g)^2$ ) such that we can identify  $L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  and  $L(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})$  with the kernel of the homomorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^m$  and  $\bar{T}: (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})^m$ , respectively, defined by  $T$ . By changing bases appropriately and using that  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is a PID, we may assume that  $T(\mathbb{Z}_\ell^n)$  is of the form  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-r} \ell^{\alpha_j} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_\ell \oplus \bigoplus_{j=n-r+1}^m 0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}_\ell \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^m$  with nonnegative integers  $\alpha_j$ . Therefore,  $|\mathrm{Im}(\bar{T})| \gg \ell^{i(n-r)}$  and hence

$$|L(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})| = |\ker(\bar{T})| = |(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^i \mathbb{Z})^n| / |\mathrm{Im}(\bar{T})| \ll \ell^{in} / \ell^{i(n-r)} = \ell^{ir},$$

where the implicit constants do not depend on  $i$ . This completes our proof of (i).

We now prove part (ii). With  $e \geq 0$  as in part (i), take any integer  $n \geq e + 1$ . Take any element  $I + \ell^n B \in H_n$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , we have  $0 = f_\alpha(I + \ell^n B) \equiv \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}^\alpha B_{i,j} \cdot \ell^n \pmod{\ell^{2n}}$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}^\alpha B_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^n}$$

and hence  $B$  modulo  $\ell^n$  lies in  $L(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})$ . By part (i), there is a matrix  $C \in L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  such that  $\ell^e B \equiv \ell^e C \pmod{\ell^n}$ . So  $\ell^e B = \ell^e C + \ell^n D$  for a matrix  $D \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Multiplying by  $\ell^{n-e}$  and adding  $I$  gives  $I + \ell^n B = I + \ell^n C + \ell^{2n-e} D$ . We have  $2n - e \geq n + 1$  by our choice of  $n$ , so  $I + \ell^n B \equiv I + \ell^n C \pmod{\ell^{n+1}}$ . Therefore,  $B \equiv C \pmod{\ell}$  with  $C \in L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  and hence  $B$  modulo  $\ell$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $I + \ell^n B \in H_n$  was arbitrary, this proves that  $\mathfrak{h}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , part (ii) follows from Lemma 4.3.

We now prove part (iii). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{h}_i \neq \mathfrak{g}$  for infinitely many  $i$ . By part (ii) and Lemma 4.3, we have  $\mathfrak{h}_i \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . By (4.3), we find that

$$(4.5) \quad |H(\ell^i)| \ll_{A,\ell} \ell^{i(\dim \mathfrak{g} - 1)} = \ell^{i(\dim G_{A,\ell} - 1)}.$$

The group  $H = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is open in  $G_{A,\ell}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . We have  $|H(\ell^i)| \gg_{A,\ell} \ell^{i \dim G_{A,\ell}}$ ; this follows from the more general result [Ser81, Théorème 9]. However, this contradicts (4.5) for  $i$  large enough, so we must have  $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ .

By excluding a finite number of primes, we can assume by Proposition 2.4 that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  and that  $\ell$  is odd. To finish the proof of (iii), it suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . By part (ii) and Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{h}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{g}$ .

Take any  $\bar{B} \in \mathfrak{g}$  and choose a matrix  $B \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2 \mathbb{Z})$  for which  $B \equiv \bar{B} \pmod{\ell}$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , we have  $f_\alpha(I + \ell B) \equiv \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}^\alpha B_{i,j} \cdot \ell \pmod{\ell^2}$ . We have  $\sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}^\alpha B_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$  since  $\bar{B} \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and thus  $f_\alpha(I + \ell B) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . Therefore,  $I + \ell B \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2 \mathbb{Z})$ . The reduction map  $H = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2 \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective since  $\mathcal{G}$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . So there is a matrix  $B' \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  for which  $I + \ell B' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is congruent to  $I + \ell B$  modulo  $\ell^2$ . We have  $I + \ell B' \in H_1$  and  $B' \equiv B \equiv \bar{B} \pmod{\ell}$ , so  $\bar{B} \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ . Since  $\bar{B}$  was an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we deduce that  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_1$ .  $\square$

For each subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_W$  the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  consisting of those  $B \in \mathfrak{g}$  that satisfy  $Bw = 0$  for all  $w \in W$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** For any subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ , we have  $\gamma_{A,\ell}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}_W) \geq \dim W$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\mathfrak{G} = L(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \subseteq M_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ ; it is the Lie algebra of  $G_{A,\ell}$ . Choose a  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -submodule  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$  whose rank is equal to the dimension of  $W$  such that the reduction modulo  $\ell$  map gives a surjection  $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow W$ . Therefore,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_W = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \{B \in L(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) | Bw = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{W}\} = \dim \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell},$$

where  $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  is the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{G}$  consisting of all  $B \in \mathfrak{G}$  that satisfy  $Bw = 0$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  is the Lie algebra of the group  $(G_{A,\ell})_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ , we deduce that  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_W = \dim((G_{A,\ell})_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ . Therefore,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}_W = \dim G_{A,\ell} - \dim((G_{A,\ell})_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}).$$

By (4.2), we deduce that  $\gamma_{A,\ell}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}_W) = \gamma_{A,\ell}(\dim G_{A,\ell} - \dim((G_{A,\ell})_{\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell}))$  is greater than or equal to  $\dim(\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \dim W$ .  $\square$

We can now approximate the degrees  $[K(A[\ell^i]) : K]$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , we have  $[K(A[\ell^i]) : K] = |\rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_K)| \asymp_A \ell^{i \dim G_{A,\ell}}$ .

*Proof.* Set  $H := \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)$ . We then have  $H(\ell^i) = \rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_K)$ . By Theorem 2.7, we have  $[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) : \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_K)] \ll_A 1$ . So by Lemma 4.5(iii), we find that if  $i \gg_A 1$  or  $\ell \gg_A 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}$ . By (4.3), we deduce that

$$[K(A[\ell^i]) : K] = |H(\ell^i)| \asymp_A |H(\ell)| \cdot \ell^{(i-1) \dim \mathfrak{g}} = |H(\ell)| \cdot \ell^{(i-1) \dim G_{A,\ell}}.$$

Since  $[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) : H(\ell)] \ll_A 1$ , it suffices to show that  $|\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \asymp_A \ell^{\dim G_{A,\ell}}$ . By Proposition 2.4, we may assume that  $\ell$  is large enough so that  $\mathcal{G}$  is reductive. We have  $\dim \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} = \dim \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \dim G_{A,\ell}$ . By Proposition 2.9 (with  $W = 0$ ), we have  $|\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \asymp_A \ell^{\dim \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}} = \ell^{\dim G_{A,\ell}}$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.1.** Fix a finite extension  $L/K$ . Define the group  $W := A(L)[\ell^\infty]$ , i.e., the group of torsion points in  $A(L)$  whose order is a power of  $\ell$ . The group  $W \subseteq A(L)_{\text{tors}}$  is finite. For each  $i \geq 0$ , let  $W[\ell^i]$  be the group of  $P \in W$  for which  $\ell^i P = 0$ . For each  $i \geq 0$ , let

$$\psi_i : A[\ell^{i+1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{i+1}\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$$

be the isomorphism obtained by our choice of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -basis for  $T_\ell(A)$ . Composing  $\psi_i$  with the reduction modulo  $\ell$  map induces an isomorphism  $\bar{\psi}_i : A[\ell^{i+1}]/A[\ell^i] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ . We can identify  $W[\ell^{i+1}]/W[\ell^i]$  with a subgroup of  $A[\ell^{i+1}]/A[\ell^i]$ , so we can define

$$W_i := \bar{\psi}_i(W[\ell^{i+1}]/W[\ell^i]);$$

it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_\ell^{2g}$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** For each  $i \geq 1$ , we have  $|\rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_L)| \ll_A \ell^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_j}}$ .

*Proof.* Define the group  $H := \rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\text{Gal}_L)$ . For each  $i \geq 1$ , define  $H(\ell^i)$ ,  $H_i$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  as in §4.1.

We claim that  $\mathfrak{h}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{W_i}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , where  $i \neq 1$  if  $\ell = 2$ . Take any  $I + \ell^i B \in H_i$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  by Lemma 4.5(ii), to prove the claim, we need only show that  $Bw = 0$  for every  $w \in W_i$ . Choose an element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_L$  for which  $\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}(\sigma) = I + \ell^i B$ . We have  $\sigma(P) = P$  for all  $P \in W[\ell^{i+1}]$  since  $W \subseteq A(L)$ . Therefore,  $I + \ell^i B$  fixes each element of  $\psi_i(W[\ell^{i+1}])$ . So for each  $w \in \psi_i(W[\ell^{i+1}])$ , we have  $(I + \ell^i B)w = w$  and hence  $\ell^i Bw = 0$ . Therefore,  $Bw \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$  for all  $w \in \psi_i(W[\ell^{i+1}])$ . The claim is now immediate since  $W_i$  is the image of  $\psi_i(W[\ell^{i+1}]/W[\ell^i])$  modulo  $\ell$ .

Take any  $i \geq 1$ . By Lemma 4.3 and the above claim, we have

$$|\rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_L)| = |H(\ell^i)| \ll_A |H(\ell)| \cdot \ell^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_j}}.$$

The group  $\text{Gal}_L$  fixes  $W[\ell] \subseteq A(L)$ , so  $H(\ell)$  fixes each element of  $W_0$ . Therefore,  $H(\ell) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{W_0}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ , where  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ . By Proposition 2.9, we have  $|H(\ell)| \leq |\mathcal{H}_{W_0}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \ll_A \ell^{\dim \mathcal{H}_{W_0}}$ . Therefore,

$$|\rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_L)| \ll_A \ell^{\dim \mathcal{H}_{W_0}} \cdot \ell^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_j}}.$$

So it suffices to show that  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{W_0} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_0}$  for  $\ell \gg_A 1$ . By taking  $\ell$  large enough, in terms of  $A$ , we may assume that the algebraic group  $\mathcal{H}$  has Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  by Lemma 4.4. So  $\mathcal{H}_{W_0}$  has Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{W_0}$  and hence  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{W_0} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_0}$ .  $\square$

Take any  $i \geq 1$  large enough so that  $W[\ell^i] = W = A(L)[\ell^\infty]$ . Using  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim G_{A,\ell}$  and Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, we deduce that

$$[L : K] \geq [\rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_K) : \rho_{A,\ell^i}(\text{Gal}_L)] \gg_A \ell^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_j})}.$$

Raising both sides to the power  $\gamma_{A,\ell}$  gives  $[L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}} \gg_A \ell^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \gamma_{A,\ell} (\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{g}_{W_j})}$ ; note that  $\gamma_{A,\ell} \leq 2 \dim A$  and in particular we have  $\gamma_{A,\ell} \ll_A 1$ . Proposition 4.6 implies that

$$(4.6) \quad \ell^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \dim W_j} \ll_A [L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}}$$

We now compute the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \dim W_j$ . We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \dim W_j = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (\log_\ell |W[\ell^{j+1}]| - \log_\ell |W[\ell^j]|) = \log_\ell |W[\ell^i]| - \log_\ell |W[\ell^0]| = \log_\ell |A(L)[\ell^\infty]|,$$

where we have used that the series is telescoping. By (4.6), this gives  $|A(L)[\ell^\infty]| \ll_A [L : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}}$  which completes our proof of Proposition 4.1.

## 5. BOUNDS ON $\beta_A$

Fix a nonzero abelian variety  $A$  over a number field  $K$ . Recall that  $\beta_A$  is the infimum of all real numbers  $\beta$  for which there is a constant  $C$ , depending only on  $A$  and  $\beta$ , such that the inequality  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq C \cdot [L : K]^\beta$  holds for all finite extensions  $L/K$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $B$  be an abelian variety over a finite extension  $K'/K$  that is isogenous to  $A_{K'}$ . Then  $\beta_A = \beta_B$ .*

*Proof.* Take any finite extension  $K'/K$ . We claim that  $\beta_{A_{K'}} = \beta_A$ . The inequality  $\beta_{A_{K'}} \leq \beta_A$  is trivially by considering extensions  $L$  of  $K'$ . We now prove the opposite inequality. For a finite extension  $L/K$ , set  $L' = L \cdot K'$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq |A(L')_{\text{tors}}| \ll_{A,K'} [L' : K']^{\beta_{A_{K'}} + \varepsilon} \leq [K' : K]^{\beta_{A_{K'}} + \varepsilon} [L : K]^{\beta_{A_{K'}} + \varepsilon} \ll_{A,\varepsilon,K'} [L : K]^{\beta_{A_{K'}} + \varepsilon}.$$

Therefore,  $\beta_A \leq \beta_{A_{K'}}$  by the minimality in the definition of  $\beta_A$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

Now take any abelian variety  $B$  that is isogenous to  $A$ . We claim that  $\beta_A = \beta_B$ ; by symmetry, it suffices to prove that  $\beta_A \leq \beta_B$ . Fix an isogeny  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and denote its degree by  $d$ . Take any finite extension  $L/K$ . The kernel of the homomorphism  $A(L)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow B(L)_{\text{tors}}$  induced by  $\varphi$  has kernel of order at most  $d$  and hence  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \leq d |B(L)_{\text{tors}}|$ . So for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \ll_d |B(L)_{\text{tors}}| \ll_{B,\varepsilon} [L : K]^{\beta_B + \varepsilon}$ . This proves that  $\beta_A \leq \beta_B$ .

The lemma is an immediate consequence of the two claims.  $\square$

We now consider bounds for  $\beta_A$ . By Lemma 5.1, there is no harm in replacing  $A$  by its base extension by a fixed finite extension of  $K$  or by an isogenous abelian variety. So by Proposition 2.8, we may assume that the representations  $\{\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}\}_\ell$  are independent. We may also assume that  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i^{m_i}$ , where the  $A_i/K$  are geometrically simple and pairwise geometrically nonisogenous abelian varieties. For each subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , define the abelian subvariety  $A_I := \prod_{i \in I} A_i^{m_i}$  of  $A$ .

We now prove unconditional lower and upper bounds for  $\beta_A$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *We have*

$$\max_\ell \left( \max_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A_I, \ell}} \right) \leq \beta_A \leq \max_\ell \gamma_{A, \ell},$$

where the maximum is over all primes  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the upper bound for  $\beta_A$ . Define  $\xi_A := \max_\ell \gamma_{A,\ell}$ . Let  $J$  be the set of primes that divide  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}|$ . For each  $\ell \in J$ , define the field  $L_\ell := K(A(L)[\ell^\infty])$ . Note that the fields  $\{L_\ell\}_{\ell \in J}$  are linearly disjoint extensions of  $K$  since the representations  $\{\rho_{A,\ell^\infty}\}_\ell$  are independent.

By Proposition 4.1, there is a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $A$  such that

$$|A(L)[\ell^\infty]| = |A(L_\ell)[\ell^\infty]| \leq C \cdot [L_\ell : K]^{\gamma_{A,\ell}} \leq C \cdot [L_\ell : K]^{\xi_A}$$

for all  $\ell \in J$ . Taking the product over all  $\ell \in J$ , we find that

$$(5.1) \quad |A(L)_{\text{tors}}| = \prod_{\ell \in J} |A(L)[\ell^\infty]| \leq C^{|J|} \left( \prod_{\ell \in J} [L_\ell : K] \right)^{\xi_A} \leq C^{|J|} [L : K]^{\xi_A},$$

where the last inequality uses that the fields  $L_\ell$  are linearly disjoint extensions of  $K$  that are subfields of  $L$ .

Take any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $\delta := 1 - 1/(1 + \varepsilon/\xi_A)$ ; we have  $0 < \delta < 1$ . We have  $C^{|J|} \ll_{A,\varepsilon} \prod_{\ell \in J} \ell^\delta \leq |A(L)_{\text{tors}}|^\delta$ . Using (5.1), this implies that  $|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \ll_{A,\varepsilon} |A(L)_{\text{tors}}|^\delta \cdot [L : K]^{\xi_A}$ . Therefore,

$$|A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \ll_{A,\varepsilon} [L : K]^{\xi_A/(1-\delta)} = [L : K]^{\xi_A + \varepsilon},$$

where the equality uses our choice of  $\delta$ . Since  $L/K$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  were arbitrary, this implies that  $\beta_A \leq \xi_A$ .

We now prove the lower bound for  $\beta_A$ . Take any prime  $\ell$  and nonempty subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Take any integer  $i \geq 1$  and define the field  $L := K(A_I[\ell^i])$ . We have  $[L : K] \simeq_A \ell^{i \dim G_{A_I,\ell}}$  by Lemma 4.7. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\ell^{i \cdot 2 \dim A_I} = |A_I[\ell^i]| \leq |A(L)_{\text{tors}}| \ll_{A,\varepsilon} [L : K]^{\beta_A + \varepsilon} \ll_A \ell^{i \dim G_{A_I,\ell} (\beta_A + \varepsilon)}.$$

Since this holds for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $2 \dim A_I \leq \dim G_{A_I,\ell} \cdot \beta_A$  and hence  $\beta_A \geq 2 \dim A_I / \dim G_{A_I,\ell}$ . The lower bound follows since the prime  $\ell$  and the nonempty subset  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  were arbitrary.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* Conjecturally, the two inequalities in Theorem 5.2 are both equalities. Assuming the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$ , our proof of Theorem 1.1 will show that the upper and lower bounds of  $\beta_A$  in Theorem 5.2 both equal  $\gamma_A$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *We have  $\beta_A \geq \gamma_A$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.6(i), we have  $\dim G_{A_I,\ell} \leq \dim G_{A_I}$  for all  $\ell$  and  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ . By Theorem 5.2, we deduce that

$$\beta_A \geq \max_\ell \left( \max_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A_I,\ell}} \right) \geq \max_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A_I}} = \gamma_A,$$

where the equality uses that the  $A_i$  are simple and pairwise isogenous even after base extending to  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.3

First suppose that the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  holds. So for each prime  $\ell$ , we have  $\gamma_{A,\ell} = \gamma_A$  by Lemma 4.2. Theorem 5.2 now implies that  $\beta_A \leq \gamma_A$ . We deduce that  $\beta_A = \gamma_A$  since  $\beta_A \geq \gamma_A$  by Corollary 5.4.

Now suppose that  $A$  is geometrically simple and the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  fails. Theorem 5.2 and our geometrically simple assumption implies that

$$\beta_A \geq \max_\ell \left( \max_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A_I,\ell}} \right) = \max_\ell \frac{2 \dim A}{\dim G_{A,\ell}}$$

and similarly  $\gamma_A = 2 \dim A / \dim G_A$ . Proposition 2.6 (with our assumption that the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  fails) implies that  $\dim G_{A,\ell} < \dim G_A$  for all  $\ell$ . Therefore,

$$\beta_A \geq \max_\ell \frac{2 \dim A}{\dim G_{A,\ell}} > \frac{2 \dim A}{\dim G_A} = \gamma_A.$$

In particular,  $\beta_A \neq \gamma_A$ .

## 7. SOME REMARKS ON A VERSION WITHOUT MUMFORD–TATE GROUPS

Let  $A$  be a nonzero abelian variety defined over a number field  $K$ . In this section, we will formulate a conjectural expression for  $\beta_A$  that does not involve the Mumford–Tate group. By Lemma 5.1, we may assume (after extending the number field and replacing by an isogenous abelian variety) that  $A$  is of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i^{m_i}$  such that the abelian varieties  $A_i/K$  are geometrically simple and pairwise geometrically nonisogenous.

For each prime  $\ell$ , let  $\gamma_{A,\ell}$  be the constant defined in §4.

**Conjecture 7.1.** *For each prime  $\ell$ , we have*

$$\gamma_{A,\ell} = \max_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A,\ell}}.$$

Note that both sides in Conjecture 7.1 equal  $\gamma_A$  when the Mumford–Tate conjecture for  $A$  holds; this uses Lemma 4.2.

**Theorem 7.2.** *If Conjecture 7.1 holds for  $A$ , then*

$$\beta_A = \max_{\substack{\ell \text{ prime} \\ \emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}}} \frac{2 \dim A_I}{\dim G_{A,\ell}}$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2 and Conjecture 7.1 for  $A$ . □

We now prove Conjecture 7.1 for several abelian varieties. In particular, Conjecture 7.1 will hold whenever  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ ; this includes many cases for which the Mumford–Tate conjecture is unknown.

**Proposition 7.3.** *Suppose that  $A$  is geometrically simple and that the center of the ring  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{K}})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then Conjecture 7.1 holds, i.e.,  $\gamma_{A,\ell} = 2 \dim A / \dim G_{A,\ell}$ .*

*Proof.* Take any prime  $\ell$ . After suitably increasing the field  $K$ , we may assume that  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{K}}) = \text{End}(A)$  and that the group  $G_{A,\ell}$  is connected. The ring  $D := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a division algebra since  $A$  is geometrically simple. From our assumption on  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{K}})$ , we find that the division algebra  $D$  has the center  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,  $D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  is a central simple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ .

From Faltings [Fal86, §5], we know that  $V_{\ell}(A)$  is a semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]$ -module and that the natural map

$$D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]}(V_{\ell}(A))$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $G_{A,\ell}$  is reductive and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]}(V_{\ell}(A))$  is a central simple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ .

Denote by  $V_{\ell}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$  the decomposition of the representation  $V_{\ell}(A)$  of  $G_{A,\ell}$  into isotypical components. We have  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]}(V_{\ell}(A)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]}(V_i)$ . Since  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]}(V_{\ell}(A))$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -algebra, we deduce that  $n = 1$ . By Theorem 3.3, we find that

$$\gamma_{A,\ell} = \frac{\dim V_{\ell}(A)}{\dim G_{A,\ell} - \dim(G_{A,\ell})_{V_{\ell}(A)}} = \frac{2 \dim A}{\dim G_{A,\ell}}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 7.4.** Let us briefly sketch why we are currently unable to extend the proof of Proposition 7.3 to arbitrary  $A$ . For simplicity, assume that  $A$  is geometrically simple, that  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{K}}) = \text{End}(A)$  and that  $G_{A,\ell}$  is connected for all  $\ell$ .

Denote the center of  $\text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  by  $E$ ; it is a number field. We have  $E_{\ell} := E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = \prod_{\lambda|\ell} E_{\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda$  runs over the places of  $E$  that divide  $\ell$ . The natural actions of  $\text{Gal}_K$  and  $E_{\ell}$  on  $V_{\ell}(A)$  commute. Therefore,  $V_{\lambda} := V_{\ell}(A) \otimes_{E_{\ell}} E_{\lambda}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}[\text{Gal}_K]$ -module that we can identify with a submodule of  $V_{\ell}(A)$ . We have  $V_{\ell}(A) = \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} V_{\lambda}$  and using the work of Faltings, one can show that this is the isotypical decomposition of  $V_{\ell}(A)$  as a representation of  $G_{A,\ell}$  and that  $G_{A,\ell}$  is reductive. Using Theorem 3.3, one can show that

$$(7.1) \quad \gamma_{A,\ell} = \max_{\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset} \frac{\dim V_{\mathcal{L}}}{\dim G_{A,\ell} - \dim(G_{A,\ell})_{V_{\mathcal{L}}}},$$

where  $V_{\mathcal{L}} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} V_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  runs over the nonempty sets of places  $\lambda$  of  $E$  that divide  $\ell$ . Conjecture 7.1 is equivalent to showing that the maximum in (7.1) is obtained with  $\mathcal{L} = \{\lambda : \lambda|\ell\}$ ; this is obvious in the case of Proposition 7.3 where  $E = \mathbb{Q}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BL04] Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 302, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2062673 [↑2.1](#)
- [Bog80] Fedor Aleksevich Bogomolov, *Sur l'algébricité des représentations  $l$ -adiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **290** (1980), no. 15, A701–A703 (French, with English summary). MR574307 [↑2.2](#)
- [CF17] Victoria Cantoral Farfán, *Points de torsion sur les variétés abéliennes de type III* (2017). (In preparation). [↑1](#)
- [DMOS82] Pierre Deligne, James S. Milne, Arthur Ogus, and Kuang-ye Shih, *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. MR654325 [↑2.5](#)
- [EHK12] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Chris Hall, and Emmanuel Kowalski, *Expander graphs, gonality, and variation of Galois representations*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 7, 1233–1275, DOI 10.1215/00127094-1593272. MR2922374 [↑2.5](#)
- [Fal86] Gerd Faltings, *Finiteness theorems for abelian varieties over number fields*, Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984), 1986, pp. 9–27. Translated from the German original [Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 3, 349–366; *ibid.* **75** (1984), no. 2, 381; MR 85g:11026ab] by Edward Shipz. MR861971 [↑2.2, 7](#)
- [HR10] Marc Hindry and Nicolas Ratazzi, *Torsion dans un produit de courbes elliptiques*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **25** (2010), no. 1, 81–111 (French, with English and French summaries). MR2643390 [↑1](#)
- [HR12] ———, *Points de torsion sur les variétés abéliennes de type  $GSp$* , J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **11** (2012), no. 1, 27–65, DOI 10.1017/S147474801000023X (French, with English and French summaries). MR2862374 [↑1, 3.2](#)
- [HR16] ———, *Torsion pour les variétés abéliennes de type  $I$  et  $II$* , Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. no. 9, 1845–1891. MR3576113 [↑1, 3.2](#)
- [Kat01] Nicholas M. Katz, *Sums of Betti numbers in arbitrary characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **7** (2001), no. 1, 29–44, DOI 10.1006/ffta.2000.0303. Dedicated to Professor Chao Ko on the occasion of his 90th birthday. MR1803934 [↑2.5](#)
- [LP95] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *Abelian varieties,  $l$ -adic representations, and  $l$ -independence*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), no. 3, 561–579. MR1339927 (97e:14057) [↑2.2, 2.3](#)
- [LP97] Michael Larsen and Richard Pink, *A connectedness criterion for  $l$ -adic Galois representations*, Israel J. Math. **97** (1997), 1–10. MR1441234 (98k:11066) [↑2.2](#)
- [Mas] D. W. Masser, *Lettre à Daniel Bertrand du 10 novembre 1986*. [↑1](#)
- [MW95] D. W. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Refinements of the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties*, Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995, pp. 211–223. MR1336608 [↑2.5](#)
- [Nor87] Madhav V. Nori, *On subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$* , Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 2, 257–275, DOI 10.1007/BF01388909. MR880952 [↑2.5](#)
- [Rat07] Nicolas Ratazzi, *Borne sur la torsion dans les variétés abéliennes de type  $CM$* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no. 6, 951–983, DOI 10.1016/j.ansens.2007.10.002 (French, with English and French summaries). MR2419854 [↑1](#)
- [Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Représentations  $l$ -adiques*, Algebraic number theory (Kyoto Internat. Sympos., Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Univ. Kyoto, Kyoto, 1976), Japan Soc. Promotion Sci., Tokyo, 1977, pp. 177–193 (French). MR0476753 [↑2.3](#)
- [Ser81] ———, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **54** (1981), 323–401. MR644559 (83k:12011) [↑4.2](#)
- [Ser00] ———, *Œuvres. Collected papers. IV*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. 1985–1998. MR1730973 (2001e:01037) [↑2.2, 2.4, 2.4, 2.5](#)
- [Ser13] ———, *Un critère d'indépendance pour une famille de représentations  $l$ -adiques*, Comment. Math. Helv. **88** (2013), no. 3, 541–554, DOI 10.4171/CMH/295 (French, with English summary). MR3093502 [↑2.4](#)
- [Vas08] Adrian Vasiu, *Some cases of the Mumford-Tate conjecture and Shimura varieties*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 1, 1–75, DOI 10.1512/iumj.2008.57.3513. MR2400251 [↑2.3](#)
- [Win02] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Démonstration d'une conjecture de Lang dans des cas particuliers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **553** (2002), 1–16. MR1944805 (2003i:11075) [↑2.2, 2.4, 2.5](#)