

Multiscale Analysis on bounded domains with restricted interpolation points

Alex Townsend Supervised by Holger Wendland

29th June 2011

Alex Townsend Supervised by Holger Wendland 29th June 2011

Introduction to the Multilevel algorithm

- 2 Motivation
- Previous Results
- Extended Convergence Results
- Numerical Simulations
- Future Work

Details are in:

Multilevel analysis in Sobolev spaces on bounded domains with restricted data points. (In Preparation) by Townsend & Wendland

INPUT

 $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz domain. Continuous target function $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\Omega)$

Multilevel Algorithm

$$f_0 = 0, e_0 = f$$

for $j = 1, 2, ..., n$
Compute s_j such that $s_j(x) = e_{j-1}(x) \ \forall x \in X_j$
 $f_j = f_{j-1} + s_j$
 $e_j = e_{j-1} - s_j$
end

OUTPUT

Interpolant to f and an idea of how well we did.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Notation

• For any data set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \subset \Omega$ then the fill distance is

$$h_{X,\Omega} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \min_{1 \le i \le n} \|x - x_i\|_2$$

This is the parameter we use to state all convergence orders.

Choose sequence of quasi-uniform data sets X₁, X₂,..., X_n ⊂ Ω to have decreasing fill distance.

Definition (Compactly Supported Radial Function)

A function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi(x) = \phi(||x||_2)$ for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ with a continuous $\phi : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi(t) = 0$ for $t \ge 1$.

• *s_j* is formed by linear combination of scaled and translated compactly supported radial basis functions.

That means,

$$s_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{|X_j|} \alpha_i^{(j)} \Phi_{\delta_j}(x-x_i)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\delta_j}(\cdot) = \delta_j^{-d} \Phi\left(\frac{\cdot}{\delta_j}\right)$$

メロト メぼト メヨト メヨト

1

By interpolation conditions we get $s_j(x_k) = e_{j-1}(x_k) \ \forall x_k \in X_j$.

That means,

$$s_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{|X_j|} \alpha_i^{(j)} \Phi_{\delta_j}(x - x_i)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\delta_j}(\cdot) = \delta_j^{-d} \Phi\left(\frac{\cdot}{\delta_j}\right)$$

By interpolation conditions we get $s_j(x_k) = e_{j-1}(x_k) \ \forall x_k \in X_j$. This corresponds to the symmetric, positive definite linear system

$$A_{X,\Phi}\alpha^{(j)} = e_{j-1}|_{X_j}$$

Compactly supported radial basis functions $\Rightarrow A_{X_i,\Phi}$ is sparse.

• For compactly supported RBFs [Schaback, 1997]:

High accuracy \longleftrightarrow low efficiency

- Each level is fast but low accuracy. Get high accuracy by working on finer levels!
- Interpolation matrices have good conditioning.
- Capture large scale variation on coarse level and details on finer levels.

Multiscale analysis in Sobolev spaces on bounded domains

Theorem (Wendland, 2010)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be Lipschitz bounded domain and a sequence of denser data sets $X_1, X_2 \cdots \subset \Omega$. Further, let Φ be a compactly supported radial basis function with reproducing Hilbert space equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then if the target function $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\Omega), \tau > d/2$ then the multilevel algorithm converges with

$$\left\|oldsymbol{e}_{n}
ight\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega
ight)}\leq Ch_{n}^{ au-\epsilon}\left\|f
ight\|_{\mathcal{H}^{ au}\left(\Omega
ight)}$$

for some constants C and $\epsilon < \tau$.

Problem: Support of interpolant overlaps the domain boundary.

Support is not contained on domain



Support overlapping the boundary causes three main problems:

- Large point-wise error when on cracked domains.
- Unable to enforce boundary conditions of the interpolant.
- Makes Galerkin methods for solving PDEs hard to analyse.

Support is not contained on domain



Support overlapping the boundary causes three main problems:

- Large point-wise error when on cracked domains.
- Unable to enforce boundary conditions of the interpolant.
- Makes Galerkin methods for solving PDEs hard to analyse.

Support is not contained on domain



Support overlapping the boundary causes three main problems:

- Large point-wise error when on cracked domains.
- Unable to enforce boundary conditions of the interpolant.
- Makes Galerkin methods for solving PDEs hard to analyse.

















Restricting Interpolation points

Definition (δ -interior of a domain)

Given $\delta > 0$ and a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the δ -interior of Ω is

 $\Omega_{\delta} = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega : \mathsf{dist}(\boldsymbol{x}, \partial \Omega) > \delta \}$



- Want to do $\|\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq \|\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\|_{L_{2}(\Omega_{\delta_{j}})} + \|\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\|_{L_{2}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_{j}})}$
 - For the region near the boundary, no existing theory.
 - If the δ-interior domain has smooth boundary we can use previous ideas.

Smoothing interior domain boundary

Problem

Ω is a Lipschitz domain $\neq \Omega_{\delta}$ is a Lipschitz domain.

Things that can go wrong - Cusp forms



Interweave δ -interior domains with interior cone domains:

$$K_1 \subseteq \Omega_{\delta_1} \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \Omega_{\delta_2} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq K_n \subseteq \Omega_{\delta_n}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

For each level *j*,

$$\left\| oldsymbol{e}_{j}
ight\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq \left\| oldsymbol{e}_{j}
ight\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{K}_{j})} + \left\| oldsymbol{e}_{j}
ight\|_{L_{2}(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{K}_{j})}$$

Bounding error near the boundary

Spying on the interpolation matrix



- Make the interpolation matrix banded.
- Estimate convexity of $p \mapsto ||A^{-1}||_p$
- With quasi-uniform data set $X_j \subset \Omega_{\delta_j}$ and $\delta_j = \nu h_{X_j,\Omega_{\delta_j}}$ we have

$$\left\|oldsymbol{A}_{X_j, \Phi}^{-1}
ight\|_{\infty} \leq C \delta_j^d$$

Getting Convergence

• Allows us to bound interpolants,

$$\|m{s}_{f}\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

and error at each level,

$$\left\| oldsymbol{e}_n
ight\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq D(1+C)^n \left\| f
ight\|_{\mathcal{H}^ au(\Omega)}$$

 Using Conditional Brownian Motion in rapidly exhaustible domains [Falkner, 1987] gives

 $\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega \setminus K_n) \leq C\delta_n$

Hence,

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega\setminus\mathcal{K}_{n})}\leq C\delta_{n}^{1/2}\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}\leq C(1+C)^{n}\delta_{n}^{1/2}\left\|f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\Omega)}$$

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be Lipschitz bounded domain and a sequence of denser quasi-uniform data sets $X_1 \subset \Omega_{\delta_1}, X_2 \subset \Omega_{\delta_2} \ldots$. Further, let Φ be a compactly supported radial basis function with reproducing Hilbert space equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then if the target function $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\Omega), \tau > d/2$ then the multilevel algorithm converges with

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq \left(C_{1}h_{n}^{\tau-\epsilon_{1}}+C_{2}h_{n}^{1/2-\epsilon_{2}}\right)\left\|f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\Omega)}$$

for some constants C_1 , C_2 , ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 .

If we have $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l < \tau - d/2$ vanishing derivatives of the function on the boundary. Then,

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq \left(D_{1}h_{n}^{\tau-\epsilon_{3}}+D_{2}h_{n}^{l+1/2-\epsilon_{4}}\right)\left\|f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\tau}(\Omega)}$$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Numerical Simulations

Apply the multilevel algorithm with Wendland's radial basis function $\phi_{2,1} \in \mathcal{H}^{2.5}(\Omega)$ to

$$f_k(x) = (\sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y))^k$$

on the domain $\Omega = [-1, 1]^2$.



k	l2order	expected	
0	0.499	0.50	
1	1.51	1.50	
2	2.50	2.50	
3	3.47	2.50	
4	3.40	2.50	
5	3.42	2.50	

Numerical Simulations

Apply the multilevel algorithm with Wendland's radial basis function $\phi_{2,1} \in \mathcal{H}^{2.5}(\Omega)$ to

$$f_k = (1 - x^2)^k (1 - y^2)^k (tanh(100(x - y)) + 1)/9$$

on the domain $\Omega = [-1, 1]^2$.



Level	12	l2 order	CG
1	5.19 <i>e</i> – 02	0.00	1
2	5.19 <i>e</i> – 02	0.00	1
3	1.74 <i>e</i> – 02	1.57	5
4	7.82 <i>e</i> – 03	1.16	37
5	4.32 <i>e</i> – 03	0.85	55
6	2.56 <i>e</i> – 03	0.76	59
7	7.81 <i>e</i> – 04	1.71	60
8	8.16 <i>e</i> – 05	3.26	58
9	5.35 <i>e</i> – 06	3.93	54
10	4.49 <i>e</i> – 07	3.57	59

- Convergence Results for variations on the multilevel algorithm.
- Multilevel algorithm backwards.
- Galerkin Methods for solving PDEs.
- Optimising constants.