

## 3040 HW 2

1. (a) Let  $n, n + 1, n + 2$  be three arbitrary consecutive integers. Then their sum is  $n + (n + 1) + (n + 2) = 3(n + 1)$ , which is divisible by 3 by definition.
- (b) Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume  $ab \mid m$ . By definition,  $m = (ab)k$  for some integer  $k$ . Thus, since  $m = a(bk)$  and  $bk$  is also an integer,  $a \mid m$  by definition. Similarly, noting  $ak$  is an integer shows that  $b \mid m$ .
- (c) We prove the contrapositive. Let  $x$  odd so  $x = 2k + 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $x^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$ . Since  $(2k^2 + 2k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x^2$  is odd as well.

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m - n) - (p - q) &\stackrel{1.25(i)}{=} (m - n) + ((-p) + -(-q)) \\
 &\stackrel{1.22(i)}{=} (m - n) + ((-p) + q) \\
 &\stackrel{1.1(i),(ii)}{=} (m + q) + ((-n) + (-p)) \\
 &\stackrel{1.25(i)}{=} (m + q) - (n + p)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m - n)(p - q) &\stackrel{1.11(i)}{=} (mp + (-n)p) + (m(-q) + (-n)(-q)) \\
 &\stackrel{1.25(iii)}{=} (mp - np) + (-mq) + (-n)(-q) \\
 &\stackrel{1.20}{=} (mp - np) + (-mq) + nq \\
 &\stackrel{1.1(i),(ii)}{=} (mp + nq) + (-mq) + -(np) \\
 &\stackrel{1.25(i)}{=} (mp + nq) - (mq + np)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) By 1.25(i) we distribute the negative showing  $(m - n) - (p - q) = (m - n) + ((-p) + -(-q))$ . Since  $q + (-q) = 0$ ,  $-(-q) = q$ , and thus  $(m - n) + ((-p) + -(-q)) = (m - n) + ((-p) + q)$ . By associativity and commutativity of addition, we rearrange  $(m - n) + ((-p) + q) = (m + q) + ((-n) + (-p))$ . Another application of 1.25(i) then shows  $(m + q) + ((-n) + (-p)) = (m + q) - (n + p)$  as hoped.

3. Let P1 be the player going first and P2 the player going second.

- (a) Let  $n$  be the length of the bridge and 3 be the maximum step size. By (b) we have that P2 has a winning strategy if  $4 \mid n$ , and P1 has a winning strategy otherwise.
- (b) Let  $n$  be the length of the bridge and  $k$  be the maximum step size.

**Claim 1:** P2 has a winning strategy if  $(k + 1) \mid n$

**Proof:** By assumption,  $n = (k + 1)p$  for some positive integer  $p$ . We induct on  $p$ :

When  $p = 1$ , initial distance between P1 and P2 is  $k + 1$ . P1 must first move  $x \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  steps, reducing the distance to  $(k + 1 - x) \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Thus P2 may move  $k + 1 - x$  steps to win the game.

Assume P2 has a winning strategy on a bridge of length  $(k + 1)p$ . If the bridge has initial length  $(k + 1)(p + 1) = (k + 1)p + (k + 1)$  then P1 must first move  $x \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  steps, reducing the distance to  $(k + 1)p + (k + 1) - x$  steps. Let P2 then move  $(k + 1 - x) \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . As it is now P1's turn and the distance between them is  $(k + 1)p$ , P2 has a winning strategy by induction.

**Claim 2** P1 has a winning strategy if  $(k + 1) \nmid n$

**Proof:** If  $(k + 1) \nmid n$ , then  $n = p(k + 1) + r$  for some  $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . So, let P1 first move  $r$  steps. The game is now equivalent to one of length  $p(k + 1)$ , but with P2 going first. Thus from claim 1, P1 has a winning strategy.