

# Eilenberg–MacLane Spectra as Thom Spectra

David Mehrle

April 17, 2019

## 1 Introduction

The goal of these two lectures is to prove a theorem, originally due to Bökstedt:

**Theorem 1.1** (Bökstedt).

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[x], \quad |x| = 2$$

This was originally proved by a tedious spectral sequences argument, but that's not the approach we'll take. Instead, we'll take advantage of two different theorems.

**Theorem 1.2** (Hopkins–Mahowald). *There is an equivalence of  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -ring spectra*

$$\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_p \simeq \mathrm{Mf}_p,$$

where  $f_p: \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow BGL_1(\widehat{S}_p)$  is the map determined by  $1 - p \in \pi_1 BGL_1(\widehat{S}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Blumberg–Cohen–Schlichtkrull). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -map of spaces. Assume that the  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -structure on  $\mathrm{Mf}$  extends to an  $\mathbb{E}_3$ -structure. Then there is an equivalence of  $\mathbb{E}_1$ - $R$ -module spectra*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{Mf}/R) \simeq \mathrm{Mf} \otimes BX_+$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We compute only  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The computation of  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$  is similar. By ??, it suffices to compute  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{Mf}_p)$ . By Theorem 1.3,

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{Mf}_p) \simeq \mathrm{Mf}_p \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty B(\Omega^2 S^3) \simeq \mathrm{Mf}_p \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty \Omega S^3 \simeq \mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty \Omega S^3.$$

So as a spectrum,  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathrm{H}\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty \Omega S^3$ . The homotopy of this spectrum is (by definition!) the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology of  $\Omega S^3$ , which can be calculated by the Serre spectral sequence associated to the fibration

$$\Omega S^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}([0, 1], S^3) \rightarrow S^3.$$

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s(S^3; H_t(\Omega S^3; \mathbb{F}_p)) \implies H_{s+t}(\mathrm{Map}([0, 1], S^3); \mathbb{F}_p) = 0.$$

I leave this as an exercise (see Hatcher's spectral sequences book). □

Last time we focused on the Hopkins–Mahowald theorem, and constructed some Thom spectra. This time, we'll focus on the Blumberg–Cohen–Schlichtkrull theorem and use it to do some computations. But first, some reminders on Thom spectra.

## 2 Thom Spectra

Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring spectrum.

**Definition 2.1.** Given a local system of  $R$ -modules,  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$ , the associated Thom spectrum is

$$Mf = \operatorname{colim}(i \circ f) = \operatorname{colim}(X \xrightarrow{f} BGL_1(R) \xrightarrow{i} R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}).$$

If  $f$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -map for some  $n$ , then  $Mf$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ - $R$ -module.

Here  $GL_1(R)$  is defined by the homotopy pullback of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GL_1(R) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty(R) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ (\pi_0 R)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 R \end{array}$$

This is an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra in spaces, and if  $R$  is an  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum, then  $GL_1(R)$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra in spaces.

**Example 2.2.** If  $X = \Omega^2 \Sigma^2 Y$  for some space  $Y$  (i.e.  $X$  is the free  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra on  $Y$ ), then  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -maps  $\Omega^2 \Sigma^2 Y \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$  are in bijection with maps  $Y \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$ , by the universal property of free  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebras.

In particular, if  $Y = S^1$ , to define a map  $\Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$ , it suffices to pick an element in  $\pi_1 BGL_1(R) \cong \pi_0 GL_1(R) \cong \pi_0(R)^\times$ .

This is how we will construct  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  as a Thom spectrum.

$$H\mathbb{F}_p \simeq M(\Omega^2 S^3 \xrightarrow{f_p} BGL_1(\widehat{S_p})),$$

where the map  $f_p$  is determined by  $1 - p \in \pi_1 BGL_1(\widehat{S_p}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

**Example 2.3.**

$$H\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq M(\tau_{\geq 2} \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^3 \xrightarrow{f_p} BGL_1(\widehat{S_p}))$$

**Example 2.4.**

$$H\mathbb{Z} \simeq M\left(\tau_{\geq 2} \Omega^2 S^3 \xrightarrow{\prod_p f_p} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} BGL_1(\widehat{S_p}) \rightarrow BGL_1(S)\right)$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum and let  $S$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ - $R$ -algebra. Let  $f: X \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$  be a map of  $\mathbb{E}_n$  spaces. Then there is an equivalence of  $\mathbb{E}_n$  rings:*

$$Mf \otimes_R S \simeq M(X \rightarrow BGL_1(R) \rightarrow BGL_1(S)).$$

*Proof.* The map  $BGL_1(R) \rightarrow BGL_1(S)$  corresponds to restriction of the functor  $(-) \otimes_R S: R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$  to the full subgroupoid of  $R$ -modules equivalent to  $R$ . The lemma then follows from the definition of  $Mf$  as a colimit and the fact that tensoring with  $S$  commutes with colimits.  $\square$

**Example 2.6.** Assume  $p > 2$ . Let  $\zeta = \zeta_{p^n-1}$  be a  $(p^n - 1)$ -th root of unity. Consider the map  $f_\zeta: \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow BGL_1(S_p^\wedge[\zeta])$  defined by the element  $1 - p \in \pi_1 BGL_1(S_p^\wedge[\zeta]) = (\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta])^\times$ . Then

$$Mf_\zeta \simeq H\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta] \simeq H\mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

**Example 2.7.**

$$H\mathbb{F}_p[x] \simeq M(\Omega^2 S^3 \xrightarrow{f_p} BGL_1(S_p^\wedge) \rightarrow BGL_1(S_p^\wedge[x])).$$

**Example 2.8.** Consider the homotopy pushout diagram of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_p^\wedge[x] & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto 0} & S_p^\wedge \\ x \mapsto y^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_p^\wedge[y] & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

After tensoring this diagram with  $H\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we obtain the homotopy pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H\mathbb{Z}_p[x] & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto 0} & H\mathbb{Z}_p \\ x \mapsto y^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H\mathbb{Z}_p[y] & \longrightarrow & H\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes R \end{array}$$

But because the map  $H\mathbb{Z}_p[x] \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_p[y], x \mapsto y^2$  is a flat map, we can compute the homotopy pushout as usual in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , where it is isomorphic to  $H\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/x^2$ . Hence, we have

$$R \otimes H\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq H\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/x^2.$$

Therefore, since  $H\mathbb{Z}_p$  is already known to be a Thom spectrum, we can then see that  $H\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/x^2$  is a Thom spectrum, via the composite

$$\tau_{\geq 2} \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^3 \xrightarrow{f_p} BGL_1(S_p^\wedge) \rightarrow BGL_1(R),$$

where  $R$  is the pushout in the diagram above.

**Proposition 2.9.** *For a fixed ring spectrum  $R$ , the Thom spectrum construction defines a functor  $M: \mathcal{S}_{/BGL_1(R)} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$  that is both colimit-preserving and symmetric monoidal with respect to the Cartesian monoidal structure on its domain.*

### 3 THH of these Thom spectra

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, c)$  be a symmetric monoidal category with symmetry  $c$ , and let  $(A, \mu, \eta)$  be a monoid in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The **cyclic bar construction** is the simplicial object  $B^{\text{cyc}}(A)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  given by  $[n] \mapsto A^{\otimes(n+1)}$  with face and degeneracy maps:

$$d_i = \begin{cases} \text{id}_{A^{\otimes i}} \otimes \mu \otimes \text{id}_{A^{\otimes(n-i+1)}} & (i < n) \\ c_{A^{\otimes(n)}, A} \circ \mu \otimes \text{id}_{A^{\otimes(n-1)}} & (i = n) \end{cases}$$

$$s_i = \text{id}_{A^{\otimes(i+1)}} \otimes \eta \otimes \text{id}_{A^{\otimes(n-i)}}$$

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is instead a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, we can define a similar object as a functor from the nerve  $N(\Delta^{\text{op}})$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Example 3.2.** If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{k}\text{-Mod}$ , then  $B^{\text{cyc}}(A)$  corresponds to the complex defining Hochschild homology, and its realization is a space  $\text{HH}(A)$  whose homotopy is Hochschild homology of  $A$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a ring spectrum. The **topological Hochschild homology** of  $A$  is the realization of the cyclic bar construction of  $A$  in the category of spectra, i.e.

$$\text{THH}(A) := |B^{\text{cyc}}(A)| = \text{colim}_{N\Delta^{\text{op}}}([n] \mapsto A^{\otimes n}).$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -module spectrum. The **relative THH** of  $A$  is the realization of the cyclic bar construction of  $A$  in the category of  $R$ -module spectra. It is denoted  $\text{THH}(A/R)$ .

We have already seen how we can use [Theorem 1.3](#) to compute THH in various cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THH}(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_p) &\simeq \mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \Omega S^3 \\ \text{THH}(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}_p) &\simeq \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \tau_{\geq 3} \Omega S^3 \\ \text{THH}(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}) &\simeq \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z} \otimes \tau_{\geq 3} \Omega S^3 \end{aligned}$$

but what about the new Thom spectra? The flaw with [Theorem 1.3](#) is that it computes relative THH, not absolute THH (relative to  $S$ ). We want to compute  $\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[x])$ , for example, but [Theorem 1.3](#) can only tell us  $\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/S_p[x])$ .

We can try to address some of these difficulties with the **base change property** of THH: if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $R$ -algebra spectra, then

$$\text{THH}(B/R) \otimes_{\text{THH}(A/R)} A \simeq \text{THH}(B/A).$$

Let's try to use this to compute  $\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[x])$  from  $\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/S[x])$ :  $R = S$ ,  $A = S[x]$ , and  $B = \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Then:

$$\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[x]) \otimes_{\text{THH}(S[x])} S[x] \simeq \text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/S[x]).$$

The problem now is that we're working backwards, and we need to know  $\text{THH}(S[x])$ .