

10308

Author(s): Robert Connelly, John H. Hubbard, Ilias Kastanas and MMRS

Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 103, No. 5 (May, 1996), pp. 430-431

Published by: Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2974941>

Accessed: 18-01-2016 16:13 UTC

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



*Mathematical Association of America* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

group of order  $n$ , respectively. If  $S_4$  acts as a permutation group on four letters, it is easy to see that  $S_3$  must be the stabilizer of some letter and that  $C_4$  is generated by a 4-cycle. Thus  $S_3 \cap C_4$  is trivial. This is a contradiction, since both contain  $C_2$ .

*Editorial comment.* Vladimir Božin and Robin J. Chapman each noted that the answer would be *Yes* if  $\mathcal{X}$  were restricted to finite abelian groups.

Solved also by D. Alvis, R. Barbara (Lebanon), V. Božin (student, Yugoslavia), R. J. Chapman (U. K.), S. M. Gagola Jr., R. Holzinger, O. P. Lossers (The Netherlands), the MMRS group of Oklahoma State University, and the proposers.

### A Collinear Configuration

**10308** [1993, 498]. *Proposed by Robert Connelly and John H. Hubbard, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, and Walter Whiteley, York University, North York, Ontario, Canada.*

Suppose that  $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$  are six points in the plane and that the distance between  $p_i$  and  $q_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) is  $i + j$ . Show that the six points are collinear.

*Solution I by Ilias Kastanas, California State University, Los Angeles, CA.* Let the coordinates of  $p_i, q_j$  be  $(x_i, y_i), (a_j, b_j)$  respectively. Without loss of generality, let  $(x_2, y_2) = (2, 0)$  and  $(a_2, b_2) = (-2, 0)$ . Then we have the equations

$$(x_i - a_j)^2 + (y_i - b_j)^2 = (i + j)^2. \quad (E_{ij})$$

By taking  $E_{11} - (E_{12} + E_{21}), E_{33} - (E_{32} + E_{23}), E_{13} - (E_{12} + E_{23}),$  and  $E_{31} - (E_{32} + E_{21})$  we get

$$(x_1 - 2)(a_1 + 2) + y_1 b_1 + 1 = 0,$$

$$(x_3 - 2)(a_3 + 2) + y_3 b_3 + 1 = 0,$$

$$(x_1 - 2)(a_3 + 2) + y_1 b_3 - 1 = 0,$$

$$(x_3 - 2)(a_1 + 2) + y_3 b_1 - 1 = 0.$$

Therefore,  $(1 + y_1 b_1)(1 + y_3 b_3) = (x_1 - 2)(a_1 + 2)(x_3 - 2)(a_3 + 2) = (1 - y_1 b_3)(1 - y_3 b_1)$ , from which  $(y_1 + y_3)(b_1 + b_3) = 0$ . Suppose that  $y_1 + y_3 = 0$  (the case  $b_1 + b_3 = 0$  is similar). Then, adding the first and last of the four equations displayed above, we get  $(a_1 + 2)(x_1 + x_3 - 4) = 0$ . If  $a_1 + 2 = 0$ ,  $E_{21}$  would give the contradiction  $b_1^2 = -7$ , so  $x_1 + x_3 = 4$ . By  $E_{32} - E_{12}$ , it follows that  $8(x_3 - x_1) = 16$ , so  $x_1 = 1, x_3 = 3$ , and  $E_{12}, E_{32}$  then imply  $y_1 = y_3 = 0$ . It follows that  $a_1 = -1, a_3 = -3, b_1 = b_3 = 0$ , and all six points are on the  $x$ -axis.

*Solution II by MMRS, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK.* We shall view the points as complex numbers. Consider four points  $p_i, p_j, q_k,$  and  $q_l$ , with  $i \neq j$  and  $k \neq l$ . Suppose the line segments  $p_i q_k$  and  $p_j q_l$  have a point  $x$  in common (which might be an endpoint). Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|x - p_i| + |x - q_l| \geq |p_i - q_l|$$

and

$$|x - p_j| + |x - q_k| \geq |p_j - q_k|;$$

where equality holds in both inequalities if and only if  $p_i, p_j, q_k, q_l$  are all collinear and  $x$  is on the line segments  $p_i q_l$  and  $p_j q_k$ . Adding the inequalities, we obtain

$$|x - p_i| + |x - q_l| + |x - p_j| + |x - q_k| \geq |p_i - q_l| + |p_j - q_k|,$$

and, since  $x$  is on both  $p_i q_k$  and  $p_j q_l$ , this simplifies to

$$|p_i - q_k| + |p_j - q_l| \geq |p_i - q_l| + |p_j - q_k|.$$

However, by hypothesis both sides are equal to  $i + j + k + l$ . Therefore, equality does hold in all inequalities above, and so  $p_i, p_j, q_k, q_l$  are collinear. Furthermore, since  $x$  is on all four segments connecting  $p$ 's to  $q$ 's, these four points must be ordered so that the  $p$ 's are on the opposite side of  $x$  from the  $q$ 's.

Now, the line segments connecting all three  $p$ 's to all three  $q$ 's form a complete bipartite graph  $K_{3,3}$ . Since this graph is well known to be nonplanar, there must be two segments  $p_i q_k$  and  $p_j q_l$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $k \neq l$  that have a point  $x$  in common. By the above, the four points  $p_i, p_j, q_k, q_l$  are then collinear. We may also assume that they appear on their common line in this order. Consider the remaining two points  $p_m$  and  $q_n$ . Apply the above argument to  $p_i, p_m, q_l$ , and  $q_k$  with  $x = q_k$ , we see that  $p_m$  is also on the line containing  $p_i, p_j, q_k$ , and  $q_l$ . Similarly,  $q_n$  is on this line.

*Editorial comment.* Most solvers used coordinates of the points, sometimes encoded as vectors or complex numbers, leading to a system of equations resembling that considered in Solution I. Geometric considerations often guided the solution of these equations. Another approach was taken by Frank Schmidt. Nine of the fifteen distances between the six points are given, and he introduced six variables representing the remaining distances. Using the vanishing of the Cayley-Menger determinant (see M. Berger, *Geometry I*, Springer-Verlag, 1977, p. 239) as a criterion for four points to lie in a plane, he obtained equations relating them. These equations have a unique solution. These distances can then be used in general to locate the points in the plane; and in this case, to verify that they lie on a line.

Solved also by E. Aichinger (student, Austria), D. Alvis, J. Anglesio (France), R. Barbara (Lebanon), V. Božin (student, Yugoslavia), M. Brahm, R. J. Chapman (U. K.), H. S. Gunaratne (Brunei), J. G. Heuver (Canada), R. D. Hurwitz, O. P. Lossers (The Netherlands), A. D. Melas (Greece), I. Praton & E. P. Venugopal (student), P. Rennert, F. Schmidt, N. S. Thornber, PCC Math Problem Solvers Group, and the proposers.

### Binomial Coefficient Growth

**10310** [1993, 499]. *Proposed by E. Rodney Canfield, University of Georgia, Athens, GA.*

Fix an integer  $r \geq 2$ . Using Stirling's formula we may find constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$\binom{rm}{m} \sim \frac{c_1(c_2)^m}{m^{1/2}}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Prove that the ratio  $\binom{rm}{m} m^{1/2} / c_2^m$  is an increasing function of  $m$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

*Solution by MMRS, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK.* Taking logarithms, we see that it suffices to prove that

$$f(m) = \log \Gamma(rm + 1) - \log \Gamma(m + 1) - \log \Gamma((r - 1)m + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \log m - m \log c_2$$

is increasing in  $m$  when  $m \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ . By Binet's formula for  $\log \Gamma(z)$  (see E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Fourth Edition, Cambridge, 1927, p. 249),

$$\log \Gamma(z + 1) = \log z + \log \Gamma(z) = g(z) + h(z)$$

where

$$g(z) = \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z + C,$$

with  $C = (1/2) \log 2\pi$ , and

$$h(z) = \int_0^\infty j(t) e^{-tz} \frac{dt}{t}$$

with