

## Math 4310 Homework 3 Solutions

**Problem 1.** (a) This is a subspace. We check:

- It's nonempty because it contains the zero vector  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .
- If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is in the set then  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ , and multiplying by any scalar  $a$  we get  $ax_1 + \dots + ax_n = 0$  so  $a(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (ax_1, \dots, ax_n)$  is in the set.
- If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are in the set then adding the equations  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$  and  $y_1 + \dots + y_n = 0$  and rearranging tells us  $(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$  is in the set.

(b) This is a subspace. It certainly contains the zero polynomial, and is closed under scalar multiplication and addition because those are done coefficientwise and if we start with the  $x$  coefficient equal to zero we'll end up with that as well.

(c) This is not a subspace. It's not closed under scalar multiplication or addition: we have  $x^2$  is in the set but  $2 \cdot x^2 = x^2 + x^2$  is not.

**Problem 2.** (a) We can prove this by induction on  $n$ . The base case of  $n = 0$  is trivial;  $\mathcal{P}_0(F)$  is the space of constant polynomials, so the set  $\{1\}$  is linearly independent (because 1 is nonzero) and spans (because any constant polynomial is a multiple of 1).

For the inductive step, assume that  $n > 1$  and that we know the result for  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(F)$ . To see the set spans, consider an arbitrary polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathcal{P}_n(F)$ . If  $a_n$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $f(x)$ , then  $f(x) - a_n(x+1)^n$  has 0 as the coefficient of  $x^n$  and thus is a polynomial of degree at most  $n-1$ ; by inductive hypothesis it can be written as a linear combination of  $1, (x+1), \dots, (x+1)^{n-1}$ . Rearranging we have  $f(x)$  written as a linear combination of  $1, (x+1), \dots, (x+1)^n$ , proving that it spans.

Similarly, for linear independence assume we have a dependence relation

$$0 = a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1(x+1) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x+1)^{n-1} + a_n(x+1)^n.$$

Comparing coefficients of  $x^n$  for both sides we get that  $0 = a_n$ , and thus we actually have a dependence relation of the form

$$0 = a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1(x+1) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x+1)^{n-1}$$

in  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(F)$ ; by induction all of the coefficients must be zero, so the dependence relation is trivial.

(b) This is essentially immediate from the definition. A polynomial is a finite sum of the form  $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ , i.e. a linear combination from the set of monomials, so this set spans. Moreover, the set is linearly independent because if we have an equality of polynomials  $0 = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$  then by definition this means the coefficients  $a_i$  are all zero.

**Problem 3.** To prove  $E \cup W$  spans  $V$ , we need to show that for any  $v \in V$  we can write  $v$  as a linear combination of elements in  $E$ . By assumption that  $E'$  spans  $V/W$ , if we take the coset  $v + W$  we can write  $v + W$  as a linear combination of elements of  $E'$ , i.e.

$$v + W = a_1(e_1 + W) + \dots + a_n(e_n + W) = (a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n) + W$$

for  $a_i \in F$  and  $e_i + W \in E'$  (so  $e_i \in E$ ). Then by definition of equality of cosets, this means we can write

$$v = (a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n) + w = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + 1 \cdot w$$

for some  $w \in W$ ; so we've written  $v$  as a linear combination in  $E \cup W$  as desired.

**Problem 4.** As stated, this is a formal but somewhat tedious check; for the following we let  $(v, w)$ ,  $(v_1, w_1)$ ,  $(v_2, w_2)$ , and  $(v_3, w_3)$  denote an arbitrary element  $V \times W$  and  $a, b$  denote arbitrary elements of  $F$ .

1.  $(v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2) = (v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2) = (v_2 + v_1, w_2 + w_1) = (v_2, w_2) + (v_1, w_1)$ .
2.  $[(v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2)] + (v_3, w_3) = (v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2) + (v_3, w_3) = ((v_1 + v_2) + v_3, (w_1 + w_2) + w_3) = (v_1 + (v_2 + v_3), w_1 + (w_2 + w_3)) = (v_1, w_1) + (v_2 + v_3, w_2 + w_3) = (v_1, w_1) + [(v_2, w_2) + (v_3, w_3)]$ .
3. The additive identity is  $(0, 0)$  because  $(v, w) + (0, 0) = (v + 0, w + 0) = (v, w)$ .
4. The additive inverse of  $(v, w)$  is  $(-v, -w)$  because  $(v, w) + (-v, -w) = (v - v, w - w) = (0, 0)$ .
5.  $a((v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2)) = a(v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2) = (a(v_1 + v_2), a(w_1 + w_2)) = (av_1 + av_2, aw_1 + aw_2) = (av_1, aw_1) + (av_2, aw_2) = a(v_1, w_1) + a(v_2, w_2)$ .
6.  $(a + b)(v, w) = ((a + b)v, (a + b)w) = (av + bv, aw + bw) = (av, aw) + (bv, bw) = a(v, w) + b(v, w)$ .
7.  $a(b(v, w)) = a(bv, bw) = (a(bv), a(bw)) = ((ab)v, (ab)w) = (ab) \cdot (v, w)$ .
8.  $1 \cdot (v, w) = (1 \cdot v, 1 \cdot w) = (v, w)$ .

**Problem 5.** (a) The set  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is nonempty because the zero sequence is certainly uniformly bounded (say, by  $M = 1$ ). It's closed under scalar multiplication because if  $(a_i)$  is a sequence uniformly bounded by some constant  $M$ , and  $b$  is a scalar in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $b \cdot (a_i) = (b \cdot a_i)$  is uniformly bounded by  $|b|M + 1$  (if  $|a_i| < M$  for all  $i$ , then  $|ba_i| < |b|M$  for all  $M$ , except in the trivial case  $b = 0$ ). It's closed under addition because if  $(a_i)$  is uniformly bounded by  $M$  and  $(b_i)$  is uniformly bounded by  $N$ , then  $(a_i) + (b_i) = (a_i + b_i)$  is uniformly bounded by  $M + N$  (we have  $|a_i + b_i| \leq |a_i| + |b_i| < M + N$ ).

(b) Again, the zero sequence is in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{R})$  since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 0$  converges. This set is closed under scalar multiplication because we know if  $\sum |a_n|$  converges then so does  $\sum b|a_n|$  for any scalar  $b$ , and closed under addition because if  $\sum |a_n|$  and  $\sum |b_n|$  converge then so does  $\sum (|a_n| + |b_n|)$  and thus so does  $\sum |a_n + b_n|$  by the comparison test.

**Problem 6.** (a) First of all,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$  is nonempty because it contains the vector of all zeros (since *all* of its coordinates are equal to zero, so certainly all but finitely many are). It's closed under scalar multiplication because of all but finitely many coordinates of  $(v_i)$  are zero, then the same entries of  $a \cdot (v_i) = (av_i)$  are zero. It's closed under addition because if all but finitely many coordinates of both  $(v_i)$  and  $(w_i)$  are zero, then so are all but finitely many coordinates of  $(v_i) + (w_i) = (v_i + w_i)$  (certainly the only coordinates that can be nonzero are the finitely many where at least one of  $v_i \neq 0$  or  $w_i \neq 0$ ).

(b) A bijective correspondence between  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F$  and  $F[[x]]$  can be given by having a sequence  $(a_i)$  correspond to the formal power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1}x^n$ . (We need to use this shift because I wrote my infinite product starting at  $n = 1$ , but the power series starts at  $n = 0$ ). This is certainly a bijection because the power series is exactly determined by its sequence of coefficients!

Moreover, under this bijection, the subspace  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F$  corresponds to the subspace of polynomials  $F[x]$ . This is because a polynomial can be thought of as a formal power series with only finitely many terms - i.e. such that all but finitely many of the coefficients are zero! So a sequence  $(a_n)$  is in the direct sum iff all but finitely many of the coordinates are zero, iff all but finitely many of the associated power series  $\sum a_n x^n$  are zero, iff that power series is actually a polynomial.