

A brief tour of Grothendieck-Teichmüller theory

Daniel Miller

September 2, 2014

Everything in this brief note is inspired by Grothendieck's revolutionary letter [\[Gro97\]](#).

1 Motivation from topology

Let's start with a slightly unorthodox take on the (standard) fundamental group of a topological space. Let X be a “nice” space (e.g. a manifold) and let $x \in X$ be a chosen basepoint. Let $p : C \rightarrow X$ be a cover. If $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x)$ is a path, it induces a permutation of the set $p^{-1}(x)$ in the usual way [draw picture]. We get in this way the *monodromy representation* $\rho_C : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(p^{-1}(x))$.

Introduce a bit of notation and write $F_x(C) = p^{-1}(x)$ if $C \xrightarrow{p} X$ is a cover. The monodromy representation is functorial in the sense that it gives us a representation $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_x)$. In fact, this “universal” monodromy representation is an isomorphism, i.e. $\pi_1(X, x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}(F_x)$. Our general heuristic towards fundamental groups will be that there is a category \mathcal{C} of “covers” and a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{set}$. One puts $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Aut}(F)$. This is naturally a topological group, and if everything is sufficiently nice, induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{set}(\pi)$.

Finally, recall a bit of group theory. If $1 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is a short exact sequence of groups, then there is a natural representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi)$. For $g \in G$, put $\rho(g)(x) = \tilde{g}x\tilde{g}^{-1}$. It is essentially trivial that the class of $\rho(g)$ in $\text{Out}(\pi)$ does not depend on the choice of a lift \tilde{g} of g to H .

2 Some Galois theory

Let $q = p^f$ be a prime power, and let \mathbf{F}_q be the finite field with q elements. Let $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_q$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbf{F}_q . Let's compute $G_{\mathbf{F}_q} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_q/\mathbf{F}_q)$. Let $\text{fr}_q(x) = x^q$; this gives an element $\text{fr}_q \in G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$. So then $\text{fr}_q^{\mathbf{Z}} \subset G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$. But we haven't exhausted $G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$. Choose a sequence of numbers $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}/n!$ such that $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{n!}$. Then $\text{fr}_q^{a_n}$ makes sense as an element of $G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$. For $x \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_q$, choose n such that $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^n}$ and put $\text{fr}_q^a(x) = \text{fr}_q^{a_n}(x)$; it is easy to see that this is a well-defined element of $G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ be the group of sequences $\mathbf{a} = (a_n) \in \prod_n \mathbf{Z}/n!$ such that $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{n!}$. This is naturally a compact topological group, and $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \text{fr}_q^{\mathbf{a}}$ is an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$.

It seems that Galois groups are naturally topological groups. Let $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. For $x \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, put $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(x) = \text{Stab}_{G_{\mathbf{Q}}}(x)$. The $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(x)$ form the basis for a topology (the *Krull topology*), with which $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a compact, totally disconnected topological group with a basis of open normal subgroups of finite index. Such groups are called *profinite*. Understanding $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the central object of algebraic number theory. Unfortunately, studying $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ directly has not been very fruitful. The best approach up till now has been to study $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ via its representations. A good source of these representations are the fundamental groups of varieties over \mathbf{Q} .

3 Algebraic fundamental groups

Now let X be a variety over \mathbf{Q} . I won't define this precisely, but you should think of subsets of \mathbf{A}^n or \mathbf{P}^n cut out by polynomials with coefficients in \mathbf{Q} . It makes sense to ask for complex solutions to these polynomial

equations, and $X(\mathbf{C})$ is naturally a topological space. If X is smooth, then $X(\mathbf{C})$ is a complex manifold.

We want a good category of covers of X . We will say that a morphism $p : C \rightarrow X$ of varieties over \mathbf{Q} (that means that the polynomials defining p have coefficients in \mathbf{Q}) is a *cover* if the induced map $f : X(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbf{C})$ is a cover in the sense of differential geometry (a local analytic diffeomorphism). Choose a point $x \in X(\mathbf{Q})$ and let $F_x(C) = p^{-1}(x)$. Since everything is algebraic, $F_x(C)$ is a finite set. Put $\pi_1(X) = \text{Aut}(F_x)$; this is naturally a profinite group. Indeed,

$$\pi_1(X) = \left\{ (\sigma_C) \in \prod_{p:C \rightarrow X} F_x(C) : f \circ \sigma_C = \sigma_D \circ f \text{ for all } f : C \rightarrow D \text{ between covers} \right\}.$$

The group $\prod_C F_x(C)$ is a product of finite (hence compact) groups, so it is compact.

If X is a variety over \mathbf{Q} , let $X_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ be X , except now that we allow maps $f : Y \rightarrow X$ where the equations defining Y and the polynomials defining f have coefficients in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. We can define a category of covers of $X_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ in the same way, and get a fundamental group $\pi_1(X_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}})$. There is a canonical short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow 1.$$

Basically, if $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$, we need to define how γ acts on finite Galois extensions F/\mathbf{Q} . The variety $X \times F$ is a cover of X , so γ acts on $X \times F$. This action must come from one of γ on F itself.

There is a nice comparison theorem. If X is a variety over \mathbf{Q} , then $\pi_1(X_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}})$ is the profinite completion of the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(X(\mathbf{C}))$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}) &= \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \\ \pi_1(\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}) &= \widehat{F}_2 \\ &\dots \\ \pi_1(\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}) &= \widehat{F}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if we choose $x \in X(\mathbf{Q})$, then the surjection $\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has a section. This gives a representation $G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(X_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}))$. We will be interested in a clever choice of X , to be described in the next section.

4 Teichmüller tower

Let $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Riemann sphere. Recall that if $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ are three distinct points in \mathbf{P}^1 , then there is a unique fractional linear transformation $\mu(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ such that $\mu(x_1) = 0$, $\mu(x_2) = 1$ and $\mu(x_3) = \infty$. Let $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ be the group of fractional linear transformations. We can rephrase this by saying that $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ acts simply transitively on $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\Delta \subset (\mathbf{P}^1)^n$ be the “weak diagonal” consisting of all tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) with some $x_i = x_j$. Put

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,n} = ((\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}))^n \setminus \Delta) / \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C}).$$

A priori, this is just a topological space. However, we could have repeated the definition with varieties:

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,n} = ((\mathbf{P}^1)^n \setminus \Delta) / \text{PGL}(2),$$

and gotten a variety over \mathbf{Q} . As a set, $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ is the space of isomorphism classes of n marked points on $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{0,4} &= \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \\ \mathcal{M}_{0,5} &= (\mathcal{M}_{0,4})^2 \setminus \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

There are obvious maps $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ given by “forget a point.” Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{0,\bullet}$ the whole collection of the $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ with these maps. Note that $\dim(\mathcal{M}_{0,n}) = \max\{0, n - 3\}$.

More generally, if $3g - 3 + n \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ be the “moduli space of genus g curves with n marked points. As a topological space, this has an easy description. Let $S_{g,n}$ be a genus g surface with n marked points, let $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ be the space of triples (X, \mathbf{x}, ϕ) where X is a genus g curve, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a tuple of n distinct points in X , and $\phi : S_{g,n} \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ is a diffeomorphism. The space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is simply connected. Let $\Gamma_{g,n} = \pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(S_{g,n}))$, the space of connected components in the group of orientation-preserving, boundary fixing diffeomorphisms of $S_{g,n}$. This is the mapping class group of $S_{g,n}$. The group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ acts freely on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and (topologically) we have $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} = \mathcal{T}_{g,n}/\Gamma_{g,n}$. The space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ exists as a variety of dimension $3g - 3 + n$ over \mathbf{Q} . We will only need $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$. Note that the geometric fundamental group $\pi_1((\mathcal{M}_{g,n})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}) = \Gamma_{g,n}$, where we write $\Gamma_{g,n}$ for the profinite completion of $\Gamma_{g,n}$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{0,4} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, we have $\Gamma_{0,4} = \widehat{F}_2$.

By [Loc97], there is a coherent way of choosing basepoints for the $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ in such a way that the actions of $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ on $\Gamma_{g,n}$ are compatible with the degeneracy maps $\Gamma_{g,n+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{g,n}$. We write $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ for the whole collection of the $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ -s, and $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\bullet,\bullet})$ for the induced action.

5 The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $\widehat{\text{GT}}$

Define $\widehat{\text{GT}} = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\bullet,\bullet})$. By the theory of “base points at infinity” we have a representation $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{GT}}$. A fundamental theorem of Belyĭ is that ρ is an injection. The *Grothendieck-Teichmüller conjecture* states that $G_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\text{GT}}$. Even if this were proved, it wouldn’t a priori be especially helpful if we couldn’t determine $\widehat{\text{GT}}$. Fortunately, it is possible to pin down $\widehat{\text{GT}}$ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\widehat{F}_2)$. First, it is known that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\bullet,\bullet}) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{0,\leq 5})$, i.e. an automorphism of the Teichmüller tower is determined by its restriction to $\Gamma_{0,4}$ and $\Gamma_{0,5}$. Moreover, it is shown in [Sch97] that this restriction has an explicit description.

To be precise, for $(\lambda, f) \in \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times \times [\widehat{F}_2, \widehat{F}_2]$, consider the map $\phi_{\lambda,f} : \widehat{F}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{F}_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\lambda,f}(x) &= x^\lambda \\ \phi_{\lambda,f}(y) &= f^{-1} \cdot y^\lambda \cdot f.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have chosen generators $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}P_5 &= \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_4 : \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \\ &\quad \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \\ &\quad \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 = 1 \\ &\quad (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4)^5 = 1 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and, for $i \in \mathbf{Z}/5$, let $x_{i,i+1} = \sigma_{i-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+2} \sigma_{i+1}^2 \sigma_{i+3}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i-1}$ (check that this is independent of the class of i). A good reference here is [Iha91].

Let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{F}_2)$ be $\theta(x) = y$, $\theta(y) = x$, and $\omega(x) = y$, $\omega(y) = (xy)^{-1}$. Suppose $\phi_{\lambda,f}$ is invertible. Then $\phi_{\lambda,f}$ extends to an automorphism of $\Gamma_{0,5}$ if and only if

$$f(x, y) f(y, x) = 1 \tag{I}$$

$$f(z, x) z^m f(y, z) y^m f(x, y) x^m = 1 \text{ if } xyz = 1 \text{ and } m = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1) \tag{II}$$

$$f(x_{1,2}, x_{2,3}) f(x_{3,4}, x_{4,0}) f(x_{0,1}, x_{1,2}) f(x_{2,3}, x_{3,4}) f(x_{4,0}, x_{0,1}) = 1 \tag{III}$$

The last relation takes place in P_5 , where we interpret $f(a, b)$ (for a, b elements of any group) in the obvious way. So conjecturally $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\widehat{F}_2)$ consisting of $\phi_{\lambda,f}$ satisfying (I), (II), and (III).

Finally. If $p : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Q}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ is a Belyĭ cover, let $\Gamma = p^{-1}[0, 1]$; this is a graph in C with edges marked black and white for lying over 0 and 1. It is an example of a *dessin d’entant*: a connected graph

with a two-coloring of the vertices, for which each edge has endpoints of different colors. See the AMS article *What is a Dessin d'Enfant* by Leonardo Zapponi for examples.

References

- [Gro97] Alexandre Grothendieck. Esquisse d'un programme. In *Geometric Galois actions, 1*, volume 242 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 5–48. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997. With an English translation on pp. 243–283.
- [Iha91] Yasutaka Ihara. Braids, Galois groups, and some arithmetic functions. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, I (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 99–120, Tokyo, 1991. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [Loc97] Pierre Lochak. The fundamental groups at infinity of the moduli spaces of curves. In *Geometric Galois actions, 1*, volume 242 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 139–158. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [Sch97] Leila Schneps. The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $\widehat{\text{GT}}$: a survey. In *Geometric Galois actions, 1*, volume 242 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 183–203. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.