Singularity of power dissipation in fractal AC circuits

Patricia Alonso Ruiz

University of Connecticut

June 18, 2017

Passive linear networks. Resistors



 $(v(x), v(y)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ potential function.

Passive linear networks. Inductors and capacitors

Time-dependent voltage V(t) and current I(t) functions.



Frequency domain. Impedances

Fourier transform:
$$\widehat{V}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt.$$
Inductor: $\widehat{V}(\omega) = i\omega L \widehat{I}(\omega) =: Z_L \widehat{I}(\omega),$ Capacitor: $\widehat{V}(\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega C} \widehat{I}(\omega) =: Z_C \widehat{I}(\omega),$ Resistor: $\widehat{V}(\omega) = R \widehat{I}(\omega) =: Z_R \widehat{I}(\omega).$

Ohm's law revisited



 $(v(\omega, x), v(\omega, y)) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ potential function.

Electromotive force

From now on: frequency ω is fixed, φ phase shift.

$$V_{xy}(t)=|V_{xy}|e^{i\omega t}, \quad I_{xy}(t)=|I_{xy}|e^{i(\omega t-arphi)}, \quad Z_{xy}=|Z_{xy}|e^{iarphi}.$$

Electromotive force

$$\mathsf{emf}_{xy}(t) = I_{xy}(t)Z_{xy} = |I_{xy}||Z_{xy}|e^{i\omega t},$$

Power dissipation

Average energy loss

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Re(\mathsf{emf}_{xy}(t))\Re(I_{xy}(t))\,dt=\cdots=\frac{1}{2}|I_{xy}|^2\Re(Z_{xy}).$$

Power dissipation of the potential $(v(x), v(y)) \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{P}[v]_{Z_{xy}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Re(Z_{xy})}{|Z_{xy}|^2} |v(x) - v(y)|^2.$$

Power dissipation in graphs

Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ be a finite graph, $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_{xy}, \{x, y\} \in E\}$ a network on \mathcal{G} and $\ell(V) = \{v \colon V \to \mathbb{C}\}$. The quadratic form

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}[v] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} \frac{\Re(Z_{xy})}{|Z_{xy}|^2} |v(x) - v(y)|^2$$

is the power dissipation in $\mathcal G$ associated with the network $\mathcal Z.$

• If
$$Z_{x,y}$$
, I_{xy} , v real, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} \frac{1}{Z_{xy}} (v(x) - v(y))^2$.

Power dissipation in an infinite network. The infinite ladder

Feynman's infinite ladder network [4]



If $\omega^2 LC < 4$, the characteristic impedance of the circuit satisfies

 $\Re(Z_{xy}^{\text{eff}}) > 0$

even though all elements in the circuit have purely imaginary impedances!

The Feynman-Sierpinski ladder

Infinite network $\mathcal{Z}_{FS} = \{Z_{xy}, \{x, y\} \in E_{\infty}\}.$



Capacitors $Z_C = \frac{1}{i\omega C}$, inductors $Z_L = i\omega L$.

Theorem [2]: The effective impedance of the Feynman-Sierpinski ladder has positive real part whenever

$$9(4 - \sqrt{15}) < 2\omega^2 LC < 9(4 + \sqrt{15})$$
 (FC)

(filter condition).

In this case,

$$Z_{\mathsf{FS}}^{\mathsf{eff}} = \frac{1}{10\omega C} \bigg((9 + 2\omega^2 LC)i + \sqrt{144\omega^2 LC - 4(\omega^2 LC)^2 - 81} \bigg).$$

From infinite graphs to fractals

Underlying infinite graph structure \mathcal{G}_{∞} approximated by finite graphs $\mathcal{G}_n = (V_n, E_n), n \ge 0$.



• $\pi: \mathcal{G}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}^2$

• $\pi(\mathcal{G}_0) \subseteq \pi_1(\mathcal{G}_1) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \pi_n(\mathcal{G}_n) \subseteq \ldots$

The fractal Q_∞

The unique compact set $\mathcal{Q}_\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$Q_{\infty} = \overline{igcup_{n\geq 0} \pi(\mathcal{G}_n)}^{\mathsf{Eucl}}$$

is a fractal quantum graph.

The fractal K_{∞}

The set

$$\mathcal{K}_{\infty} = \mathcal{Q}_{\infty} \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \pi(\mathring{E}_n)$$

is the union of countable many isolated points (nodes in V_*) and a Cantor dust C_{∞} (accumulation points).

Observations/consequences

• Identify V_n with $\pi(V_n)$,

•
$$V_* = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} V_n$$
 is dense in K_∞ ,

• K_{∞} is compact in the Euclidean topology.

Networks on \mathcal{G}_n



Networks on \mathcal{G}_n

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n} = \{ Z_{\varepsilon,xy} \mid \{x,y\} \in E_n \}, \qquad Z_{\varepsilon,xy} = Z_{xy} + \varepsilon.$$



(For completeness, $Z_{\varepsilon}^{\mathsf{eff}} := \lim_{n \to \infty} Z_{\varepsilon,n}^{\mathsf{eff}}$.)

Theorem [2]: Under (FC), the network $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}$ approximates the Sierpinski ladder \mathcal{Z} in the sense that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \lim_{n \to \infty} Z_{\varepsilon,n}^{\text{eff}} = Z_{\text{FS}}^{\text{eff}},$$

where $Z_{\varepsilon,n}^{\text{eff}}$ is the effective impedance of $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}$.

Up to now, assume that (FC) holds.

Towards power dissipation in K_{∞}

The power dissipation in V_* associated with the Feynman-Sierpinski ladder is the quadratic form

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{FS}}[v] := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}}[v_{|_{V_n}}],$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}} \colon \ell(V_n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the power dissipation in \mathcal{G}_n associated with $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}$.

 $\mathsf{dom}\,\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{FS}} := \{ v \in \ell(\mathit{V}_*) \mid \, \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{FS}}[v] < \infty \}$

- meaningful functions in this set?
- extension of functions?

Harmonic functions

• A function $h \in \ell(V_*)$ is harmonic if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,0}}[h_{|_{V_0}}] = \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}}[h_{|_{V_n}}] \qquad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

• Notation:
$$\mathcal{H}_{FS}(V_*) := \{h \in \ell(V_*) \text{ harmonic}\}.$$

▶ For any $h \in \mathcal{H}_{FS}(V_*)$

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{FS}}[h] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon,n}}[h_{|_{V_n}}].$$

Harmonic extension rule

Theorem [2]: For any $h \in \mathcal{H}_{FS}(V_*)$, j = 1, 2, 3, $h_{|_{G_j(V_0)}} = A_j h_{|_{V_0}}$, where

$$A_{1} = \frac{1}{9Z_{C} + 5Z_{FS}^{eff}} \begin{pmatrix} 3Z_{C} + 5Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} & 3Z_{C} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} & 3Z_{C} + 5Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} & 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{eff} \\ 3Z_{C} + 2Z_{FS}^{ef$$

Observations

• A_1, A_2, A_3 have the same eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{3Z_{\mathsf{FS}}^{\mathsf{eff}}}{9Z_{\mathsf{C}} + 5Z_{\mathsf{FS}}^{\mathsf{eff}}}, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{3}\lambda_2,$$

▶ span{u₁} = {constant harmonic functions},

▶ |λ₃| < |λ₂| < 1. Otherwise, P_{FS}[h] = P_{Z₀}[A_jh_{|V₀}] (power dissipation concentrates in one single cell, a contradiction).

Continuity of harmonic functions

Theorem (A.R.'17): Harmonic functions are continuous on V_* .

Harmonic extension and power dissipation

Lemma: There exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}[A_j h_0] \le r^2 \, \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}[h_0] \qquad \forall \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

and any non-constant function $h_0 \in \ell(V_0)$.



• Harmonic functions are well-defined on K_{∞} ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{FS}}(K_{\infty}) = \{h \colon K_{\infty} \to \mathbb{C} \mid h_{|_{V_*}} \text{ harmonic on } V_* \}.$$

• Well-defined power dissipation in K_{∞} ,

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{FS}}[h] = \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{FS}}[h_{|_{V_*}}], \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{FS}}(K_\infty).$$

Power dissipation measure

Theorem (A.R.'17): For each non-constant $h \in \mathcal{H}_{FS}(K_{\infty})$, power dissipation induces a continuous measure ν_h on K_{∞} with supp $\nu_h = C_{\infty}$.

Define

$$\nu_{h}(T_{w}) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_{+}} \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ \{x, y\} \in E_{n}}} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in T_{w} \cap V_{n} \\ \{x, y\} \in E_{n}}} \mathsf{P}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon, n}}[h]_{xy}$$

for each *m*-cell T_w .

Oscillations

Corollary: For any *m*-cell T_w ,

$$\nu_h(T_w) \asymp \operatorname{osc}(h_{|_{T_w}})^2.$$

Self-similar measure on K_{∞}

Bernouilli measure μ on K_{∞} :

$$\mu(T_{w_1\ldots w_n})=\mu_{w_1}\cdots \mu_{w_n}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i=1.$$

• supp $\mu = \mathcal{C}_{\infty}$,

• (C_{∞}, μ) is probability space,

• take
$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Singularity of power dissipation

Theorem (A.R.'17): Assume that for any non-constant $h \in \mathcal{H}_{FS}(K_{\infty})$ such that $h_{|_{V_0}} = v_0$

$$x \mapsto \|D_{\mathsf{P}_0} M_n(x) \dots M_1(x) v_0\|$$

is non-constant for some $n \ge 1$. Then, the measure ν_h is singular with respect to μ .

Summary

- Power dissipation on an infinite (fractal) AC network
- harmonic potentials are continuous
- (non-atomic) power dissipation measure
- singularity of power dissipation measure

References

- P. Alonso Ruiz, Power dissipation in fractal Feynman-Sierpinski ac circuits, (2017), arXiv:1701.08039.
- L. Anderson, U. Andrews, A. Brzoska, J. P. Chen, A. Coffey, H. Davis, L. Fisher, M. Hansalik, S. Loew, L. G. Rogers, and A. Teplyaev, *Power dissipation in fractal AC circuits*, Journal of Physics A (2017), accepted.
- O. Ben-Bassat, R. S. Strichartz, and A. Teplyaev, What is not in the domain of the Laplacian on Sierpinski gasket type fractals, J. Funct. Anal. 166 (1999), no. 2, 197–217.
- R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman lectures on physics. Vol. 2: Mainly electromagnetism and matter*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-London, 1964.

Thank you for your attention!