## Mathematics 4340 A presentation for the symmetric group Ken Brown, Cornell University, March 2009

Here is a slightly different way to organize the solution to additional problem 3 on Assignment 9 than the one in the suggested outline. It may be a little clearer.

The crux of the matter is to prove that the group G defined by the given generators and relations has order  $\leq n!$ . I'll prove the following sharper statement: Given any word in the generators  $t_i$ , we can use the defining relations to rewrite it in "canonical form"

$$w_1w_2\cdots w_{n-1},$$

where the word  $w_i$  is either empty or is a decreasing run of consecutive generators, starting with  $t_i$ . Thus  $w_1 = 1$  or  $t_1$ ;  $w_2 = 1$  or  $t_2$  or  $t_2t_1$ ;  $w_3 = 1$  or  $t_3$  or  $t_3t_2$ or  $t_3t_2t_1$ ; and so on. The rewriting process I have in mind involves three types of substitutions: (a)  $t_i^2 \rightarrow 1$ ; (b)  $t_it_j \rightarrow t_jt_i$  if  $|i - j| \ge 2$ ; (c)  $t_{i+1}t_it_{i+1} \rightarrow t_it_{i+1}t_i$ . Note that none of these operations increases the length of the word. Note also that there are exactly n! canonical forms, since there are i + 1 choices for  $w_i$ . We will prove the assertion by induction on n.

Among all possible words w obtained by rewriting the given word, choose one of minimal length. Then, among all possible words w of minimal length, choose one that minimizes the number of occurences of  $t_{n-1}$ . I claim there will be at most one occurence of  $t_{n-1}$ . Suppose this is false. Then there is a subword of the form  $t_{n-1}ut_{n-1}$ , where u does not involve  $t_{n-1}$ . By the induction hypothesis we can assume u is in canonical form. Then  $t_{n-2}$  must occur, since otherwise we could shorten w by the rewrites

$$t_{n-1}ut_{n-1} \to ut_{n-1}^2 \to u.$$

And it must occur exactly once by the nature of the canonical forms. Our subword now has the form  $t_{n-1}u_1t_{n-2}u_2t_{n-1}$ , where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  only involve  $t_i$  with i < n-2. We can therefore do the rewrites

$$t_{n-1}u_1t_{n-2}u_2t_{n-1} \to u_1t_{n-1}t_{n-2}t_{n-1}u_2 \to u_1t_{n-2}t_{n-1}t_{n-2}u_2$$

this preserves the length of the word while reducing the number of occurrences of  $t_{n-1}$ . This contradiction proves the claim.

We're almost done. Choose w above so that  $t_{n-1}$ , if it occurs, is as far to the right as possible. If it doesn't occur, we're done by induction. If it does occur, then  $w = ut_{n-1}v$ , where u and v don't involve  $t_{n-1}$  and can be put in canonical form. Then v, if it is nontrivial, starts with  $t_{n-2}$ ; otherwise we could move  $t_{n-1}$  to the right. Since v is in canonical form, it must be a decreasing run of consecutive generators starting with  $t_{n-2}$ . Then  $t_{n-1}v$  is a decreasing run starting with  $t_{n-1}$ , and w is in canonical form.

*Remark.* Once the exercise is completely done, a counting argument shows that every element of  $S_n$  is represented by a *unique* canonical form.