

Math 424 - Assignment 6 - Solutions

Problem 2, Chap. 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad \mathcal{F}[z]_j &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} z_m e^{-2\pi i j m/n} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} y_{m+1} e^{-2\pi i j m/n} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} y_{m+1} e^{-2\pi i j (m+1)/n} \right) e^{2\pi i j/n} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{m=1}^n y_m e^{-2\pi i j m/n} \right) e^{2\pi i j/n} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} y_m e^{-2\pi i j m/n} \right) e^{2\pi i j/n}
 \end{aligned}$$

since $y_0 = y_n$ $e^{2\pi i j 0/n} = e^{2\pi i j n/n}$
 $\square$ (shifts and translations)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad \mathcal{F}[y * z]_k &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} [y * z]_m e^{-2\pi i k m/n} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{m-j} e^{-2\pi i k m/n} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j e^{-2\pi i k j/n} z_{m-j} \cdot \underbrace{e^{-2\pi i k (m-j)/n}}_{\exp(-2\pi i k m/n + 2\pi i k j/n)} \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j e^{-2\pi i k j/n}}_{\mathcal{F}[y]_k} \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} z_{m-j} e^{-2\pi i k (m-j)/n}}_{\mathcal{F}[z]_k \text{ by periodicity of } z} \\
 &\quad \square \text{ (convolution)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{F}[y]_{n-k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \bar{\omega}^{j(n-k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \bar{\omega}^{-jk} = \overline{\mathcal{F}[y]_k}$$

since $\bar{y}_j = y_j$

$\square$

$\rightarrow$

(2) Notice we should also show that

$$[y * z]_k \in S_n \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [y * z]_{k+n} &= [y * z]_k \\
 \parallel & \parallel \text{ as } z \in S_n \\
 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{k+n-j} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{k-j}
 \end{aligned}$$

under exp here means

$$e^{2\pi i \frac{PM}{N} \lambda} = e^{2\pi i \lambda} = e^{5 \cdot 2\pi i} = 1$$

so that we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp(-2\pi i (t_{\frac{n}{N}}(\lambda M + M))) \exp(-2\pi i (n(\lambda + 2M)/N))$$

as required.

□

(c) Essentially we use induction here on m

For $m=2$ we have $N = P_1 P_2$

Now we solve $P_1 + P_2$ smaller interpolation problems and need

$$P_1 P_2^2 + P_2 P_1^2 \text{ operations}$$

$$N(P_1 + P_2)$$

Now we simply consider $N = P_1 \dots P_{m-1} P_m$

$$\begin{aligned} & \xrightarrow{\text{by induction}} \underbrace{(P_1 P_2 \dots P_{m-1})^2}_{\text{ops}} P_m + P_m^2 (P_1 \dots P_{m-1}) \text{ ops} \\ &= P_1 \dots P_{m-1} (P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_{m-1}) + P_m^2 (P_1 \dots P_{m-1}) \text{ ops} \\ &= N(P_1 + \dots + P_m) \text{ ops} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Problem 6.24. from handout:

(a) Let's compute $y(t_m) =$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k t_0/T} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k n/N} y_n e^{2\pi i k t_m/T}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k t_0/T} e^{-2\pi i k n/N} e^{2\pi i k t_m/T} y_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k (-t_0 - mT/N + t_0)/T} e^{-2\pi i k n/N} y_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i k m/N} e^{-2\pi i k n/N} y_n \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{if } m=n \Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y_m = N/N y_m = y_m$$

$$\text{if } m \neq n \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i k/N (m-n)} y_n = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i N/N (m-n)}}{1 - e^{2\pi i/N (m-n)}} = 0$$

so we indeed get $y(t_m) = y_m$

(b) (i) We want $y_r(t_{r+lp}) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_m^{(r)} e^{2\pi i m (t_{r+lp})/T} \stackrel{!}{=} y_{r+lp}$

we have a uniformly spaced grid $t_{r+lp} = t_r + lp/N$

$$\text{so that } c_m^{(r)} = e^{-2\pi i m t_r/T} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{-2\pi i m l/N} y_{r+lp}$$

Checking this is the same procedure as in (a) of course.

(b) (ii) Again we just need to replace suitable indices in our result for (a), namely $N \rightarrow P$, $T \rightarrow T/M$, $k \rightarrow \lambda$

$$C_{\mu\lambda} = e^{-2\pi i \lambda t_0 M/T} \frac{1}{P} \sum_{n=0}^{P-1} e^{-2\pi i \lambda n/P} C_{\mu}^{(n)}$$

(b) (iii) If we consider c_k from (a) on set $k = \mu + \lambda M$ we get

$$c_k = e^{-2\pi i (\mu + \lambda M) t_0/T} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i (\mu + \lambda M) n/N} y_n$$

We need to show that this equals

$$C_{\mu\lambda} \underset{\substack{\text{by (ii)}}}{=} e^{-2\pi i \lambda t_0 M/T} \frac{1}{P} \sum_{r=0}^{P-1} e^{-2\pi i \lambda r/P} C_{\mu}^{(r)}$$

now using (i) this equals

$$e^{-2\pi i \lambda t_0 M/T} \frac{1}{P} \sum_{r=0}^{P-1} e^{-2\pi i \lambda r/P} e^{-2\pi i \mu t_r/T} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} e^{-2\pi i \mu \ell/M} y_{r+\ell P}$$

$t_r = t_0 + rT/N$

$$= \frac{1}{PN} \sum_{r=0}^{P-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} \exp(-2\pi i [\lambda t_0 M/T + \lambda r/P + \mu t_0/T + rT/N \cdot \frac{\mu}{T} + \mu \ell/M]) y_{r+\ell P}$$

we already see that $r + \ell P$ varies between 0 and $(P-1) + (M-1)P = MP-1 = N-1$, so that the summation is correct except that we have to simplify the elements in the exponential, the "t₀"-terms give

$$t_0 (2M/T + M/T) = t_0/T (\lambda M + \mu) \text{ as required.}$$

The rest gives $\lambda r/P + r/N \mu + \mu \ell/M + \mu r/P$ "under exp"

$$\frac{\lambda P \mu \ell}{N} + \frac{(M \lambda r + r \mu + \mu \ell P)}{(r + \ell P) M} \cdot \frac{1}{N} = \frac{(r + \ell P) \cdot (\mu + \lambda M)}{N}$$