

## EXERCISES

MINGZHONG CAI

This work is not a part of *The Hitchhiker's Guide To The Incompleteness Theorem*, but please read that first before you continue.

We've talked about arithmetic in HGIT, and let us switch to geometry this time.

**Theorem 1.** *Two triangles that have equal corresponding sides are congruent.*

How many terms do we need to define to write out the previous theorem in a formal sentence? Maybe the first question to ask is, what are the elements of the world we are talking about? Triangles? Could be. One can define two predicates  $P(T_1, T_2)$ ,  $Q(T_1, T_2)$  to mean that Triangles  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have "equal corresponding sides" and "congruent". Then our theorem will be simply:

$$\forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \Rightarrow Q(x, y))$$

But in general, we want to have a uniformed way of describing objects in geometry. For example, how about circles, straight lines or quadrilaterals? Or even more complicated geometric objects? Here we provide a possible way to handle all these objects, but we shall all agree that this is **not** the unique way to deal with geometry.

Let's only talk about 2-dimension geometry here. Our world will consists of points (on a plane). We put relation symbols:

**Eqlh(A,B,C,D):** means  $\overline{AB} = \overline{CD}$ , i.e. the two line segments have equal length.

**Eqang(A,B,C,D,E,F):** means  $\angle ABC = \angle DEF$ , i.e. the two angles have the same degree.

**SL(A,B,C):** means that  $A, B, C$  are points on one straight line, in this order.

**Exercise 1.** *Define  $Cong(A, B, C, D, E, F)$  which means that  $\triangle ABC$  is congruent to  $\triangle DEF$  (i.e. all corresponding sides and angles are the same), then write out Theorem 1 in a formal sentence.*

---

*Date:* January 11, 2009.

This work is supported by NSF Grant DMS 0602193 from the MCTP component of EMSW21. This work should be considered as a concrete and inseparable part of *The Hitchhiker's Guide To The Incompleteness Theorem* and should always be distributed with the main article.

One can define a circle with two points (center and one point on the circle) and a quadrilaterals with four (vertices), so it is not that difficult to write out some classical theorems in this formal language.

**Exercise 2.** *Try to write out this formula: “ $\angle ABC + \angle DEF$  equals the straight angle ( $180^\circ$ )”.*

**Exercise 3.** *Try to write out this theorem: “In a quadrilateral, if opposite angles add up to  $180^\circ$ , then this quadrilateral is cyclic (i.e. all vertices all lie on one circle)”.*

**Exercise 4.** *Try to write out this formula: “Straight line  $AB$  is tangent to the circle with center  $O$  and one point  $C$  (i.e. radius  $OC$ )”.*

One can similarly write out axioms and proofs, but usually they are too long to be good exercises. Here is a real example in Euclid’s Elements:

**Exercise 5.** *Use Theorem 1 (this is actually an axiom in Elements) to prove that every isosceles triangle has two same angles (angles opposite to the corresponding equal sides).*

An interesting thing is that, we can actually code the natural numbers with addition into elementary geometry. Intuitively we will fix a sequence of points on a straight line with equal distance apart from the adjacent points.

**Exercise 6.** *Define a sentence  $Add(A, B, C, D, E, F)$  which says  $\overline{AB} = \overline{CD} + \overline{EF}$ , also define  $P_n(A, B, C, D)$  which means that the length of  $AB$  is  $n$  times of the length of  $CD$ , i.e.  $\overline{AB} = n\overline{CD}$ . (Define one sentence for each  $n$ .)*

Now if we fix a sequence  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots$  on one straight line s.t.  $\overline{A_0A_1} = \overline{A_1A_2} = \dots$  and code natural numbers as those points in this order, we then can talk about addition:

$$A_i + A_j = A_n := \overline{A_0A_i} + \overline{A_0A_j} = \overline{A_0A_n}$$

**Exercise 7.** *Define the successor operator and code “ $1 + 1 = 2$ ” into elementary geometry.*

**Exercise 8.** *Code the order “ $<$ ” into elementary geometry.*

However, we cannot code the multiplication of natural numbers into geometry at the same time. You need to following result of Tarski: elementary geometry is complete, i.e. you can find an effective list of axioms which can prove every true sentence.

**Exercise 9.** *Prove that multiplication cannot be coded into geometry with addition, order and successor relation. (Use the incompleteness theorem.)*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA NY 14853  
*E-mail address:* [yyang@math.cornell.edu](mailto:yyang@math.cornell.edu)