

Last time: $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m) \subseteq V$

Linear independence

Def The list $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$ of vectors in V

(a) is called linearly dependent (LD) if

$\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ NOT ALL ZERO

s.t. $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$ Ⓚ

(b) is called linearly independent (LI) if

whenever $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$

then $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$.

Similarly, a set S is LI or LD

(define this yourself!)

remarks

- () empty list

- () is declared to be LI.

examples

$$\textcircled{a} \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{F}_5)^3$$

this is $\textcircled{\text{LD}}$ since $3 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\left(\text{also } \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad \text{in } P_d(\mathbb{F}) \quad (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^d)$$

is this LD or $\textcircled{\text{LTI}}$?

but: $1 - 2x + x^2 = 0$ has solution $x=1$.

we only consider:

would need for LD:

$$1 - 2x + x^2 = \underbrace{0\text{-polynomial}}$$

$$\textcircled{c} \quad (0, 1, x, x^2) \quad \textcircled{\text{LD}} \text{ or LTI?}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\substack{\alpha_1 \\ \in \mathbb{F}^4 \\ \neq 0}} \cdot \underbrace{0}_{\substack{\alpha_2 \\ \in \mathbb{F}}} + \underbrace{0}_{\substack{\alpha_2 \\ \in \mathbb{F}}} \cdot 1 + \underbrace{0}_{\substack{\alpha_3 \\ \in \mathbb{F}}} \cdot x + \underbrace{0}_{\substack{\alpha_4 \\ \in \mathbb{F}}} \cdot x^2 = \underbrace{0}_{\substack{\alpha_4 \\ \in \mathbb{F}}}$$

$\therefore \textcircled{\text{LD}}$

$$V = P_d(\mathbb{F})$$

16 Sep 2020

Lecture #7

(d) consider in breakout rooms:

- $(\sin x, \sin 2x) \in \text{Fun}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$

is this LD or LI?

LI

$$a \sin x + b \sin 2x = 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$a \sin x + 2b \sin x \cos x = 0$$

$$(\sin x)(a + 2b \cos x) = 0 \quad \forall x$$

a, b not both 0:

$$x = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$$

$$x = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$b \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = b = 0 \therefore \text{LI}$$

- $(\sin x, \sin 2x, \cos x \sin x)$

same question.

since $\sin 2x = 2 \cos x \sin x$

$$0 \cdot \sin x + 1 \cdot \sin 2x + (-2) \cos x \sin x$$

$$= 0 \text{ function}$$

$$\therefore \text{LD}$$

16 Sep 2020

Lecture #7

Some more defs

- (a) if $V = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$
then we call V finite dimensional
(or finitely generated)
- (b) if $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$ spans V , and is LI
then we call $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$ a basis of V .
- (c) if $S \subseteq V$, S is called a basis of V
if
- (i) $\text{span } S = V$
 - (ii) S is LI.
-

Lemma (LI lemma)

16 Sep 2020
Lecture #7

Suppose $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$ is LD in V
then $\exists 1 \leq j \leq m$ s.t.

if $m=0$
() is LI ✓

(a) $\vec{v}_j \in \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{j-1})$

and

(b) removing \vec{v}_j from $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$

if $m=1$ ✓
(a) $\vec{v}_1 \in \text{span}()$
" 0"

ie: $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_j, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$

remove

results in a list with the same span

$\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$

proof

$\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ s.t.

$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$

, not all a_i 's are 0.

Let $j =$ largest elem of $1..m$ s.t. $a_j \neq 0$.

then $a_j \neq 0$, $a_{j+1} = \dots = a_m = 0$

$\vec{v}_j = -\frac{a_1}{a_j} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{a_2}{a_j} \vec{v}_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j} \vec{v}_{j-1}$

to paraphrase:

\vec{v}_j is "stupid".

proves (a).

(b) ?? show $\text{span}(\overset{\text{LHS}}{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_j, \dots, \vec{v}_m})$

$$= \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m) = \text{RHS}$$

LHS \subseteq RHS "clear"

RHS \subseteq LHS

let $\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m \in \text{RHS}$

show $\vec{u} \in \text{LHS}$

$$\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_j \begin{pmatrix} a_{j1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{jj} \\ \vdots \\ a_{jm} \end{pmatrix} \vec{v}_j - \dots - \begin{pmatrix} a_{j1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{jj} \\ \vdots \\ a_{jm} \end{pmatrix} \vec{v}_{j-1} \\ + c_{j+1} \vec{v}_{j+1} + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m \\ \in \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_j, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$$

16 Sep 2020

Lecture #7

Does this handle all cases?!

what about $m=0$?!

key theorem Suppose V is finite dimensional

and (a) $(\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m)$ LI

(b) $(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n)$ span V

then $m \leq n$.

try proving this.