

MATH 321 Manifolds and Differential Forms (II)

Homework 5 Solution

Due October 11, 3:00 p.m.

4.6 (5 points) Proof: We only prove the case $n = 3$.

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{x_1} f_1(t, x_2, x_3) dt + \int_0^{x_2} f_2(0, t, x_3) dt + \int_0^{x_3} f_3(0, 0, t) dt$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} dg &= f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 + \left[\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(t, x_2, x_3) dt + f_2(0, x_2, x_3) \right] dx_2 + \\ &\quad \left[\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3}(t, x_2, x_3) dt + \int_0^{x_2} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3}(0, t, x_3) dt + f_3(0, 0, x_3) \right] dx_3 \\ &= f_1(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 + \left[\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(t, x_2, x_3) dt + f_2(0, x_2, x_3) \right] dx_2 \\ &\quad + \left[\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1}(t, x_2, x_3) dt + \int_0^{x_2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_2}(0, t, x_3) dt + f_3(0, 0, x_3) \right] dx_3 \\ &= f_1(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 + f_2(\mathbf{x}) dx_2 + f_3(\mathbf{x}) dx_3 \\ &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$

The second = is due to α is closed and hence $\partial f_i / \partial x_j = \partial f_j / \partial x_i$. The third = is due to fundamental theorem of calculus. \square

4.8 (4 points)

$$\begin{aligned} d[(k+1)g] &= \sum_{i=1}^n d(x_i f_i(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} f_i + x_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}) dx_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} f_i + x_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}) dx_j \\ &= \sum_j f_j dx_j + \sum_j dx_j \sum_i x_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \alpha + \sum_j dx_j (k f_j) \\ &= \alpha + k\alpha \\ &= (k+1)\alpha \end{aligned}$$

The third = comes from the fact α is closed and hence $\partial f_i/\partial x_j = \partial f_j/\partial x_i$.
 And the fifth = is by Exercise 2.4. \square

4.10 (5 points) Proof: Since β is exact, we can find a differential form γ such that $d\gamma = \beta$. Suppose α is a k -form, then

$$d((-1)^k \alpha \gamma) = (-1)^k (d\alpha) \gamma + (-1)^k (-1)^k \alpha d\gamma = \alpha \beta$$

So, $\alpha\beta$ is exact. \square

4.11 (4 points) Proof: WLOG, we assume $\alpha = dx_I$, i.e. α is a monomial with constant coefficient 1. Here I is an increasing multi-indices of degree k . We let J be the index set complementary to I . We also use the notation ε_I and ε_J as defined in the notes (page 26). Then

$$*\alpha = *(dx_I) = \varepsilon_I dx_J, **\alpha = \varepsilon_I *(dx_J) = \varepsilon_I \varepsilon_J dx_I$$

We conclude $\varepsilon_I \varepsilon_J = (-1)^{k(n-k)} = (-1)^{kn+k}$ by the following equalities

$$dx = \varepsilon_I dx_I dx_J = \varepsilon_I (-1)^{k(n-k)} dx_J dx_I = \varepsilon_I (-1)^{k(n-k)} \varepsilon_J dx$$

So $**\alpha = (-1)^{kn+k} dx_I$. \square

5.4 (4 points)

- (i) Length= $2(j - i) - 1$, sign= -1 .
- (ii) Length= $n(n - 1)/2$, sign= $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$.

\square