

# MATH 321 Manifolds and Differential Forms (II)

## Homework 8 Solution

Due November 8, 3:00 p.m.

6.5 (4 points) Solution:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}d\eta &= (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2}dy + y[-1/2(x^2 + y^2)^{-3/2}(2xdx + 2ydy)] \\ &= (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2}dy - (x^2 + y^2)^{-3/2}(xydx + y^2dy) \\ &= \frac{-xydx + x^2dy}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry,  $d\xi = \frac{-xydy + y^2dx}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}\xi d\eta - \eta d\xi &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{-xydx + x^2dy}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{-xydy + y^2dx}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{-(x^2y + y^3)dx + (x^3 + xy^2)dy}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \\ &= \alpha\end{aligned}$$

□

(ii) Assume there is such a nice  $\theta$ , then we would have  $\cos\theta d\sin\theta - \sin\theta d\cos\theta = d\theta$ . Meanwhile, by (6.1) in the notes, LHS= $\alpha$ . So we get  $\alpha = d\theta$ , which means  $\alpha$  is exact. This is a contradiction. □

6.7 (4 points) Solution:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\bar{c}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-c_2(1-t)dc_1(1-t) + c_1(1-t)dc_2(1-t)}{c_1^2(1-t) + c_2^2(1-t)} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-c_2(1-t)c_1'(1-t) + c_1(1-t)c_2'(1-t)}{c_1^2(1-t) + c_2^2(1-t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-c_2(s)c_1'(s) + c_1(s)c_2'(s)}{c_1^2(s) + c_2^2(s)} (-ds) \\ &= -W_c \\ &= -k\end{aligned}$$

The geometric intuition is that this new closed curve is still the same curve, except the orientation is reversed.  $\square$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\bar{c}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-\rho c_2 d(c_1 \rho) + \rho c_1 d(c_2 \rho)}{\rho^2 (c_1^2 + c_2^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-c_2 dc_1 + c_1 dc_2}{c_1^2 + c_2^2} \\ &= W_c \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

The geometric intuition is that this new curve is the old curve amplified, without crossing with the origin.  $\square$

(iii) This is reduced to (ii), by setting  $\rho = 1/\|c(t)\|$ . So  $W_{\bar{c}} = W_c = k$ .  $\square$

(iv)

$$W_{\bar{c}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-c_1 dc_2 + c_2 dc_1}{c_1^2 + c_2^2} = -W_c = -k$$

The geometric intuition is that the new curve is obtained by reflecting  $c(t)$  with respect to the diagonal line of the plane.  $\square$

(v) Let  $\rho(t) = 1/\|c(t)\|^2$ , then  $\bar{c} = \rho(t)(c_1, -c_2)$ . So

$$W_{\bar{c}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\rho c_2 d(c_1 \rho) + \rho c_1 d(-c_2 \rho)}{\rho^2 (c_1^2 + c_2^2)} = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{-c_2 dc_1 + c_1 dc_2}{c_1^2 + c_2^2} = -W_c = -k$$

The geometric intuition is that the old curve is flipped with respect to the y-axis and meanwhile amplified.  $\square$

6.11 (4 points) Proof: We let  $g(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds - \lambda t$ , where  $\lambda = \int_0^1 f(s) ds$ . Then it's easy to check  $g(t)$  is the desired function.  $\square$

7.7 (4 points) Solution:

(i) We let  $\alpha = g$ , then  $d\alpha = \text{grad} g \cdot d\mathbf{x}$ .

(ii) We let  $\alpha = f dx + g dy$ , then  $d\alpha = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy dx + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} dx dy = (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}) dx dy$ .

(iii) Let  $\alpha = \mathbf{F} \cdot (*d\mathbf{x})$ , then  $d\alpha = d(\sum_i (-1)^{n-i} F_i dx_1 \cdots d\hat{x}_i \cdots dx_n) = \sum_i \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \text{div} \mathbf{F} dx$ .

(iv) Let  $\alpha = \sum_i F_i dx_i$ , then it's easy to check  $d\alpha = \text{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot (*d\mathbf{x})$ .  $\square$

8.2 (4 points) Proof: First of all, we need to clarify the problem. It's restated as follows: let  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  be a basis of an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ , and  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be the dual basis of  $V^*$ . Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}'_1 \\ \mathbf{v}'_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}'_n \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_k a_{1k} \mathbf{v}_k \\ \sum_k a_{2k} \mathbf{v}_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_k a_{nk} \mathbf{v}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

is a new basis of  $V$ . We want to find out the dual basis  $(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n)$ .

By definition,  $\lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}'_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . But by the linearity of  $\lambda'_i$  and the above representation of  $\mathbf{v}'_j$  in terms of original basis  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ , we have  $\lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}'_j) = \sum_k a_{jk} \lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_k)$ . So,

$$(\lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, \lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_n)) \begin{pmatrix} a_{j1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{jn} \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{ij}$$

This means, if we let  $B = (b_{ij}) = (\lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_j))$ . Then  $BA^T = I_{n \times n}$ . So  $B = (A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$ . Meanwhile,

$$\lambda'_i = \sum_j \lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_j) \lambda_j = (\lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, \lambda'_i(\mathbf{v}_n)) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

So

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda'_n \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = (A^{-1})^T \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

□