

# MATH 418 Function Theory

## Homework 7 Solution

Due March 27

### Section 3.7

2. (4 points) Proof: Since  $f$  is analytic in  $G$  and  $f \neq 0$  in  $G$ ,  $1/f$  is analytic in  $G$ . By Theorem 7.5,  $1/|f|$  cannot have a maximum value anywhere in  $G$  unless  $f$  is a constant. So  $1/|f|$  assumes its maximum value on  $\partial G$ , i.e.  $|f|$  assumes its minimum value on  $\partial G$ .  $\square$

5. (6 points) Proof: Let  $g = e^h$ . Then  $g$  is analytic in  $D$  and is not constant. So  $|g|$  doesn't attain maximum in  $D$ . By Problem 2,  $|g|$  doesn't attain minimum in  $D$  either. Since  $|g| = e^{Reh}$ , this means  $Reh$  attains neither a maximum nor a minimum in  $D$ .

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be analytic in the bounded domain  $D$ . Set  $h = f - g$ . Then  $Reh = 0$  on  $\partial D$ . By part one, this implies  $h = \text{constant}$ . So  $f - g = ic$ , for some constant  $c$ .  $\square$

7. (6 points) Proof: Since  $f$  is analytic for  $|z| \leq R$ , and  $f(0) = 0$ , we can write  $f$  as  $f(z) = zg(z)$ , where  $g(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| \leq R$ . On the boundary  $\{|z| = R\}$ ,  $|g(z)| = |f(z)/z| \leq M/R$ . By maximum principle,  $|g(z)| \leq M/R$  in  $\{|z| < R\}$ . So  $|f(z)| \leq |g(z)||z| \leq |z|M/R$ .  $\leq$  becomes  $<$  in  $\{|z| < R\}$ , unless  $g = \text{constant}$ .  $\square$

### Section 3.8

1. (7 points) Solution: This kind of problem can be solved quite easily by looking at a function's Laurent series. Unfortunately, the most useful theorem is not in section 8, but in section 9 (Theorem 9.4, page 167). Of course, straightforward observation is also beneficial in some cases.

(1)  $e^z$ :  $e^z$  is analytic everywhere in  $\mathbb{C}$ . To judge the property of  $\infty$ , we consider all the three possibilities. First,  $\infty$  cannot be removable by problem 3, since  $e^z$  is not a constant function. Second,  $\infty$  is not a pole, since  $|e^{in}| \leq 1$ , no matter how large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is. So  $\infty$  is an essential singularity.

(2)  $\frac{\cos z}{z}$ : Only 0 or  $\infty$  could have problems since  $\frac{\cos z}{z}$  is analytic elwhere. Note the Laurent series of  $\frac{\cos z}{z} = \sum_{n=2k}^{\infty} \frac{(iz)^n}{zn!}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we conclude 0 is a pole by Theorem 9.4, since  $1/z$  appears in the series. To see the property of  $\infty$ , replace  $z$  with  $1/\zeta$ , it's clear that  $\zeta = 0$  is an essential singularity, by Theorem 9.4. Hence  $\infty$  is an essential singularity of  $\frac{\cos z}{z}$ .

(3)  $\frac{e^z-1}{z(z-1)}$ : 1 is a pole and 0 is removable. Replace  $z$  with  $1/\zeta$ , we get  $(e^{\frac{1}{\zeta}} - 1)\frac{\zeta^2}{1-\zeta}$ . It's clear that this function is not differentiable at  $\zeta = 0$  (argue by direct computation according to the definition of being analytic). So,  $\infty$  cannot be removable. Furthermore, if  $z \rightarrow \infty$  along the negative x-axis, then  $\frac{e^z-1}{z(z-1)}$  goes to 0. So,  $\infty$  cannot be a pole. Hence,  $\infty$  has to be an essential singularity.

(4)  $\frac{z^2-1}{z^2+1}$ : This function is equal to  $1 - \frac{2}{z^2+1}$ . So,  $i$  and  $-i$  are two poles. Replace  $z$  with  $1/\zeta$ , we get  $\frac{1-\zeta^2}{1+\zeta^2}$ . This new function is differentiable at  $\zeta = 0$ . So,  $\infty$  is a removable singularity of the original function.

(5)  $\frac{z^5}{z^3+z}$ : By similar argument,  $i$  and  $-i$  are two poles. 0 is a removable singularity. And  $\infty$  is also a pole, since after replacing  $z$  with  $1/\zeta$ , we get  $\frac{1}{\zeta^2+\zeta^4}$ .

(6)  $e^{\cosh z}$ :  $\cosh z$  is an entire function, so is  $e^z$ . Since the function under consideration is the composition of two entire functions, it's entire. To judge  $\infty$ , note first by problem 3,  $\infty$  cannot be removable. Let  $z = in$  where  $n$  is just a natural number. Then we can see, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $e^{\cosh z}$  is bounded. So,  $\infty$  cannot be a pole. So,  $\infty$  has to be an essential singularity.

(7)  $\frac{z(z-\pi)^2}{(\sin z)^2}$ : We first solve the equation  $e^{iz} = e^{-iz}$  and get solutions  $z = k\pi$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $k \neq 1, 0$ ,  $k\pi$  becomes a pole since  $\sin z = 0$  here. For 0, as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{\sin z}{z} \rightarrow 1$ , by the definition of the derivative of  $\sin z$  at 0. So, 0 is a pole. For  $\pi$ , note  $\sin(z - \pi) = -\sin z$ , we again return to the previous case. But this time the denominator  $\sin(z - \pi)$  and the numerator  $(z - \pi)$  have the same power. So,  $\frac{z(z-\pi)^2}{(\sin z)^2} \rightarrow \pi$  as  $z \rightarrow \pi$ . Hence,  $\pi$  is a removable singularity. Let  $z \rightarrow \infty$  along the positive x-axis, the function has no limit. So,  $\infty$  cannot be a pole or removable. So, it's an essential singularity.  $\square$

3. (5 points) Proof: If a function is analytic in the extended plane, then in particular, it's analytic at  $\infty$ . So it must have a definite finite value at  $\infty$  and is continuous at  $\infty$ . Hence, it is bounded in a neighbourhood of  $\infty$ , say,  $\{z : |z| > M\}$  for some positive number  $M$ . Meanwhile, this function is bounded in the closed disc  $\{z : |z| \leq M\}$ . So, this analytic function is bounded on the whole plane. By Liouville's Theorem, it has to be a constant.  $\square$

6. (6 points) Solution:

(i)  $\frac{e^z}{z^5} = \sum_{n=-5}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+5)!}$ . So  $a_n = \frac{1}{(n+5)!}$  and the principle part is  $\sum_{n=-5}^{-1} \frac{z^n}{(n+5)!}$ .

(ii)  $\frac{\sin z}{(z-2\pi)^2} = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}+1}{2} \frac{(z-2\pi)^n}{(n+2)!} (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ . So  $b_n = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}+1}{2(n+2)!} (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$  and the principle part is  $(z-2\pi)^{-1}$ .

(iii)  $\frac{z^6}{(1-z)^3} = -\frac{[(z-1)+1]^6}{(z-1)^3} = -\sum_{n=-3}^3 C_6^{n+3} (z-1)^n$ . So  $c_n = -C_6^{n+3}$  and principle part is  $-\sum_{n=-3}^{-1} C_6^{n+3} (z-1)^n$ .  $\square$

### Section 3.9

4. (4 points) Proof: Since  $f(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| \neq 0$ , we apply Theorem 9.2 to the case  $\alpha = 0$  and get

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_n(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^{\omega(z-1/z)/2}}{z^{n+1}} dz \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\omega(e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta})/2} e^{-(n+1)i\theta} i e^{i\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\omega \sin \theta} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} i \sin(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) d\theta \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) d\theta
 \end{aligned}$$

□

5. (4 points) Proof:

$$\frac{d^m}{d\omega^m} J_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) \sin^m \theta d\theta, & m = 0 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi -\sin(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) \sin^m \theta d\theta, & m = 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi -\cos(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) \sin^m \theta d\theta, & m = 2 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin(\omega \sin \theta - n\theta) \sin^m \theta d\theta, & m = 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

So

$$\left| \frac{d^m}{d\omega^m} J_n(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=0} \right| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^\pi \cos n\theta \sin^m \theta d\theta \right|, & m = 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^\pi \sin n\theta \sin^m \theta d\theta \right|, & m = 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

By Problem 2, we see this is 0 for  $0 \leq m < n$ . So  $J_n$  has a zero of order  $n$  at  $\omega = 0$  □

### Additional Problems on Chapter 3

3.3 (4 points) Proof: Assume  $\max_{|z|=1} |1/z - f(z)| < 1$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{|z|=1} [1/z - f(z)] dz \right| \leq \int_{|z|=1} |1/z - f(z)| |dz| < 2\pi$$

By Cauchy's Theorem,  $\int_{|z|=1} [1/z - f(z)] dz = 2\pi i$ . Contradiction. □

4.2 (4 points) Proof:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{P'(z)}{z-z_k}}{P(z)} dz = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{dz}{z-z_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n N(z_k)$$

where  $N(z_k)$  is the winding number of  $z_k$  with respect to  $C$ . □